

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Regional Manager/Real Property
Contracting/PWGSC
Ontario Region, Tendering Office
12th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street
Toronto, Ontario
M2N 6A6
Ontario

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer
Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes
Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)
Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Regional Manager/Real Property
Contracting/PWGSC
Ontario Region, Tendering Office
12th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street
Toronto, Ontario
M2N 6A6
Ontario

Title - Sujet Mechanical Engineering Services	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EQ754-130543/A	Date 2012-08-27
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20130543	Amendment No. - N° modif. 004
File No. - N° de dossier PWL-2-35016 (036)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$PWL-036-1722	
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale 2012-07-25	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2012-09-06	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Talom, Mike	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur pwl036
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (416) 590-8253 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (416) 512-5862
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: Ontario Region	
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required	Yes - Oui	No - Non
Accusé de réception requis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

Amendment No. 004

This amendment is being raised to: 1) make revisions to the Specific Requirements for Proposal Format of the RFSO; 2) provide responses to Requests for Clarification.

1) Revision to SRE 2: PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS - 2.2: Specific Requirements for Proposal Format

Delete:

The maximum number of pages (including text and graphics) to be submitted for the Rated Requirements under SRE 3.2 is thirty-five (35) pages.

Insert:

The maximum number of pages (including text and graphics) to be submitted for the Rated Requirements under SRE 3.2 is forty (40) pages.

2) Requests for Clarification

Q1: GC 12 Rights to Intellectual Property - 4. Ownership Rights in Deliverables

"Notwithstanding the Consultant's ownership of the IP Rights in the Foreground that is a prototype, built work, building, structure, facility, model or custom or customized system or equipment together with associated manuals and other operating and maintenance documents and tools, Canada shall have unrestricted ownership rights in those deliverables, including the right to make them available for public use, whether for a fee or otherwise, and the right to sell them."

Would you please consider adding the phrase in bold "...the right to make them available for public use, whether for a fee or otherwise, and the right to sell them, without any additional liability to consultant."?

A1: All Terms and Conditions of the RFSO document have been reviewed and approved by PWGSC. GC 12 Rights to Intellectual Property, 4. Ownership Rights in Deliverables may not be amended to requested wording.

Q2: The forms that are to be filled in are they to be hand written, or are you able to give us a password to bypass the security on the PDF file?

A2: We do not provide a password to bypass the security on the PDF. However, the form can be submitted either in a hand written form or with text typed directly onto the form.

Q3: Could confirmation be provided for the latest date that an addendum will be issued for this RFSO?

A3: In accordance with Section GI 8 1., "**Enquiries should be received no later than ten (10) working days prior to the closing date identified on the front page of the Request for Standing Offer.**

Enquiries received after that time may not be answered." However, an amendment may be issued until the scheduled closing date, if there is necessary information that must be provided regarding the RFSO.

Q4: Could there be a small increase in the maximum number of pages for the Rated Requirements under SRE 3.2?

A4: Maximum number of pages increased to forty (40) pages. Please refer to above: **1) Revision to SRE 2: PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS - 2.2: Specific Requirements for Proposal Format**

Q5: Define Building Fabric as explained in RS 2.1.2.1.

A5: The fabric of a building is its roof, floor slabs, walls, windows, and doors.

Q6: The scope of services related to "building condition reports" indicates that associated services may be required. For example, electrical motors, VFD's, feeders and disconnects associated to an Air Handler Studies. RS 2.1.2.2 (c) requests the evaluation of all building components. Does this include electrical,

structural, environmental, heritage, etc...? If so, must we submit all sub-discipline information with standing offer to comply with the full requirements of the RFSO.

A6: A full Building Condition Report includes other disciplines. If asked to provide this service the Mechanical Consultant will act as a prime consultant of a multi-disciplinary team. Submission of all sub-disciplines is not required with the RFSO.

Q7: Does PWGSC project experience carry a greater value than non-PWPSC projects?

A7: Please refer to **SRE 3.2.3 Past Experience**. The evaluation includes all or some of the following criteria: Design, Quality of Results, Management, Time and Cost. PWGSC project experience is not an evaluation criteria.

Q8: We have in-house electrical consultants to assist us with any electrical work that maybe associated with mechanical projects. Please confirm if we are required to carry sub-consultants for the following disciplines:

- Architect
- Structural Consultant
- Costing Consultant
- Code Consultant

A8: The consultant is not required to carry sub-consultants for the disciplines mentioned.

Q9: SI 1 Code of Conduct and Certifications – Proposal, the Amendments to this section do not mention “Board of Directors”. Could you please clarify that the word “Directors” refers to the Proponents “Board of directors”?

A9: The word “Directors” refers to a complete list of names of the following entities, according to the ownership nature of the Bidder:

- a. for a Corporation - each current member of the Bidder's Board of Directors;
- b. for a Partnership, General Partnership or Limited Partnership - the names of all current partners;
- c. for a Sole Proprietorship or an individual doing business under a firm name - the name of the sole proprietor or individual;
- d. for a Joint Venture - the names of all current members of the Joint venture;
- e. for an individual - the full name of the person.

Q10: Regarding section 3.1.3, page 68 of 96 (Code of Conduct Certifications - Consent to a criminal record verification). Do we need to submit the originals with our proposal or can we send them by email?

A10: Proponents must submit the form in original as original signature is required.

Q11: Can we submit 2 senior personnel C.V.s and 2 project personnel C.V.s per region? i.e 12 C.V.s total.

A11: Please refer to Amendment 001, answers A3 & A4.

Q12 to Q16: See Appendix D - Doing Business with A&E- Ontario Region - Standing Offers

Q12: Section 2.14 Colour Schemes, page 10

“Ensure that the Contractor carries out the approved scheme.”

Would you please consider replacing the word “ensure” with “verify”, because the contractors, who perform the work, will be performing quality control of their own work for conformance? Our goal as design professionals is to determine that the contractor's work is ready for use, while allowing the contractor to fulfill their contractual responsibilities and obligations.

A12: The wording will remain the same (“ensure”). The design professional has to certify the work performed by the contractor “in accordance with the project documentation”.

Q13: Section 3.3 Site Layout, page 11

“Design the site layout simultaneously with that of the building(s) to ensure optimum compatibility.”
Would you please consider replacing the word “ensure” with “verify”, because we will verify that the site layout is compatible with the building(s)?

A13: See A12.

Q14: Section 3.11 Miscellaneous Site Features, page 14

“Site structures: Co-ordinate the design, location and elevation of all supporting site structures such as transformers, kiosks, gas valves, storage bins for sand, de-icing agents, etc. to ensure compatibility with all project features.”

Would you please consider replacing the word “ensure” with “verify”, because we will verify that designs are compatible with project features?

A14: See A12.

Q15: Section 10A.11, page 54

“Inspect electrical work and materials and provide constant supervision of construction to ensure compliance with the contract documents.”

Would you please consider replacing the word “ensure” with “verify”, because the contractors, who perform the work, will be performing quality control of their own work for conformance? Our goal as design professionals is to determine that the contractor's work is ready for use, while allowing the contractor to fulfill their contractual responsibilities and obligations.

A15: See A12.

Q16: Section 5.3 Design for Marine Engineering, page 30

“All Marine Engineering Designs must comply with current Federal and Provincial Acts, Codes, Regulations, Guidelines and Codes of Practice including but not limited to:

- National Building Code of Canada (NBC).
- Ontario Building Code (OBC).
- Canadian Concrete, Steel, Wood, Aluminum, other relevant Codes and marine and dredging best practices.”

Would you please consider replacing the word “best” with “industry”, because we will confirm that designs conform with current codes, standards, regulations, and industry practices?

A16: PWGSC applicable standards are usually more stringent than the industry. The wording will remain the same (“best”).

All other terms and conditions of the Request For Standing Offer remain the same.