

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC
11 Laurier St./ 11 rue, Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0A1 / Noyau 0A1
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Scientific, Medical and Photographic Division /
Division de l'équipement scientifique, des produits
photographiques et pharmaceutiques
11 Laurier St./ 11 rue, Laurier
6B1, Place du Portage
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Radiation Portatl Monitor	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 47064-130497/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 005
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 1000310497	Date 2013-04-02
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$PV-924-62109	
File No. - N° de dossier pv924.47064-130497	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2013-04-12	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Caron, Anne	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur pv924
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-3874 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-3814
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation Amendment No. 5

This solicitation amendment is raised to post a question and answer and to amend Part 4:

Question

For dynamic, drive-thru testing, is it acceptable to transport the test objects through the RPM in the bed of a pick-up truck with a camper shell?

Answer:

CBSA requests that a standard commercial marine container be used for transporting bulk material.

Note for all bidders: If it is not possible to use a standard intermodal marine container in the dynamic testing of the portals, then those materials which were to be tested inside a container must be shielded with at least 1/16th inch thick weathered steel (e.g., Corten). All details of the test conveyance and shielding configuration must be provided with the test.

Delete:

PART 4 - EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND BASIS OF SELECTION

1. Evaluation Procedures

(a) Bids will be assessed in accordance with the entire requirement of the bid solicitation including the technical and financial evaluation criteria.

(b) An evaluation team composed of representatives of Canada will evaluate the bids.

1.1 Technical Evaluation

All proposals submitted must be completed in full and provide all of the information requested in the Request for Proposal (RFP) package to enable a full and complete evaluation. If the requirement is not addressed in the bidder's proposal, the proposal will be considered incomplete or non-responsive and will be rejected. The onus is on the bidder to provide all the information necessary to ensure a complete and accurate assessment.

1.1.1 Mandatory Technical Criteria

See Annex "A" - Mandatory Specifications

1.1.2 Point Rated Technical Criteria

See Annex "B" - Point Rated Technical Criteria

Factors for Evaluation

ABILITY TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS (MANDATORY):

The Bidder must clearly demonstrate how the requirement and each of the Mandatory Specifications are satisfied. The bidder must also submit the information requested in the associated Data Item Description (DID) documents. Failure to submit any of the requested information, or failure to do so in the requested format will result in the Bid being deemed non-compliant. By submission of a Bid, the Bidder agrees to provide all future information requested in the DIDs, according to the schedule defined therein. Bidders must also sign the compliance acknowledgement statement.

1.2 Financial Evaluation

- a) prices will be evaluated in Canadian Funds including any applicable Excise Taxes and Canadian Customs Duty (if applicable) and excluding the Goods and Services Tax (GST) or Harmonized Sales Tax (HST) (if applicable). For evaluation purposes, bids received in a foreign currency will be converted to Canadian funds using the appropriate rate of exchange using the rate quoted by the Bank of Canada as being in effect on date of bid closing.
- b) prices will be evaluated on a FOB Destination.
- c) prices will be evaluated based on all prices included in Annex "C".

2. Basis of Selection

2.1 Highest Combined Rating of Technical Merit and Price

A bid must comply with the requirements of the bid solicitation and meet all mandatory criteria (detailed in Annex "A") in order to be considered for the point-rated technical evaluation portion (detailed in Annex "B"). Bidders not meeting the Mandatory Requirements will be deemed non-responsive and no further consideration will be given.

- The evaluation will be based on the highest responsive combined rating of technical merit and price. The ratio will be 75 % for the technical merit and 25 % for the price.
- To establish the technical merit score, the overall technical score for each responsive bid will be determined as follows: total number of points obtained / maximum number of points available (100 points) multiplied by the ratio of 75 %.
- To establish the pricing score, each responsive bid will be prorated against the lowest evaluated price and the ratio of 25 %.
- For each responsive bid, the technical merit score and the pricing score will be added to determine its combined rating.
- Neither the responsive bid obtaining the highest technical score nor the one with the lowest evaluated price will necessarily be accepted. The two (2) responsive bids with the highest combined rating of technical merit and price will each be recommended for award of a contract for one (1) system.

The table below illustrates an example where all three bids are responsive and the selection of the contractor is determined by the highest overall combined ranking based on a weighting of 60% technical and 40% price, respectively. The total available points equals 30 and the lowest evaluated price is \$50,000 (50*).

Example of Highest Overall Combined Rating Technical Merit (60%) and Price (40%)				
Bidder	Bidder 1	Bidder 2	Bidder 3	
Technical Points (Total Possible Points 30)	27	25	22	
Price Quoted	\$70,000.00	\$55,000.00	\$50,000.00	

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

47064-130497/A

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

1000310497

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

005

File No. - N° du dossier

pv92447064-130497

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

pv924

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

Calculation	Technical Points	Price Points	Total Points	Ranking
Bidder 1	$27/30 \times 60 = 54.0$	$*50/70 \times 40 = 28.6$	82.6	3
Bidder 2	$25/30 \times 60 = 50.0$	$*50/55 \times 40 = 36.4$	86.4	1
Bidder 3	$22/30 \times 60 = 44.0$	$*50/50 \times 40 = 40.0$	84.0	2

Replace with:**PART 4 - EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND BASIS OF SELECTION****1. Evaluation Procedures**

- (a) Bids will be assessed in accordance with the entire requirement of the bid solicitation including the technical and financial evaluation criteria.
- (b) An evaluation team composed of representatives of Canada will evaluate the bids.

1.1 Technical Evaluation

All proposals submitted must be completed in full and provide all of the information requested in the Request for Proposal (RFP) package to enable a full and complete evaluation. If the requirement is not addressed in the bidder's proposal, the proposal will be considered incomplete or non-responsive and will be rejected. The onus is on the bidder to provide all the information necessary to ensure a complete and accurate assessment.

1.1.1 Mandatory Technical Criteria

See Annex "A" - Mandatory Specifications

1.1.2 Point Rated Technical Criteria

See Annex "B" - Point Rated Technical Criteria

Factors for Evaluation**ABILITY TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS (MANDATORY):**

The Bidder must clearly demonstrate how the requirement and each of the Mandatory Specifications are satisfied. The bidder must also submit the information requested in the associated Data Item Description (DID) documents. Failure to submit any of the requested information, or failure to do so in the requested format will result in the Bid being deemed non-compliant. By submission of a Bid, the Bidder agrees to provide all future information requested in the DIDs, according to the schedule defined therein. Bidders must also sign the compliance acknowledgement statement.

PRE-AWARD TESTING

Following evaluations, the two (2) Bidders with the Highest Combined Rating of Technical Merit and Price will advance to Pre-Award Testing. System must be made available within 60 business days of notification for 14 days for independent verification and testing by the CBSA Science and Engineering Directorate. This includes: making the system available at the CBSA's location (Ottawa, Ontario), providing necessary manuals and assistance to the CBSA expert (at no cost to the CBSA). Failure to facilitate independent verification by the CBSA expert will result in the Bidder's proposal being declared non-responsive.

Results from the Pre-Award Testing will be used to confirm compliance to Annexes "A" and "B" including point allocation of the Point Rated Technical Criteria Matrix of Annex "B". Pre-award testing will be performed only once and failure to demonstrate compliance with the mandatory specifications will result in the Bidder's proposal being declared non-responsive. The Pre-Award Testing will also be used to validate points allocated to the Bidder based on the Technical Criteria Matrix. If Bidder's point allocation ranking changes, award of contract will not be recommended. If award is not recommended, the Contractor will be responsible for pay the transportation cost associated with returning system to the Contractor's plant. The Bidder with next highest ranking will then be contacted for Pre-Award Testing.

CBSA will furnish test kits required to demonstrate systems compliance to Annex "A" and "B".

1.2 Financial Evaluation

- a) prices will be evaluated in Canadian Funds including any applicable Excise Taxes and Canadian Customs Duty (if applicable) and excluding the Goods and Services Tax (GST) or Harmonized Sales Tax (HST) (if applicable). For evaluation purposes, bids received in a foreign currency will be converted to Canadian funds using the appropriate rate of exchange using the rate quoted by the Bank of Canada as being in effect on date of bid closing.
- b) prices will be evaluated on a FOB Destination.
- c) prices will be evaluated based on all prices included in Annex "C".

2. Basis of Selection

2.1 Highest Combined Rating of Technical Merit and Price

A bid must comply with the requirements of the bid solicitation and meet all mandatory criteria (detailed in Annex "A") in order to be considered for the point-rated technical evaluation portion (detailed in Annex "B"). Bidders not meeting the Mandatory Requirements will be deemed non-responsive and no further consideration will be given.

- The evaluation will be based on the highest responsive combined rating of technical merit and price. The ratio will be 75 % for the technical merit and 25 % for the price.
- To establish the technical merit score, the overall technical score for each responsive bid will be determined as follows: total number of points obtained / maximum number of points available (100 points) multiplied by the ratio of 75 %.
- To establish the pricing score, each responsive bid will be prorated against the lowest evaluated price and the ratio of 25 %.
- For each responsive bid, the technical merit score and the pricing score will be added to determine its combined rating.
- Neither the responsive bid obtaining the highest technical score nor the one with the lowest evaluated price will necessarily be accepted. The two (2) responsive bids with the highest combined rating of technical merit and price will each be recommended for award of a contract for one (1) system.

The table below illustrates an example where all three bids are responsive and the selection of the contractor is determined by the highest overall combined ranking based on a weighting of 60% technical and 40% price, respectively. The total available points equals 30 and the lowest evaluated price is \$50,000 (50*).

Example of Highest Overall Combined Rating Technical Merit (60%) and Price (40%)				
Bidder	Bidder 1	Bidder 2	Bidder 3	

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

47064-130497/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

005

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

pv924

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

1000310497

File No. - N° du dossier

pv92447064-130497

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

Technical Points (Total Possible Points 30)	27	25	22	
Price Quoted	\$70,000.00	\$55,000.00	\$50,000.00	
Calculation	Technical Points	Price Points	Total Points	Ranking
Bidder 1	27/30 x 60 = 54.0	*50/70 x 40 = 28.6	82.6	3
Bidder 2	25/30 x 60 = 50.0	*50/55 x 40 = 36.4	86.4	1
Bidder 3	22/30 x 60 = 44.0	*50/50 x 40 = 40.0	84.0	2

All other terms and conditions remain the same