

**RETURN BIDS TO:**  
**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**  
**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -**  
**TPSGC**  
**11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier**  
**Place du Portage , Phase III**  
**Core 0A1 / Noyau 0A1**  
**Gatineau**  
**Québec**  
**K1A 0S5**  
**Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776**

## **SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

### **Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**  
**Raison sociale et adresse du**  
**fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**  
Ship Construction, Refit and Related  
Services/Construction navale, Radoubs et services  
connexes  
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier  
6C2, Place du Portage  
Gatineau  
Québec  
K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> REPAIR & OVERHAUL		
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> W6399-12DF28/A		<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 003
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> W6399-12DF28		<b>Date</b> 2013-01-11
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$MC-026-23366		
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 026mc.W6399-12DF28	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>	
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2013-01-25</b>		<b>Time Zone</b> <b>Fuseau horaire</b> Eastern Standard Time EST
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Tinkess, Dianne		<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 026mc
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (819) 956-0178 ( )		<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (819) 956-0897
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>		

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

---

This amendment is raised to answer questions from a potential bidder.

---

### Question #1:

In Part 4 Evaluation Procedures (1.1.1.4 Configuration Management) and Section 4.2 of Annex A state that:

"The Bidder must have an established, Configuration Management (CM) program with controls in place in accordance with MIL-HDBK-61A, and must provide configuration identification, control, and status accounting of all new and/or modified hardware, firmware, software and documentation"

The document MIL-HDBK-61A and its procedures have been developed for the US Military and are not common practices of regular commercial or non-Defence Government Department's marine service on this size and type of vessel (or nay small vessels). This being said, it can be presumed that only companies currently with Repair and Overhaul Contract(s) for small vessels with DND would have such a system established.

We are a reputable manufacturer and service provider for this style of vessel in both Government Departments (DFO/CCG, Environment Canada & RCMP) as well as commercial (offshore oil and gas) clients with normal industry quality assurance in place. We understand that DND require a higher level of monitoring and tracking and if we are chosen as the successful bidder would implement a system to the guidelines provided in MIL-HDBK-61A.

Will Annex A and the Evaluation Criteria be amended to allow for normal commercial quality assurance practices with a plan to implement the quality controls (specifically for Configuration Management) DND required upon contract award?

**CANADA'S RESPONSE:** Yes, if the bidder does not have an established, DND approved Configuration Management Program as requested in the RFP, the Bidder must have in place a commercial quality assurance program and must submit a Configuration Control Plan on how he intends to implement the quality controls identified in the Contract.

The following clause will be added to Part 7 - Resulting Contract Clause, Article 6.10:

#### Configuration Control Plan

If the Contractor does not have an established, DND approved Configuration Management Program as requested in the Contract, no later than ten (10) calendar days after the effective date of the Contract, the Contractor must submit for acceptance by the Department of National Defence (DND) a Configuration Control Plan prepared according to the latest issue (at contract

date) of the MIL-HDBK-61A - Configuration Management Guidance. The Configuration Control Plan must describe how the Contractor will conform to the specified requirements of the Contract and specify how the required configuration identification, control and status accounting of all new and/or modified hardware, firmware, software and documentation will be performed.

If the Configuration Management Plan was submitted as part of the bidding process, the Contractor must review and, where appropriate, revise the submitted plan to reflect any changes in requirements or planning which may have occurred as a result of pre-contract negotiations.

Upon acceptance of the Configuration Control Plan by DND, the Contractor must implement the Configuration Control Plan immediately. The Contractor must make appropriate amendments to the Configuration Control Plan throughout the term of the contract to reflect current and planned configuration activities. Amendments to the Configuration Control Plan must be acceptable to DND.

## **Question #2:**

Both the Evaluation Criteria and Annex A require references for Design and R&O for similar sized vessels. In Canada there are very few clients, outside of DND, who own and operate 9-11m RHIBs, limited to CCG/DFO, RCMP and Environment Canada, making it hard to compile a list of 10 different references in this size of craft. We Service and Maintain (as well as manufacturer) RHIBs from 6.5 to 9m for a wide range of clients in both the commercial and government spheres.

Question: Will Annex A and the Evaluation Criteria be amended to allow for references of customers and vessels maintained in a larger size parameters (6 to 11m) given that they are of similar configuration?

**CANADA'S RESPONSE:** The RFP does not request references from clients. Bidders are required to provide a detailed list of ten (10) boats which R&O services as detailed in the general experience article were provided. DND will accept the list of boats to include boats in the range from 6 to 11m.

---

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAINS THE SAME.