Public Works and Government Services Canada

Travaux publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions - TPSGC
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0A1 / Noyau 0A1
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Title - Sujet	
IMIT SUPPORT SERVICES	

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation	**Amendment No. - N° modif.**
QA001-129702/A	002
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client	**Date**
QA001-129702	2012-10-18

GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG
PW-$$ZM-379-24967

File No. - N° de dossier	**CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME**
379zm.QA001-129702	

Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin		**Time Zone** **Fuseau horaire**
at - à **02:00 PM**		Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
on - le **2012-10-24**		

F.O.B. - F.A.B.
Plant-Usine: ☐   Destination: ☑   Other-Autre: ☐

Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:	**Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur**
Croucher, Dennis	379zm
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone	**FAX No. - N° de FAX**
(819) 956-1195 ( )	(819) 956-5078

Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:
Destination - des biens, services et construction:

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	**Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée**

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services Division / Division des services professionnels en informatique
11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier
3C2, Place du Portage
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Telephone No. - N° de téléphone
Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur

Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print)
Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)

Signature   **Date**

Canada

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation	Amd. No. - N° de la modif.	Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
QA001-129702/A	002	379zm
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client	File No. - N° du dossier	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME
QA001-129702	379zmQA001-129702	

Solicitation Amendment No. 2

Questions and Answers Set No. 2

Question No. 1:   1) On page 61 of the RFP document, rated criteria R1 for the IM Architect asks for "Demonstrated experience with enterprise content management, information architecture, business intelligence, information management, and data management with a focus on analysing, planning and deploying enterprise solutions". To score points in this rated criteria, could you please clarify whether each of the candidate's projects must include all elements (i.e.. have enterprise content management, information architecture, business intelligence, information management, and data management), or whether each project must include one or more of these elements.

> **Response No. 1:** Each project must include a minimum of one element.

Question No. 2: Regarding rated criteria stating: "Projects must have been within the last 5 years, and the proposed resource must have worked on the project for at least 6 months." Most projects within the scope of this RFP are for periods longer than 6 months. Based on the complexity and life cycle of this type of project would the Crown consider amending this requirement as demonstrated below to award points for the length of the project?
- 6 Months or 1 Project = X Points
- 12 Months or 2 Projects = X Points
- 18 Months or 3 Projects = X Points
- >18 Months = X Points

> **Response No. 2:** No. Multiple contracts over 6 months duration on a single project can be counted as a separate project if presented as distinct work packages or sub-projects tied to specific deliverables.

Question No. 3: Given that the nature of the geomatics work is specific to Government of Canada; would the Crown consider adding a mandatory requirement for the resources to have experience developing and working with tools specific to GC standards, policies and procedures.

> **Response No. 3:** No.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation	Amd. No. - N° de la modif.	Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
QA001-129702/A	002	379zm
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client	File No. - N° du dossier	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME
QA001-129702	379zmQA001-129702	

Question No. 4: Please confirm that rates submitted as part of this proposal cannot be lowered in Option Period – Year 3, Option Period – Year 4, and Option Period – Year 5.

> **Response No. 4:** Confirmed. Submitted Option Period rates cannnot be lower than the Contract Period rates.

Question No. 5: Rated criteria R3, R6 and R7 for resource category G.1 Geomatics Analyst, Level 2 and rated criteria R2, R3 and R4 for resource category G.2 Geomatics Specialist, Level 3 require the ability to perform code changes and develop applications. These requirements are typically not found with an Analyst/Specialist role but rather a Programmer/Analyst role. Would the Crown consider removing the programming language from these requirements?

> **Response No. 5:** For the G.1 Geomatics Analyst: R3 has been deleted; R6 has been reworded to change wording from "developing GIS/Geomatics application" to read "developing vision and design of GIS/Geomatics application"; and R7 has beeen reworded to change wording from "developing web Geomatics business applications" to read "developing vision and design of web Geomatics business applications"
>
> G2 Geomatics Specialist remains as is as this is a senior position that oversees coding.

The G.1 Geomatics Analyst rated evaluation criteria now reads as follows:

2.6 G.1 Geomatics Analyst, Level 2 - Rated Criteria		
NOTE: The Bidder's resource must achieve a minimum of 65% (or 19 points) of the total rated criterias.		
Rated Criteria	**Max Pts**	**Cross Reference to Bid (page, para)**
R1 Demonstarted experience performing analysis and modeling. Geomatic projects must have been within the last 5 years and the proposed resource must have worked on the project for at least 6 months. Each project must be an enterprise-wide deployment with at least 50 users and with a minimum of 5 servers.	**MAXIMUM 6 Points** 0 points - 0 projects; 2 points - 1 project; 4 points - 2 projects; 6 points - 3 projects.	
R2 Demonstrated experience designing and implementing functional prototypes.	**MAXIMUM 3 Points**	

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation	Amd. No. - N° de la modif.	Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
QA001-129702/A	002	379zm
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client	File No. - N° du dossier	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME
QA001-129702	379zmQA001-129702	

	Geomatic projects must have been within the last 5 years and the proposed resource must have worked on the project for at least 6 months. Each project must be an enterprise-wide deployment with at least 50 users and with a minimum of 5 servers.	0 points - 0 projects; 1 points - 1 project; 2 points - 2 projects; 3 points - 3 projects.	
R3	Demonstrated experience performing screen and report changes. Geomatic projects must have been within the last 5 years and the proposed resource must have worked on the project for at least 6 months. Each project must be an enterprise-wide deployment with at least 50 users and with a minimum of 5 servers.	**MAXIMUM 3 Points** 0 points - 0 projects; 1 points - 1 project; 2 points - 2 projects; 3 points - 3 projects.	
R4	Demonstrated experience gathering and analyzing data for the conduct of studies to establish the technical and economic feasibility of proposed computer systems, and for the development of functional and system design specifications. Geomatic projects must have been within the last 5 years and the proposed resource must have worked on the project for at least 6 months. Each project must be an enterprise-wide deployment with at least 50 users and with a minimum of 5 servers.	**MAXIMUM 6 Points** 0 points - 0 projects; 2 points - 1 project; 4 points - 2 projects; 6 points - 3 projects.	
R5	Demonstrated experience in developing GIS/Geomatics application with Oracle Spatial version 10g or greater. Geomatic projects must have been within the last 5 years and the proposed resource must have worked on the project for at least 6 months. Each project must be an enterprise-wide deployment with at least 50 users and with a minimum of 5 servers.	**MAXIMUM 6 Points** 0 points - 0 projects; 2 points - 1 project; 4 points - 2 projects; 6 points - 3 projects.	
R6	Demonstrated experience developing web Geomatics business applications with Oracle Apex version 3.0 or greater. Geomatic projects must have been within the last 5 years and the proposed resource must have worked on the project for at least 6 months. Each project must be an enterprise-wide	**MAXIMUM 6 Points** 0 points - 0 projects; 2 points - 1 project; 4 points - 2 projects; 6 points - 3 projects.	

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation	Amd. No. - N° de la modif.	Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
QA001-129702/A	002	379zm
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client	File No. - N° du dossier	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME
QA001-129702	379zmQA001-129702	

deployment with at least 50 users and with a minimum of 5 servers.		
Total Points	30	

Please note that PART 4 - EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND BASIS OF SELECTION, 4.4 Basis of Selection, (e) Evaluation of Bid – Best Overall Value has changed:

Delete:

$$\frac{\text{Total Bidder Technical Score Obtained}}{267 \text{ points}} \quad x \quad \frac{60}{\text{Points}} \quad = \quad \text{Technical Score (Max. of 60 points)}$$

Insert:

$$\frac{\text{Total Bidder Technical Score Obtained}}{264 \text{ points}} \quad x \quad \frac{60}{\text{Points}} \quad = \quad \text{Technical Score (Max. of 60 points)}$$

Question No. 6: For the category of B.1 Business Analyst, Level 3 – Rated Criteria R4 on page 60 of 71 of the RFP states, in part:
"Demonstrated experience in identifying techniques used in facilitating Joint Application Design (JAD) sessions or workshops."

We find that there are several possible interpretations of this requirement and request clarification. Would Canada agree to changing the wording to:
"Demonstrated experience using techniques to identify business requirements in the course of facilitating Joint Application Design (JAD) sessions or workshops."

> **Response No. 6:** Agreed. The wording on R4 of Business Analyst, Level 3 chnages to "Demonstrated experience using techniques to identify business requirements in the course of facilitating Joint Application Design (JAD) sessions or workshops."

No. 7: At Rated Criteria 2.7 (G.2):
Delete: Geomatica;
Insert: Geomatics.