

**RETURN BIDS TO:**  
**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**  
Réception des soumissions - TPSGC / Bid Receiving  
- PWGSC  
1550 Avenue d'Estimauville  
Québec  
Québec  
G1J 0C7

## SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

### Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address  
Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution  
TPSGC/PWGSC  
1550 Avenue d'Estimauville  
Québec  
Québec  
G1J 0C7

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Dossier d'affaires	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> T8010-110163/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 001
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> T8010-11-0163	<b>Date</b> 2012-04-05
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$QCL-034-14548	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> QCL-1-34891 (034)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2012-05-09</b>	<b>Time Zone</b> Fuseau horaire Heure Avancée de l'Est HAE
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Gabra, Andrée-A.	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> qcl034
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (418) 649-2836 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (418) 648-2209
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

---

## QUESTIONS AND AMENDEDMENTS

### THE ABOVE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL IS HEREBY AMENDED AS FOLLOWS :

Included in the present amendment :

1. Questions and answers 1 to 4
  2. Amendment no 1
- 

### 1. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS :

#### QUESTION 1:

With respect to Solicitation No. T8010-110163/A:

Paragraph 2 of Section 2.1 states "The Contractor cannot sub-contract any of the work under Component 1".

Question: This requirement effectively limits the pool of potential bidders for this tender to large accounting firms. Is Transport Canada open to opening up this tender to other firms by reviewing this no sub-contract condition on Component 1?

#### ANSWER 1:

The decision not to allow subcontracting for Component 1 is firm, for the following reasons:

- The Business Case, which represents the majority of the work to be completed in Component 1, is the main deliverable for the entire contract. It is therefore crucial to Canada that it is directly in contract with the entity responsible for the Business Case.
- Furthermore, Canada believes that the requirement is in line with industry practice with regard to sub-contracting as it allows for the subcontracting of the very specialized services of the contract (traffic and revenue forecasts and preliminary engineering and cost estimates).
- Canada also believes that firms with various project management backgrounds, not only large accounting firms, can bid on this request for proposal and/or complete the work required in Component 1.
- Interested businesses can also form consortiums to bid for the services requested.

#### QUESTION 2:

With respect to Part 1 – General Information; Section 5, Conflict of Interest reads as follows: (underline added)

"The Bidder and subcontractors named in the bid, either as an individual or as part of a joint venture, may not provide advice or information either directly or indirectly for any private firm regarding the work for the new bridge's corridor if the Government of Canada decides to establish a public-private partnership (P3) to carry out the work. The Bidder and subcontractors named in the bid, either as an individual or as part of a joint venture, may not bid on this public-private partnership (P3)."

Please clarify the meaning of “Bidders and subcontractors”.

Does “Bidders and subcontractors” mean all bidders making a submission, or is it meant to apply exclusively to the successful bidder and subcontractors ?

In other words, does a party in an unsuccessful bid at this stage forego all future rights to “provide advice or information either directly or indirectly for any private firm regarding the work for the new bridge's corridor if the Government of Canada decides to establish a public-private partnership (P3) to carry out the work”?

**ANSWER 2:**

This clause concerns only the successful bidder and subcontractors.

The terms and conditions set out in the Request for Proposals will no longer apply to or bind bidders whose bids are not accepted. These bidders and subcontractors will not be bound by the Request for Proposals on which they have tendered because their bids have not been accepted.

**QUESTION 3:**

Our team has a question pertaining to the use of the Traffic and Revenue forecast:

In Annex A, Section 5.2, “Component 2 – Traffic and Revenue Forecasts”, there is discussion of the Traffic and Revenue forecast as “bankable quality” to be used to develop the business case and could be used by the federal government. The section also indicates that the Traffic and Revenue Forecasts could be used by third party private sector firms and financial institutions for the purposes of raising funds on a non-recourse project basis. Is the intent in this RFP that the proposer provides a Traffic and Revenue Forecasts that will be relied on by third parties such as a bidder to make their investment decisions and raise debt and equity financing?

Typically, bidders develop their own Traffic and Revenue forecasts and the government may provide a forecast for information purposes only. Otherwise, both the government and the firm who produced the Traffic and Revenue forecasts would incur some level of risk associated with the investment. While we can produce the quality of the forecast requested, this is a risk our firm is not willing to take.

**ANSWER 3:**

It is not the intent of this RFP that third parties use the forecasts done as part of this contract to make investment decision and/or raise debt and equity financing. The statement to which the question refers is to indicate the quality of analysis and data that Canada is looking for.

Canada's intention is the use the traffic and revenue forecasts for governmental decisions and to develop its “public comparator”. Canada agrees that the forecasts will be offered as information to the private sector for information purposes only.

**QUESTION 4:**

With respect to Solicitation No. T8010-110163/A:

Question: Has, or is Transport Canada already using one or more external advisor(s) in relation to the new St. Lawrence Bridge project? If so, what firm(s)?

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

T8010-110163/A

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

T8010-11-0163

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

001

File No. - N° du dossier

QCL-1-34891

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

qc1034

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

---

**ANSWER 4:**

Transport Canada does not currently have any external advisors for the New Bridge for the St. Lawrence project.

## 2. AMENDMENT NO 1

Please delete attachment 1 to Part 4 and insert :

### ATTACHMENT 1 to PART 4 TECHNICAL CRITERIA

- 1) For the mandatory and point rated criteria, the experience described in the bid must be the experience of the Bidder itself and or its subcontractors (which includes the experience of any companies that formed the Bidder by way of a merger and include any experience acquired through a purchase of assets or an assignment of contract). The experience of the Bidder's affiliates (i.e. parent, subsidiary or sister corporations) will not be considered. For work experience, Canada will not consider experience gained as part of an educational programme, except for experience gained through a formal co-operative programme at a post-secondary institution.
- 2) Joint venture submissions are not to exceed the maximum number of projects. To be considered, a project must have been carried out by at least one of the joint venture parties. If the Proponent is a joint venture, made up of firms A and B, for example, the project presented may be projects involving the AB joint venture or projects involving firm A or firm B only.
- 3) The Bidder should described its overall role in each of the projects presented, and should demonstrate that the work undertaken in the context of that project is relevant to the work assigned in the Statement of Work of this contract.
- 4) The Bidder should provide complete details as to where, when and how (through which activities/responsibilities) the stated qualifications/experience were obtained. In order to demonstrate when experience was obtained, the Bidder should indicate the duration of such experience, specifying the start and end dates (month and year at a minimum). In the case where the timelines of two or more projects or experience overlap, the duration of time common to each project/experience will not normally be counted more than once for a given criterion.
- 5) Proposed resources may be employees of the Bidder or employees of a subcontractor, or these individuals may be independent contractors to whom the Bidder would subcontract a portion of the work. For the work under Component 1, proposed resources must be employees of the Bidder.
- 6) For educational requirements for a particular degree, designation or certificate, Canada will only consider educational programs that were successfully completed by the resource by the time of bid closing. Canada will only accept a degree or diploma that is recognized by the Department of Education of any Canadian province, or for degrees obtained in a foreign country, by either of the credential assessment organizations listed on the Website: <http://www.cicic.ca/>
- 7) For requirements relating to professional designation or membership the Bidder must demonstrate that resources are accredited, or able to be accredited, certified or authorized, to offer the required professional services as prescribed in the provincial laws of the province of Québec and must continue, where applicable, to be a member in good standing of the profession's governing body throughout the evaluation and Contract Period. The Bidder must indicate how he intends to respect the license and authorization requirements of the province of Québec.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

T8010-110163/A

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

T8010-11-0163

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

001

File No. - N° du dossier

QCL-1-34891

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

qc1034

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

### 1.1.1 **Mandatory Technical Criteria**

The bid must meet the mandatory technical criteria specified below. The Bidder should provide the necessary documentation to support compliance with this requirement.

Any bid which fails to meet the mandatory technical criteria will be declared non-responsive. Each mandatory technical criterion should be addressed separately.

The Bidder should clearly cross reference each mandatory technical criterion to the Bid.

The Bidder can use the same project(s) to address the requirements for different criterion.

For mandatory requirements (MT-1, MT-4 and MT-5) listed below, the Bidder and its proposed resource(s) should demonstrate using project descriptions which include:

- a) Project description: the Bidder must submit projects that are completed or for which construction has started;
- b) Project time frame in date & total months (ex: Jan 2005 to Jan 2006 - 12 months);
- c) Objective;
- d) Scope of work;
- e) Size in dollars of its part;
- f) Size in dollars of the whole project from its planning phases until the end of construction;
- g) Outcome of the project regarding the deliverables;
- h) Contribution of the Bidder or proposed resources to the project, if applicable;
- i) Name of client (Technical Authority) and brief description of client organization;
- j) Name of the company where the proposed resource worked during the time of the project, if applicable;
- k) Name, phone number and/or email of client reference (must be from client organization and implicated in i) above).

For mandatory requirements (MT-3, MT-6, MT-7 and MT-8) listed below, the Bidder and its proposed resource(s) should demonstrate using project descriptions which include:

- a) Description of experience;
- b) Time frame in date & total months of detailed experience (ex: Jan 2005 to Jan 2006 - 12 months);
- c) Name of the company where the proposed resource worked during the time of the project;
- d) Name, phone number and/or email of reference (must be from companies in c) above).

Canada reserves the right to contact those in charge of the projects submitted by the Bidder to validate the information provided in the project summaries. Failure to provide contact information of client reference could lead to the bids declared non-responsive.

**Mandatory Technical Criteria (MT) - The Bidder's Experience**

Number	Mandatory Technical Criterion	MET	NOT MET	Cross Reference to Proposal
MT-1	<p>The Bidder must provide summaries for three (3) projects relevant to the work of each of the three (3) Components (a total of nine (9) projects must be presented). Only the first three (3) projects presented by Component will be examined (for a total of 9 projects). Any additional projects will be ignored as if they were not submitted.</p> <p>The Bidder must provide projects that have reached completion or for which construction has started.</p> <p>The project summaries must describe in detail the Bidder's role within each project, in accordance with the following requirements:</p> <p>a) The Bidder's work for each project must have been conducted in the past ten (10) years from bid closing date.</p> <p>b) For Component 1, at least one (1) project presented has to include a business case for the planning and evaluation of a design and/or construction project in the transportation infrastructure industry, such as bridges, highways, roadways, airports, ports, transit systems and/or railways.</p> <p>c) The value of each of the nine (9) projects described (from its planning phases until the end of construction) must not be less than \$95 million.</p> <p>d) Additionally, the projects presented must include, at a minimum, the following work/activities for each respective Component:</p> <p>Projects for Component 1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Development of a Business Case evaluating various procurement modes, including at least one (1) project evaluating P3.</li> </ul> <p>Projects for Component 2:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic and revenue forecasts</li> </ul> <p>Projects for Component 3:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Development and evaluation of structural design concepts for bridges; and,</li> <li>• Estimation of costs for design and construction of bridges.</li> </ul>			

**Mandatory Technical Criteria (MT) - The Bidder's Experience**

Number	Mandatory Technical Criterion	MET	NOT MET	Cross Reference to Proposal
<b>MT-2</b>	<p>The Bidder must clearly identify the following resources:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• one (1) Project Coordinator for the overall project. The Project Coordinator must be a resource assigned to Component 1. For this Component, the Project Coordinator and the Component Lead can be the same resource;</li> <li>• one (1) Component Lead for Component 1;</li> <li>• one (1) Component Lead for Component 2; and,</li> <li>• one (1) Component Lead for Component 3.</li> </ul> <p>In addition, the Bidder must also provide the name and the title of up to a maximum of seven (7) Team Members including the Component Lead, for each Component. If the Project Coordinator's involvement in Component 1 work is limited to project coordination functions, the Bidder does not have to include this resource as part of Component 1 Team.</p> <p>The experience of these Team Members will be requested and assessed further under point rated criteria RT-2.2, RT-2.3, RT-3.2 and RT-4.2.</p> <p>For the purpose of the mandatory technical criteria evaluation, Team and Team Members represent the members (up to a maximum of seven (7) resources for each Component) identified by the Bidder that will, altogether, complete at least 80% of the work, as described in the Statement of Work for each Component. The Team will be composed of up to seven (7) individual "Team Members".</p>			
<b>MT-3</b>	<p>The Bidder must demonstrate that the resource designated to assume the responsibilities of the Project Coordinator has at least ten (10) years (120 months) of cumulative experience in the management and the coordination of multidisciplinary projects.</p> <p>The Project Coordinator must have, at a minimum, experience in :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• administrative and operational management;</li> <li>• direction and/or supervision of teams, technicians and auxiliaries;</li> <li>• monitoring of quality control and of procedures and;</li> <li>• managing client relationship and providing briefings.</li> </ul>			
<b>MT-4</b>	<p>The Bidder must demonstrate that it has prepared Traffic and Revenue Forecasts, that were used to leverage financing projects with a total value that must not be less than \$250 million (from its planning phases until the end of construction and/or, in case of a P3, until the end of the concession period) through banks, bonds, pension funds, other financial or lending institutions or other public market offerings.</p> <p>The Bidder must provide projects that have reached completion or for which construction has started.</p>			

**Mandatory Technical Criteria (MT) - The Bidder's Experience**

Number	Mandatory Technical Criterion	MET	NOT MET	Cross Reference to Proposal
	A total of seven (7) relevant projects must be presented. Only the first seven (7) projects presented will be examined. Any additional projects will be ignored as if they were not submitted.			
MT-5	<p>The Bidder must demonstrate that at least one (1) of the resources assigned to the Component 2 Team has prepared traffic and revenue forecasts that were used for leveraging financing that must not be less than \$100 million through banks, bonds, pension funds, other financial or lending institutions or other public market offerings.</p> <p>The Bidder must provide projects that have reached completion or for which construction has started.</p> <p>A total of three (3) projects must be presented. Only the first three (3) projects presented will be examined. Any additional projects will be ignored as if they were not submitted.</p>			
MT-6	The Bidder must demonstrate that at least one (1) of the resources assigned to the Component 3 Team is an engineer with at least 10 years (120 months) of cumulative experience in bridge design and construction/reconstruction.			
MT-7	The Bidder must demonstrate that at least one (1) of the resources assigned to the Component 3 Team is an engineer with at least 10 years (120 months) of cumulative experience in highway design and construction.			
MT-8	The Bidder must demonstrate that at least one (1) of the resources assigned to the Component 3 Team is an engineer with at least 10 years (120 months) of cumulative experience in transportation and traffic engineering.			

### 1.1.2 **Point Rated Technical Criteria (RT)**

Bids which meet all the mandatory technical criteria will be evaluated and scored as specified in the tables inserted below.

Bids which fail to obtain the required minimum number of points specified will be declared non-responsive. Each point rated technical criterion should be addressed separately.

The Bidder should clearly cross reference each point rated technical criteria to the Bid.

The Bidder can use the same project(s) to address the requirements for different criterion. However, three (3) distinct projects have to be presented for each applicable criterion.

For point rated requirements (RT1, RT2, RT3, RT4) listed below, the Bidder and its proposed resource(s) should demonstrate using project descriptions which include:

- a) Project description: the Bidder must submit projects that are completed or for which construction has started;
- b) Project time frame in date & total months (ex: Jan 2005 to Jan 2006 - 12 months);
- c) Objective;
- d) Scope of work;
- e) Size in dollars of its part;
- f) Size in dollars of the whole project from its planning phases until the end of construction;
- g) Outcome of the project regarding the deliverables;
- h) Contribution of the Bidder or proposed resources to the project, if applicable;
- i) Name of client (Technical Authority) and brief description of client organization;
- j) Name of the company where the proposed resource worked during the time of the project, if applicable;
- k) Name, phone number and/or email of client reference (must be from client organization and implicated in i) above).

Canada reserves the right to contact those in charge of the projects submitted by the Bidder to validate the information provided in the project summaries. Failure to provide contact information of client reference could lead to the bids declared non-responsive.

For the purpose of the point rated technical criteria evaluation, "urban context" is considered an agglomeration of 500,000 residents or more.

The following rating scheme will be used to evaluate all Point Rated Technical Criteria.

Table 1 : Evaluation Scale for Point Rated Criteria

NON RESPONSIVE	INADEQUATE	VERY POOR	POOR	JUST ACCEPTABLE	ACCEPTABLE	GOOD	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT
0 point	1-2 point	3-4 points	5 points	6 points	7 points	8 points	9 points	10 points
Did not submit information which could be evaluated	Absolutely inadequate	Slightly or substantially below the requirements requested	Just fails to meet the requirements requested	Just meets the requirements requested	Meets the requirements requested	Slightly exceeds the requirements requested	Exceeds the requirements requested	By far exceeds the requirements requested

### Summary of the Evaluation Structure for the Point Rated Criteria

<b>Bidder Proposed Work Plan</b>	<b>Minimum (60%)</b>	<b>Maximum Points</b>
RT-1.1 Understanding of the assignment	N/A	10
RT-1.2 Approach and methodology	N/A	40
RT-1.3 Allocation of resources, responsibilities, level of effort	N/A	30
RT-1.4 Risk management and contingency plan	N/A	10
RT-1.5 Performance control system	N/A	20
<b>Sub Total - Bidder Proposed Work Plan</b>	<b>66 pts</b>	<b>110 pts</b>
<b>Bidder Experience for Component 1</b>	<b>Minimum (60%)</b>	<b>Maximum Points</b>
RT-2.1 Capacity of Bidder to carry out the work	N/A	30
RT-2.2 Capacity of Project Coordinator to carry out the work	N/A	10
RT-2.3 Capacity of Team to carry out the work	N/A	30
<b>Sub Total - Bidder Experience for Component 1</b>	<b>42 pts</b>	<b>70 pts</b>
<b>Bidder Experience for Component 2</b>	<b>Minimum (60%)</b>	<b>Maximum Points</b>
RT-3.1 Capacity of Bidder to carry out the work	N/A	30
RT-3.2 Capacity of Team to carry out the work	N/A	30
<b>Sub Total - Bidder Experience for Component 2</b>	<b>36 pts</b>	<b>60 pts</b>
<b>Bidder Experience for Component 3</b>	<b>Minimum (60%)</b>	<b>Maximum Points</b>
RT-4.1 Capacity of Bidder to carry out the work	N/A	30
RT-4.2 Capacity of Team to carry out the work	N/A	30
<b>Sub Total - Bidder Experience for Component 3</b>	<b>36 pts</b>	<b>60 pts</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>180 pts</b>	<b>300 pts</b>

RT-1 Point Rated Technical Criteria - The Bidder's Proposed Work Plan			
Number	Description	Scoring Methodology	Cross Reference to Proposal
<b>RT-1.1</b>	<p><b>Understanding of the assignment</b>            The Bidder should demonstrate in its Work Plan its understanding of the work required in the Statement of Work. The Bidder is to provide a narrative of no more than three (3) pages in length detailing its understanding of the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• objectives;</li> <li>• scope; and,</li> <li>• roles and responsibilities and deliverables of the Statement of Work.</li> </ul> <p>The factors evaluated, at a minimum, include thoroughness, comprehension, clarity, completeness and accuracy.</p> <p>Only the first three (3) pages presented will be examined. Any additional pages will be ignored as if they were not submitted..</p>	<p>Scoring one (1) time the values in Table 1.</p> <p><b>Maximum: 10 Points</b></p>	
<b>RT-1.2</b>	<p><b>Approach and methodology</b>            The Bidder should demonstrate in its Work Plan that its approach and methodology to accomplish the work and to produce the deliverables for each Component in the Statement of Work are comprehensive and demonstrate how the Bidder will complete all aspects of the work.</p> <p>The Bidder's Work Plan should also include all chronological steps required from initiation to completion of the contract in order to respect the deadlines. The approach and methodology should be clearly described, coherent, relevant for the realization of the services, complete and realistic.</p> <p>This information should be presented in a description of no more than twenty (20) pages in length. Only the first twenty (20) pages</p>	<p>Scoring four (4) times the values in Table 1.</p> <p><b>Maximum: 40 Points</b></p>	

RT-1 Point Rated Technical Criteria - The Bidder's Proposed Work Plan			
Number	Description	Scoring Methodology	Cross Reference to Proposal
RT-1.3	<p>presented will be examined. Any additional pages will be ignored as if they were not submitted.</p> <p><b>Allocation of resources, responsibilities, level of effort</b></p> <p>The Bidder should demonstrate in its Work Plan that the assignment of personnel, allocation of responsibilities and level of effort for each Team Member and for all services in the Statement of Work are appropriate to the work. This should be presented in a description of no more than three (3) pages in length. Only the first three (3) pages presented will be examined. Any additional pages will be ignored as if they were not submitted.</p> <p>To justify appropriate assignment of personnel, allocation of responsibilities and level of effort, the Bidder should provide a description of each Team Member's relevant experience (for a maximum of seven (7) members per Component). This should describe the projects that were assigned to each presented Team Member over, at a maximum, the past fifteen (15) years from bid closing date, and should describe the Team Member's role within that project. The description of the experience should be presented on no more than two (2) pages in length per Team Member. Only the first two (2) pages for each Team Member presented will be examined. Any additional pages will be ignored as if they were not submitted.</p> <p>For the purpose of the point rated technical criteria evaluation, Team and Team Members represent the members (up to a maximum of seven (7) resources for each Component) identified by the Bidder that will, altogether, complete at least 80% of the work, as described in the Statement of Work for each Component. The Team will be composed of up to seven (7) individual "Team Members".</p>	<p>Scoring three (3) times the values in Table 1.</p> <p><b>Maximum: 30 Points</b></p>	

RT-1 Point Rated Technical Criteria - The Bidder's Proposed Work Plan			
Number	Description	Scoring Methodology	Cross Reference to Proposal
<b>RT-1.4</b>	<p><b>Risk management and contingency plan</b>            The Bidder should demonstrate that it has an effective risk management and contingency plan to account for foreseeable risks in the conduct of the work in the Statement of Work.</p> <p>The Bidder should clearly describe the elements of the project that are at risk and propose a mitigation plan that will allow the completion of the performance-based objectives within the allocated costs and time. The elements described should be pertinent and the mitigation plan should be realistic.</p> <p>This should be presented in a description of no more than three (3) pages in length. Only the first three (3) pages presented will be examined. Any additional pages will be ignored as if they were not submitted.</p>	<p>Scoring one (1) times the values in Table 1.</p> <p><b>Maximum: 10 Points</b></p>	
<b>RT-1.5</b>	<p><b>Performance control system</b>            The Bidder should demonstrate that it has an effective performance control system and management approach including control and management of sub-contracts (if required) in place, that are applicable to the present work.</p> <p>The Bidder should demonstrate his quality and performance control process in terms of managing deliverables for each Component (identify Team Members involved in assuring quality control).</p> <p>This should be presented in a description of no more than four (4) pages in length. Only the first four (4) pages presented will be examined. Any additional pages will be ignored as if they were not submitted.</p>	<p>Scoring two (2) times the values in Table 1.</p> <p><b>Maximum: 20 Points</b></p>	
<b>TOTAL POINTS - RT-1</b>		<b>Minimum required : 66 Points Maximum: 110 Points</b>	

RT-2 Point Rated Technical Criteria - Bidder Experience for Component 1		
Description	Scoring Methodology	Cross Reference to Proposal
<p><b>RT-2.1 : Capacity of the Bidder to carry out the work</b></p> <p>The Bidder should demonstrate its ability to conduct the work assigned in Component 1, as described in the Statement of Work, by detailing three (3) distinct projects already completed or for which construction started within in the past ten (10) years from bid closing date.</p> <p>Project descriptions should be presented on no more than three (3) pages per project. Only the first three (3) pages presented will be examined. Any additional pages will be ignored as if they were not submitted.</p> <p>Altogether, the projects presented should cover the group of sub-criteria below:</p> <p><b>1. Projects with the development of a Business Case and evaluation of various modes of procurement.</b></p> <p>The various procurement modes include, but are not limited to, Traditional, Design-Build, P3, etc. A project that includes a Business Case evaluating three (3) or more procurement modes, including a P3, and involves the government of Canada and the government of Québec is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p> <p><b>2. Projects with an analysis that includes the establishment and determination of risks and evaluation of transfer to the private sector.</b></p> <p>Project that includes a risk analysis conducted in the context of a P3 project.</p> <p>A project with this type of risk analysis and also involving the government of Canada, the government of Québec, and a combination of subsequent roadway(s) and bridge(s) construction and/or reconstruction in an urban setting is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p> <p><b>3. Projects involving the development of an implementation plan.</b></p> <p>The development of an implementation plan includes the definition of a critical path for the project from its planning phases until the end of construction.</p>	<p>Up to thirty (30) points will be allocated for the combination of the three (3) projects presented and demonstrating relevant work experience.</p> <p>Scoring will be three (3) times the values described in Table 1 for the combination of the projects presented.</p> <p>The sub-criteria, and their respective weights, will be evaluated as follows:</p> <p>1. Projects with the development of a Business Case and evaluation of various modes of procurement. Weight: 40%</p> <p>2. Projects with an analysis that includes the establishment and determination of risks and evaluation of transfer to the private sector. Weight: 40%</p> <p>3. Projects involving the development of an implementation plan. Weight: 20%</p>	

RT-2 Point Rated Technical Criteria - Bidder Experience for Component 1		
Description	Scoring Methodology	Cross Reference to Proposal
<p>A P3 project for the construction or reconstruction and/or operation of transportation infrastructures, in an urban setting and involving the government of Canada, the government of Québec is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score</p> <p><b>RT-2.2: Capacity of the Project Coordinator to carry out the work</b></p> <p>The Bidder should demonstrate the ability of the Project Coordinator to undertake the work described in the Statement of Work.</p> <p>A description clearly highlighting the experience of the presented Project Coordinator, in the past fifteen (15) years from bid closing date, relevant to the each sub-criterion below should be provided.</p> <p>The Project Coordinator's experience should be presented on a maximum of two (2) pages. Only the first two (2) pages presented will be examined. Any additional pages will be ignored as if they were not submitted.</p> <p>The experience relevant to each sub-criterion can have been gained in different projects.</p> <p>The following sub-criteria will be evaluated with respect to the Project Coordinator's experience as a whole:</p> <p><b>1. Project Management.</b></p> <p>Project management includes, at a minimum, the development of Work Plans, the oversight of project schedules in the context of multidisciplinary projects and scoping of work from planning phases to the end of construction. A multidisciplinary project involving the transportation industry, financial advisory services, an engineering analysis (such as evaluation of design concepts, preliminary or detailed design) and the development of a Business Case is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p> <p><b>2. Briefings and presentations to Senior Management.</b></p> <p>Senior Management, at Transport Canada, is equivalent to Director General or higher. Equivalents in other levels of government and private sector will be considered.</p>	<p><b>Maximum: 30 points</b></p> <p>Up to ten (10) points will be allocated for the evaluated resource's relevant experience.</p> <p>Scoring will be one (1) time the values described in Table 1 for the resource presented.</p> <p>The sub-criteria, and their respective weights, will be evaluated as follows:</p> <p>1. Project Management. Weight: 80%</p> <p>2. Briefings and presentations to Senior Management. Weight: 20%</p> <p>Maximum: 10 points</p>	

RT-2 Point Rated Technical Criteria - Bidder Experience for Component 1		
Description	Scoring Methodology	Cross Reference to Proposal
<p>Briefings and presentations to a combination of political staff and senior federal public servants of Canada is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p>		
<p><b>RT-2.3: Capacity of the Team to carry out the work</b></p> <p>The Bidder should demonstrate the ability of the Team assigned to Component 1 of the project to undertake the work described in the Statement of Work.</p> <p>For the purpose of this point rated technical criteria evaluation, Team and Team Members represent the members (up to a maximum of seven (7) resources for Component 1) identified by the Bidder that will, altogether, complete at least 80% of the work, as described in the Statement of Work for each Component. The Team will be composed of up to seven (7) individual "Team Members".</p> <p>If the Project Coordinator's involvement in Component 1 work is limited to project coordination functions, the Bidder does not have to include this resource as part of Component 1 Team.</p> <p>The Bidder should present the experience of a maximum of seven (7) Team Members, and must include the Component Lead resource assigned to Component 1.</p> <p>The Bidder should provide a description, of maximum two (2) pages in length, of each Team Member's relevant experience. Only the first two (2) pages presented will be examined. Any additional pages will be ignored as if they were not submitted.</p> <p>The description should explain the projects that were assigned to the Team Member over, at a maximum, the past fifteen (15) years from bid closing date, and should demonstrate the Team Member's role within that project, as relevant to the sub-criteria described below. The Bidder must present projects that have reached completion or for which construction has started.</p> <p>The experience relevant to each sub-criterion can have been gained in various projects. It is not expected that each Team Member possess experience relevant to all of the sub-criteria</p>	<p>Up to thirty (30) points will be allocated for the evaluated resources' combined relevant experience.</p> <p>Scoring will be three (3) times the values described in Table 1 for the group of resources presented</p> <p>The sub-criteria, and their respective weights, will be evaluated as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Development of a Business Case including the evaluation of various modes of procurement. Weight: 40%</li> <li>2. Analysis including establishment and determination of risks and evaluation of transfer to the private sector. Weight: 40%</li> <li>3. Development of implementation plans. Weight: 20%</li> </ol>	

RT-2 Point Rated Technical Criteria - Bidder Experience for Component 1		
Description	Scoring Methodology	Cross Reference to Proposal
<p>described below. However, the composition of the Team's resources must possess experience relevant to all of the sub-criteria below.</p> <p>The following sub-criteria will be evaluated with respect to the Team's experience as a whole:</p> <p><b>1. Development of a Business Case including the evaluation of various modes of procurement.</b></p> <p>The various procurement modes of procurement include, but are not limited to, Traditional, Design-Build, P3, etc. A project that includes a Business Case evaluating three (3) or more procurement modes, including a P3, and involves the government of Canada and the government of Québec is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p> <p><b>2. Analysis including establishment and determination of risks and evaluation of transfer to the private sector.</b></p> <p>Project that includes a risk analysis conducted in the context of projects for the construction or reconstruction and/or operation of transportation infrastructure (bridge, roadway, highway, transit way, railway, airport, etc.) in an urban setting. A project with this type of risk analysis, particularly for a P3, and also involving the government of Canada, the government of Québec, a combination of subsequent roadway(s) and bridge(s) in an urban setting is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p> <p><b>3. Development of implementation plan.</b></p> <p>The development of an implementation plan includes the definition of a critical path for the project from its planning phases until the end of construction. A P3 project for the construction or reconstruction and/or operation of transportation infrastructures, in an urban setting and involving the government of Canada, the government of Québec is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p>	<p><b>Maximum: 30 points</b></p>	
<b>TOTAL POINTS - RT-2</b>		<p><b>Minimum required: 42 Points</b>  <b>Maximum: 70 Points</b></p>

RT-3 Point Rated Technical Criteria - Bidder Experience for Component 2		
Description	Scoring Methodology	Cross Reference to Proposal
<p><b>RT-3.1 : Capacity of the Bidder to carry out the work</b></p> <p>The Bidder should demonstrate its ability to conduct the work assigned in Component 2, as described in the Statement of Work, by detailing three (3) distinct projects already completed or for which construction started within in the past ten (10) years from bid closing date.</p> <p>Project descriptions should be presented on no more than three (3) pages per project. Only the first three (3) pages presented will be examined. Any additional pages will be ignored as if they were not submitted.</p> <p>Altogether, the projects presented should cover the group of sub-criteria below:</p> <p><b>1. Projects for infrastructure handling large volumes of traffic.</b>          An infrastructure handling traffic volumes of approximately 30 million vehicles or more annually for roadways and bridges, or 5 million passengers or more annually in the case of other transportation infrastructure, is considered to handle large volumes of traffic. An infrastructure handling more than approximately 50 million vehicles or more annually for bridges and roadways, or 15 million passengers annually in the case of other transportation infrastructure, is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p> <p><b>2. Projects involving public transit.</b>          Public transit includes, but is not limited to, transit buses, Light Rail Transit, subways or other systems. A project involving multiple types/modes of public transit, such as a combination of buses and Light Rail Transit, is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p> <p><b>3. Projects involving the implementation of tolls.</b>          A project where tolls are being considered for implementation. A project involving the implementation of tolls on corridors in an urban context where tolls were not previously applied and where various tolling schemes were evaluated is an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p>	<p>Up to thirty (30) points will be allocated for the combination of the three (3) projects presented and demonstrating relevant work experience.</p> <p>Scoring will be three (3) times the values described in Table 1 for the combination of the projects presented.</p> <p>The sub-criteria, and their respective weights, will be evaluated as follows:</p> <p>1. Projects for infrastructure handling large volumes of traffic.          Weight: 10%</p> <p>2. Projects involving public transit.          Weight: 10%</p> <p>3. Projects involving the implementation of tolls.          Weight: 20%</p> <p>4. Projects involving possible diversion of traffic to free alternative routes.          Weight: 20%</p>	

RT-3 Point Rated Technical Criteria - Bidder Experience for Component 2		
Description	Scoring Methodology	Cross Reference to Proposal
<p><b>4. Projects involving possible diversion of traffic to free alternative routes.</b>            A project where traffic has the option to divert to an alternative route. A project that is in an urban context with mixed residential and commercial environments, with mixed traffic (commercial and commuter/private vehicles), and in which multiple free alternative routes are available for users is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p> <p><b>5. Projects involving complex traffic data analysis.</b>            A project involving a traffic data analysis with a minimum one (1) of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- traffic scenarios with multiple route choices;</li> <li>- future infrastructures that are not yet available to traffic but will impact the results of the traffic analysis;</li> <li>- a mix of residential/local roadways and highways;</li> <li>- the option for users to choose public transit alternatives; and,</li> <li>- tolled roadways or other tolled transportation infrastructures in proximity.</li> </ul> <p>A project involving all of the characteristics mentioned above is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p> <p>For the purpose of this criterion, projects involving possible diversion to free alternatives are considered projects that have the availability of different routes that users can decide to take to avoid paying a toll.</p>	<p>5. Projects involving complex traffic data analysis.            Weight: 40%</p> <p><b>Maximum: 30 points</b></p>	
<p><b>RT-3.2: Capacity of the Team to carry out the work</b></p> <p>The Bidder should demonstrate ability of the Team assigned to Component 2 of the project to undertake the work as described in the Statement of Work.</p> <p>For the purpose of this point rated technical criteria evaluation, Team and Team Members represent the members (up to a maximum of seven (7) resources for Component 2) identified by the Bidder that will, altogether, complete at least 80% of the work, as described in the</p>	<p>Up to thirty (30) points will be allocated for the evaluated resources' combined relevant experience.</p> <p>Scoring will be three (3) times the values described in Table 1 for the group of resources presented.</p>	

RT-3 Point Rated Technical Criteria - Bidder Experience for Component 2		
Description	Scoring Methodology	Cross Reference to Proposal
<p>Statement of Work for each Component. The Team will be composed of up to seven (7) individual "Team Members".</p> <p>The Bidder should present the experience of a maximum of seven (7) Team Members, and must include the Component Lead resource assigned to Component 2.</p> <p>The Bidder should provide a description, of maximum two (2) pages in length, of each Team Member's relevant experience. Only the first two (2) pages presented will be examined. Any additional pages will be ignored as if they were not submitted.</p> <p>The description should explain the projects that were assigned to the Team Member over, at a maximum, the past fifteen (15) years from bid closing date, and should demonstrate the Team Member's role within that project, as relevant to the sub-criteria described below. The Bidder must present projects that have reached completion or for which construction has started.</p> <p>The experience relevant to each sub-criterion can have been gained in different projects. It is not expected that each Team Member possess experience relevant to all of the sub-criteria described below. However, the composition of the Team's resources must possess experience relevant to all of the criteria below.</p> <p>The Bidder should describe the Team Member's relevant experience in conducting complex traffic data analysis and developing traffic revenue forecasts for similar projects and should include characteristics as described in the following sub-criteria:</p> <p><b>1. Projects for infrastructure handling large volumes of traffic.</b>          An infrastructure handling traffic volumes of approximately 30 million vehicles or more annually for roadways and bridges, or 5 million passengers or more annually in the case of other transportation infrastructure, is considered to handle large volumes of traffic. An infrastructure handling more than approximately 50 million vehicles or more annually for bridges and roadways, or 15 million passengers annually in the case of other transportation infrastructure, is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p>	<p>The sub-criteria, and their respective weights, will be evaluated as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Projects for infrastructure handling large volumes of traffic. Weight: 20%</li> <li>2. Projects involving public transit. Weight: 20%</li> <li>3. Projects involving the implementation of tolls. Weight: 20%</li> <li>4. Projects involving possible diversion of traffic to free alternative routes. Weight: 20%</li> <li>5. Projects involving complex traffic data analysis. Weight: 20%</li> </ol> <p><b>Maximum: 30 points</b></p>	

RT-3 Point Rated Technical Criteria - Bidder Experience for Component 2		
Description	Scoring Methodology	Cross Reference to Proposal
<p><b>2. Projects involving public transit.</b> Public transit includes, but is not limited to, transit buses, Light Rail Transit, subways or other systems. A project involving multiple types/modes of public transit, such as a combination of buses and Light Rail Transit, is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p> <p><b>3. Projects involving the implementation of tolls.</b> A project where tolls are being considered for implementation is considered similar. A project involving the implementation of tolls on corridors in an urban context where tolls were not previously applied and where various tolling schemes were evaluated is an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p> <p><b>4. Projects involving possible diversion of traffic to free alternative routes.</b> A project where traffic has the option to divert to an alternative route is considered similar. A project that is in an urban context with mixed residential and commercial environments, and with mixed traffic (commercial and commuter/private vehicles), and in which multiple free alternative routes are available for users is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p> <p><b>5. Projects involving complex traffic data analysis.</b> A project involving a traffic data analysis with a minimum one (1) of the following is considered similar:            - a traffic scenarios with multiple route choices;            - future infrastructures that are not yet available to traffic but will impact the results of the traffic analysis;            - a mix of residential/local roadways and highways;            - the option for users to chose public transit alternatives; and,</p>		

RT-3 Point Rated Technical Criteria - Bidder Experience for Component 2			
Description		Scoring Methodology	Cross Reference to Proposal
<p>- tolled roadways or other tolled transportation infrastructures in proximity.</p> <p>A project involving <b>all</b> of the characteristics mentioned above is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p> <p>For the purpose of this criterion, projects involving possible diversion to free alternatives are considered projects that have the availability of different routes that users can decide to take to avoid paying a toll.</p>			
TOTAL POINTS - RT-3		Minimum required : 36 Points Maximum : 60 Points	

RT-4 Point Rated Technical Criteria - Bidder Experience for Component 3		
Description	Scoring Methodology	Cross Reference to Proposal
<p><b>RT4.1: Capacity of the Bidder to carry out the work</b></p> <p>The Bidder should demonstrate its ability to conduct the work assigned in Component 3, as described in the Statement of Work, by detailing three (3) distinct projects already completed or for which construction started within the past ten (10) years from bid closing date.</p> <p>Project descriptions should be presented on no more than three (3) pages per project. Only the first three (3) pages presented will be examined. Any additional pages will be ignored as if they were not submitted.</p> <p>Altogether, the projects presented should cover the group of sub-criteria below:</p> <p><b>1. Projects involving bridges with multiple lanes in each direction.</b></p> <p>A bridge with two (2) or more lanes in each direction is considered to have multiple lanes in each direction. A bridge with four (4) or more lanes in each direction and including lane(s) reserved for public transit and bicycle or recreational path(s) is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p> <p><b>2. Projects involving long bridges.</b></p> <p>A bridge of more than 250 meter in length (abutment to abutment) is considered to be long. A bridge that is longer than 1.5 kilometers and that spans over major navigable waters is an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p> <p><b>3. Project involving highways.</b></p> <p>Highways must have at least two (2) lanes in each direction and a posted speed limit of at least 70 km/h. Highways with four (4) or more lanes in each direction, including lanes reserved for public transit (buses, Light Rail Transit or other modes) are an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p> <p><b>4. Projects involving complex transportation infrastructures.</b></p> <p>Complex transportation infrastructures can include, but is not limited to, projects involving the combination of multiple transportation infrastructures (bridges, tunnels, overpasses, railways,</p>	<p>Up to thirty (30) points will be allocated for the combination of the three (3) projects presented and demonstrating relevant work experience.</p> <p>Scoring will be three (3) times the values described in Table 1 for the combination of the projects presented.</p> <p>The sub-criteria, and their respective weights, will be evaluated as follows:</p> <p>1. Projects involving bridges with multiple lanes in each direction. Weight: 15%</p> <p>2. Projects involving long bridges. Weight: 15%</p> <p>3. Project involving highways. Weight: 15%</p> <p>4. Projects involving complex transportation infrastructures. Weight: 55%</p>	

RT-4 Point Rated Technical Criteria - Bidder Experience for Component 3		
Description	Scoring Methodology	Cross Reference to Proposal
<p>highways, retaining walls, etc.). Projects in an urban context that also have all of the following characteristics are an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- a combination of bridges, highways and other transportation infrastructures;</li> <li>- a bridge designated as "lifeline" (as defined in CAN/CSA-S6-06);</li> <li>- a combination of modes of public transit (buses, Light Rail Transit or other); and</li> <li>- involve the relocation or integration/installation of public utilities (such as hydro, gas, sewer, water, cables, etc.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Maximum: 30 points</b></p>	
<p><b>RT-4.2: Capacity of the Team to carry out the work</b></p> <p>The Bidder should demonstrate the ability of the Team assigned to Component 3 of the project, as described in the Statement of Work, to conduct such a service.</p> <p>For the purpose of this point rated technical criteria evaluation, Team and Team Members represent the members (up to a maximum of seven (7) resources for Component 3) identified by the Bidder that will, altogether, complete at least 80% of the work, as described in the Statement of Work for each Component. The Team will be composed of up to seven (7) individual "Team Members".</p> <p>The Bidder should present the experience of a maximum of seven (7) Team Members, and must include the Component Lead resource assigned to Component 3.</p> <p>The Bidder should provide a description, of maximum two (2) pages in length, of each Team Member's relevant experience. Only the first two (2) pages presented will be examined. Any additional pages will be ignored as if they were not submitted.</p> <p>The description should explain the projects that were assigned to the Team Member over, at a maximum, the past fifteen (15) years from bid closing date, and should demonstrate the Team Member's role within that project, as relevant to the sub-criteria described below. The Bidder must present projects that have reached completion or for which construction has started.</p> <p>The experience relevant to each sub-criterion can have been gained in different projects. It is not expected that each Team Member possess experience relevant to all of the criteria described below.</p>	<p>Up to thirty (30) points will be allocated for the evaluated resources' combined relevant experience.</p> <p>Scoring will be three (3) times the values described in Table 1 for the group of resources presented</p> <p>The sub-criteria, and their respective weights, will be evaluated as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Design of major bridges. Weight: 35%</li> <li>2. Design of major highways. Weight: 15%</li> <li>3. Preparation of traffic management plans. Weight: 15%</li> <li>4. Estimation of construction costs. Weight: 20%</li> </ol>	

RT-4 Point Rated Technical Criteria - Bidder Experience for Component 3		
Description	Scoring Methodology	Cross Reference to Proposal
<p>However, the composition of the Team's resources must possess experience relevant to all of the criteria below.</p> <p>The following sub-criteria will be evaluated with respect to the Team's experience as a whole:</p> <p><b>1. Design of major bridges.</b> A major bridge, for the purpose of this criterion, is at a minimum a bridge longer than approximately 250 meters (abutment to abutment), with at least two (2) or more lanes in each direction. The design of a bridge with all of the following characteristics and/or constraints is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- more than approximately 1.5 kilometers in length</li> <li>- four (4) or more lanes in each direction;</li> <li>- includes lanes reserved for public transit (buses, Light Rail Transit or other mode);</li> <li>- designated "lifeline" (as as defined in CAN/CSA-S6-06);</li> <li>- spans a navigable waterway; and,</li> <li>- in an urban context.</li> </ul> <p><b>2. Design of major highways.</b> Design of a highway with two (2) or more lanes in each direction, with posted speed limit of at least 70 km/h. The design of a highway with all of the following characteristics and/or constraints is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- three (3) or more lanes in each direction;</li> <li>- posted speed limit of 100 km/h;</li> <li>- in an urban context;</li> <li>- including access ramps, interchanges;</li> <li>- including lanes reserved for public transit (buses, Light Rail Transit or other modes); and,</li> <li>- including the relocation of major public utilities such as high tension tower lines.</li> </ul> <p><b>3. Preparation of traffic management plans.</b></p>	<p>5. Project management and planning of large scale civil engineering projects. Weight: 15%  <b>Maximum: 30 points</b></p>	

RT-4 Point Rated Technical Criteria - Bidder Experience for Component 3		
Description	Scoring Methodology	Cross Reference to Proposal
<p>This includes the preparation of plans to manage traffic activities during construction of bridges, roadways or other roadways transportation infrastructure. The preparation of traffic management plans for a project that includes a combination of bridge(s) and highway(s), in an urban context, is considered an asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score.</p> <p><b>4. Estimation of construction costs.</b>  This includes the estimation of costs for the construction of bridges and highways. The estimation of construction costs for projects in an urban context that include all of the following is considered as asset but is not necessarily awarded maximum score:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- reconstruction of existing infrastructures;</li> <li>- demolition/deconstruction of bridge(s) and roadway(s);</li> <li>- traffic management implications;</li> <li>- relocation of utilities;</li> <li>- long term operation, maintenance and rehabilitation; and,</li> <li>- installation of tolling systems of new and existing transportation infrastructures.</li> </ul> <p><b>5. Project management and planning of large scale civil engineering projects.</b>  The ability to undertake project management and project planning functions for large scale engineering projects, and involves coordinating, overseeing and ensuring the overall integration of the various civil engineering disciplines in this project with respect to one another. The various civil engineering implications in this project are described in the above sub-criteria 1, 2, 3 and 4 of RT-4.2.</p>		
TOTAL POINTS - RT-4		Minimum required : 36 Points Maximum : 60 Points

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.