

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC
11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0A1/Noyau 0A1
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Informatics Professional Services - EL
Division/Services professionnels en informatique -
division EL
4C2, Place du Portage
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet INFORMATICS PROFESSIONAL SERVICES		
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 24062-120046/A		Amendment No. - N° modif. 003
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 24062-120046		Date 2012-03-16
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$SEL-619-23741		
File No. - N° de dossier 619el.24062-120046	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2012-03-28		Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>		
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Perkins, Deborah		Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 619el
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-8656 ()		FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:		

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This amendment No. 3 to Request for Proposal 24062-120046 is drawn up to address Questions and Answers as follows:

Delete the bid closing date from:

March 23, 2012 Eastern Daylight Saving Time (EDST) and

Replaced with the new bid closing date of:

March 28, 2012 Eastern Daylight Saving Time (EDST) at 2:00 pm.

Question 1

We acknowledge that an extension was granted to March 23. However, due to the delay in receiving the Q&A's we respectfully request an extension to March 30 in order to allow us sufficient time to properly assess the impact of these responses.

Answer 1

The solicitation closing date has been extended to March 28, 2012.

Question 2

Ref: Amendment 1, Q&A 9. Our understanding of the response indicates that our reference will be acceptable if we refer to a client department with whom we have done at least \$1M business over the past five years, under 24 separate TBIPS contracts ranging from \$25K to \$85K. Please confirm that understanding.

Answer 2

No, this would not be accepted. Upon review, the response to question 9 is corrected as follows:

The total estimated dollar value of the contract must be at least \$1,000,000.00. The cumulative sum of multiple contracts for a single department does not meet the criterion under M1 of the Corporate Mandatory Requirements.

Question 3

Our interpretation of Answer 9 seems to be in conflict with the fact that the present RFP was issued under TBIPS Tier 2, i.e. restricted to SA Holders previously qualified to deliver contracts of \$2M or more, and therefore qualified to effectively and reliably meet the needs of OCHRO. Instead, if our understanding is correct, OCHRO could be exposed to a vendor that has never before delivered even \$1M of services under a single contract. Is our understanding correct?

Answer 3

See response to Q24.

Question 4

It was confirmed in the Q&A's that only the Business Analyst position is bilingual. However, under the certifications at bid closing it states in Section 4: The Contractor certifies that the proposed resources in response to this RFP are fluent in both official languages of Canada (French and English).

As this section is to be signed, can you please confirm that this should be updated to reflect that only the Business Analyst resource must be fluent in both official languages.

Answer 4

That is correct only the Business Analyst must be fluent in both official languages.

Question 5

Re: 3.4 Section III - Certifications

Bidders are instructed that they are required to submit certifications listed under Part 5. However, included in the RFP is Annex E - Certifications at Bid Closing. Can you please clarify which certifications bidders are required to include in Section III - Certifications?

Answer 5

Annex E is amended to read "Certifications at the TA Stage".

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.