

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Réception des soumissions - TPSGC / Bid Receiving
- PWGSC
601-1550, Avenue d'Estimauville
Québec
Québec
G1J 0C7

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
TPSGC/PWGSC
601-1550, Avenue d'Estimauville
Québec
Québec
G1J 0C7

Title - Sujet IN THEATRE SENSE	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W7701-125337/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 003
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W7701-12-5337	Date 2012-08-16
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$QCL-028-14743	
File No. - N° de dossier QCL-1-34895 (028)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2012-08-31	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Heure Avancée de l'Est HAE
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Roy, Josée	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur qcl028
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (418) 649-2932 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (418) 648-2209
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: R & D POUR LA DÉFENSE CANADA - VALCARTIER 2459 BOUL. PIE XI NORD QUEBEC Québec G3J1X5 Canada	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée VOIR DOC.	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

AMENDMENT 003

Included in the present amendment :

- Questions and answers No.3.1 and No.3.2
 - Modification 3.1 - Correction of scores in Attachment 3, Point Rated Technical Criteria
-

Question 3.1: In Section B - Personnel from page 34-35/57 the following are mentioned:

"More than one resource may be proposed in a given labor category"

and also

"For each sub-criterion of this section, one or more labor categories are identified. Each proposed resource of the identified labor categories will be evaluated individually. The overall scores obtained by each resource in the categories in question will be added up and then divided by the number of proposed resources to obtain an average ."

The labour category researcher is required for the sub-criterions 1.1 to 1.5 and 2.1 to 2.7.

The labour category software developer is required for the sub-criterions 1.1 to 1.6 and 2.1 to 2.7.

Consider we propose for qualification two resources for the software developer labour category and two resources for the researcher labour category

Researcher #1 scores 10 points for each of the sub-criterions 1.1 to 1.3, 12 points for each of the sub-criterions 2.1 to 2.4 and 0 points for all other sub-criterions.

Researcher #2 scores 10 points for each of the sub-criterions 1.3 to 1.5, 12 points for each of the sub-criterions 2.3 to 2.7 and 0 points for all other sub-criterions.

Software Developer #1 scores 4 points for each of the sub-criterions 1.1 to 1.3, 12 points for each of the sub-criterions 2.1 to 2.4 and 0 points for all other sub-criterions.

Software Developer #2 scores 4 points for each of the sub-criterions 1.4 to 1.6, 12 points for each of the sub-criterions 2.5 to 2.7 and 0 points for all other sub-criterions.

We only want to qualify each resource for their specific sub-criterions of expertise. For example, we want to qualify Researcher #1 for sub-criterions 1.1 to 1.3 and 2.1 to 2.4, Researcher #2 for sub-criterions 1.3 to 1.5, and 2.3 to 2.7 only, Software Developer #1 for sub-criterions 1.1 to 1.3 and 2.1 to 2.4 only and Software Developer #2 for sub-criterions 1.4 to 1.6 and 2.5 to 2.7 only.

What is the overall score for sub-criterion 1.2 for this specific example ? Since we don't want to qualify the Researcher #2 for the specific sub-criterion 1.2, but the researcher labour category is involved in the sub-criterion 1.2, will you consider the score of the Researcher #2 in the computation of the overall score of sub-criterion 1.2 ?

Answer :

Scores for each sub-criterion and each category are computed over all resources proposed in a category for the project. All resources from a category (project level) are evaluated for each sub-criterion, and not from a subset of them to specifically maximize

that sub-criterion. So, for 1.2: researcher: $(12+0)/2=6$ soft Dev: $(4+0)/2=2$ for an overall score of $6+2=8$ for sub-criterion 1.2

Question 3.2 : This question is related to sub-criteria 2.1 to 2.7

The labour categories involved in these sub-criteria are Researcher and Software Developer.

Consider the following example for sub-criterion 2.1 with 2 researchers and one Software developer

Researcher #1 scores 12 points

Researcher #2 scores 12 points

Software Developer #1 scores 3 points

In the RfP (Section B - Personnel from page 34-35/57) you state that "The overall scores obtained by each resource in the categories in question will be added up and then divided by the number of proposed resources to obtain an average." What is the global score for the sub-criterion 2.1 in this particular example and how do you compute it ?

Answer :

- The average is computed separately for each category (here the scores would be: researcher: $(12+12)/2=12$; soft dev: $3/1=3$; Total = $12+3 = 15/24$
- there was indeed a mistake in W7701-125337: the overall max score specified under section B part 2, on the right – that should read 24 and not 12 (revised scores enclosed).

Modification 3.1

DELETE Attachment 3, Point Rated Technical Criteria, and INSERT the following :

ATTACHMENT 3

POINT RATED TECHNICAL CRITERIA

Criteria	Grading	Max	Min
PROPOSAL/STRATEGY, APPROACH, METHODOLOGY		28	12
1. Degree of understanding of the context, objectives and scope of the work. The bidder should demonstrate its understanding of project context, objectives and scope in a clear and concise manner.	8 points: Good or very good understanding of all the required elements (1 to 8). 6 points: Good or very good understanding of at least 6 of the required elements (1 to 8). 4 points: Good or very good understanding of at least 4 of the required elements (1 to 8).	8	

<p>The bidder should demonstrate its understanding of each stated project tasks.</p> <p>The bidder should avoid repeating task description details from the statement of work.</p> <p>Required elements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) A clear understanding of the project context 2) A clear understanding of the project objectives 3) A clear understanding of the project scope 4) A clear understanding of the objectives of task 1 and its sub-tasks 5) A clear understanding of the objectives of task 2 and its sub-tasks 6) A clear understanding of the objectives of task 3 and its sub-tasks 7) A clear understanding of the objectives of task 4 and its sub-tasks 8) The bidder used its own word to describe each required elements. 	<p>2 points : Good or very good understanding of at least 2 of the required elements (1 to 8)</p> <p>0-1 point: Less than 2 required elements were understood.</p>		
<p>2. Proposed approach and methodology.</p> <p>The bidder should clearly describe relevant methodologies used for past projects deemed applicable to meet Task scientific objectives and goals described in the statement of work for information management and decision support, and prototype development domains.</p> <p>Required elements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) A description of the approach and methodology that the bidder intends to use for this project to meet the scientific objectives of the task related to information management. The bidder should convince the evaluation team that the proposed approach and methodology is adequate to perform the work. 	<p>8-10 points: Good or Very good presentation of all the required elements.</p> <p>6-7 points: Good or very good presentation of 4 or 5 the required elements.</p> <p>3-5 points: Good or very good presentation of 2 or 3 of the required elements.</p> <p>0-2 points: Weak justification of the proposed approach and methodology.</p>	10	

<p>2) The bidder provide at least one acceptable "Evidence" that the methodology described for required element 1 has been successfully used in at least 1 past project.</p> <p>3) A description of the approach and methodology that the bidder intends to use for this project to meet the scientific objectives of the task related to decision support. The bidder should convince the evaluation team that the proposed approach and methodology is adequate to perform the work.</p> <p>4) The bidder provide at least one acceptable "Evidence" that the methodology described for required element 3 has been successfully used in at least 1 past project.</p> <p>5) A description of the approach and methodology that the bidder intends to use for this project to meet the scientific objectives of the task related to prototype development. . The bidder should convince the evaluation team that the proposed approach and methodology is adequate to perform the work.</p> <p>6) The bidder provide at least one acceptable "Evidence" that the methodology described for required element 5 has been successfully used in at least 1 past project.</p> <p>Note : By acceptable "Evidence" we mean:</p> <p>A description of a previous project (including the scope, the objectives, the context, the identification of the methodology used to performed the work, the challenges related to the methodology used to perform the work, an evaluation of the success of the project and of the methodology used).</p>			
		10	

3. Recognition of direct as well as peripheral problems and proposal of solutions

The bidder should clearly describe all project components that it believes could pose a risk, and should propose a risk management method. The components described should be relevant, and the risk management methods should be realistic. The bidder should also pay particular attention to the problems that could be encountered in the development of frameworks and algorithms.

Note: If the bidder does not discuss about the problems closely related to the development of frameworks and algorithms, a maximum of 6 points will be attributed to the bidder.

8-10 points: The bidder has recognized and provided a list of the major direct and peripheral problems and demonstrated his ability to solve them.

6-7 points: The bidder is aware of the major direct and peripheral problems, provided a list of those problems, but forgot 1 or 2 important risks. However, he showed his ability to solve the problems he has identified.

3-5 points: The bidder is aware of the major direct and peripheral problems, provided a list of those problems, but showed a limited ability to solve some of them.

1-2 points: The bidder is aware of the nature and existence of direct and peripheral problems, but no description of those problems is provided. His ability to solve them is not well demonstrated.

0 point : Any other situation

B - PERSONNEL

The bidder should clearly identify the name of the proposed resources for each labor category listed below:

Information management and decision support (including prototype development):

- 1) Researchers,
- 2) Software developer/programmer,
- 3) Military logistics subject matter expert
- 4) Project manager (the experience of this labor category will be evaluated under section C- management – project management)

The same resource may be proposed for more than one labor category and will then be assessed for each of those categories.

More than one resource may be proposed in a given labor category

If a bidder cannot propose resources for each category, subcontractors should be identified. The same information should be provided for the subcontractors, and the same evaluation method will apply.

**184
268**

**62
80**

The bidder should also attach the CV of each proposed resources.			
For each sub-criterion of this section, one or more labor categories are identified. Each proposed resource of the identified labor categories will be evaluated individually. The overall scores obtained by each resource in the categories in question will be added up and then divided by the number of proposed resources to obtain an average.			
1- EXPERIENCE OF THE PROPOSED RESOURCES For each proposed resource, the bidder should indicate the resources' experience in terms of months of experience. This experience should be demonstrated clearly and precisely. Experience acquired during studies may be recognized (in months) if its relevance is satisfactorily demonstrated. To determine whether the experience is acceptable, the bidder should provide a description of the project in which the proposed resources were involved. The following information should be provided for each project: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the topic, the context, the objectives, the scope, the tools involved (if applicable), the project periods (month and year of the beginning and month and year of the end), the exact dates of the involvement of the proposed resource, the role of the proposed resource in the project, the level of effort in the project for the proposed resource, the tasks performed by the proposed resource and the duration of each task performed by the proposed resource. Listing experience without providing any supporting data to describe where and how such experience was obtained will result in the experience not being included for evaluation purposes. The evaluation team will only consider the experience directly related to the criterion. For example, if a criterion evaluates the experience of the proposed resources in writing reports and that the proposed resource wrote a report for project "X" during 3 months, the evaluation team will only consider those three months as an experience in writing reports even if the project duration was 36 months.		100	30
1.1. Experience in-theatre tactical military logistics and military supply chain management problem domains for adaptive dispersed	For 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5:	14	

<p>operations (Army) (e.g. distribution, or in-theatre logistics functions, or sustainment and planning or inventory management or vehicle maintenance)</p> <p>Note : A Software of Web development of an application closely related to the area of experience evaluated under this criterion will be considered as an acceptable experience.</p> <p><u>Labor category:</u></p> <p>Researcher, software developer/programmer (categories 1) and 2))</p>	<p><u>Depending on the labour category of the proposed resource, one of the following evaluation scale will apply :</u></p> <p><u>Experience of the Researcher:</u></p> <p>10 points: The proposed resource has more than 60 months of experience in this area</p> <p>9 points: The proposed resource has at least 54 months but less than 60 months of experience in this area</p> <p>8 points : The proposed resource has at least 48 months but less than 54 months of experience in this area</p> <p>7 points : The proposed resource has at least 42 months but less than 48 months of experience in this area</p>		
<p>1.2. Experience on transportation problems (Vehicle routing problems: (VRP)/model variants diversity, such as split and delivery and/or pick-up and delivery and/or multi-objective and/or centralized and distributed or any other variants; problem modeling & formulation, and survey(s)).</p> <p>Note : A Software of Web development of an application closely related to the area of experience evaluated under this criterion will be considered as an acceptable experience.</p> <p><u>Labor category:</u></p> <p>Researcher, Software developer/programmer (categories 1) and 2))</p>	<p>6 points : The proposed resource has at least 36 months but less than 42 months of experience in this area</p> <p>5 points : The proposed resource has at least 30 months but less than 36 months of experience in this area</p> <p>4 points : The proposed resource has at least 24 months but less than 30 months of experience in this area</p> <p>3 points: The proposed resource has at least 18 months but less than 24 months of experience in this area</p> <p>2 points: The proposed resource has at least 12 months but less than 18 months of experience in this area</p> <p>1 point: The proposed resource has at least 6 months but less than 12 months of experience in this area</p>	14	
<p>1.3. Experience on algorithms development for VRP problem variants (diversity of approaches and methods, and optimization</p>	<p>0 point: The proposed resource has less than 6 months of experience in this area</p>	14	

<p>algorithms implementation, and/or performance analysis).</p> <p>Note : A Software of Web development of an application closely related to the area of experience evaluated under this criterion will be considered as an acceptable experience.</p> <p><u>Labor category:</u></p> <p>Researcher, software developer/programmer (categories 1) and 2))</p>	<p><u>Experience of the software developer/programmer:</u></p> <p>4 points : The proposed resource has more than 24 months of experience in this area</p> <p>3 points : The proposed resource has at least 18 month's but less than 24 months of experience in this area</p> <p>2 points : The proposed resource has at least 12 month's but less than 18 months of experience in this area</p>		
<p>1.4. Experience on forecasting (e.g. customer demand service/supply or situation prediction or inventory) and risk management shown to be applicable to supply chain management.</p> <p>Note : A Software of Web development of an application closely related to the area of experience evaluated under this criterion will be considered as an acceptable experience.</p> <p><u>Labor category:</u></p> <p>Researcher, software developer/programmer (categories 1) and 2))</p>	<p>1 point : The proposed resource has at least 6 month's but less than 12 months of experience in this area</p> <p>0 point: The proposed resource has less than 6 months of experience in this area</p>	14	
<p>1.5 Experience on supply chain management simultaneously combining any combinations of at least two of the following elements, namely: transportation, inventory management, maintenance</p> <p>Note : A Software of Web development of an application closely related to the area of experience evaluated under this criterion will be considered as an acceptable experience.</p>		14	

<u>Labor category:</u> Researcher, software developer/programmer (categories 1 and 2)			
1.6. Experience in decision support software development and software engineering and development. <u>Labor category:</u> software developer/programmer (categories 2)	<p>10 points : The proposed resource has more than 60 months of experience in this area</p> <p>9 points : The proposed resource has at least 54 month's but less than 60 months of experience in this area</p> <p>8 points : The proposed resource has at least 48 months but less than 54 months of experience in this area</p> <p>7 points : The proposed resource has at least 42 months but less than 48 months of experience in this area</p> <p>6 points : The proposed resource has at least 36 months but less than 42 months of experience in this area</p> <p>5 points : The proposed resource has at least 30 months but less than 36 months of experience in this area</p> <p>4 points : The proposed resource has at least 24 months but less than 30 months of experience in this area</p> <p>3 points: The proposed resource has at least 18 months but less than 24 months of experience in this area</p> <p>2 points: The proposed resource has at least 12 months but less than 18 months of experience in this area</p> <p>1 point: The proposed resource has at least 6 months but less than 12 months of experience in this area</p> <p>0 point: The proposed resource has less than 6 months of experience in this area</p>	10	

<p>1.7. Experience as military subject matter expert in tactical (In-Theatre) and operational logistics.</p> <p>Labor category:</p> <p>Military subject matter expert (categories 3)</p>	<p>20 points : The proposed resource has more than 60 months of experience in this area</p> <p>18 points : The proposed resource has at least 54 month's but less than 60 months of experience in this area</p> <p>16 points : The proposed resource has at least 48 months but less than 54 months of experience in this area</p> <p>14 points : The proposed resource has at least 42 months but less than 48 months of experience in this area</p> <p>12 points : The proposed resource has at least 36 months but less than 42 months of experience in this area</p> <p>10 points : The proposed resource has at least 30 months but less than 36 months of experience in this area</p> <p>8 points : The proposed resource has at least 24 months but less than 30 months of experience in this area</p> <p>6 points: The proposed resource has at least 18 months but less than 24 months of experience in this area</p> <p>4 points: The proposed resource has at least 12 months but less than 18 months of experience in this area</p> <p>2 points: The proposed resource has at least 6 months but less than 12 months of experience in this area</p> <p>0 point: The proposed resource has less than 6 months of experience in this area</p>	20	
<p>2 - SCIENTIFIC EXPERTISE OF THE PROPOSED RESOURCES</p>	<p>For each proposed resources, the bidder should indicate the resources' research publications. The relevance of the research publication should be demonstrated clearly and precisely. A scientific paper can cover more than one sub-criteria of this section.</p>	<p>84 168</p>	<p>32 50</p>

	<p>N.B: Research publication is meant to be a book chapter or a paper that has been published (or submitted) in a journal or conference proceedings subject to peer review. PhD and Master thesis are also considered as scientific publication</p> <p>To determine whether the research publication is acceptable, the bidder should provide a description of the research publication produced by the proposed resource. The following information should be provided for each research publication:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • topic, scope and contribution, • source and complete reference (journal, conference, etc.) • level of involvement of the proposed resource in the research publication • Etc. 		
<p>2.1. Research publications in Operations Research and Logistics.</p> <p><u>Labor category:</u></p> <p>Researcher, software Developer/programmer (categories 1) and 2)</p>	<p><u>Research contributions</u></p> <p>12 points: more than 3 scientific publications 9 points: 3 scientific publications 6 points: 2 scientific publications 3 points: 1 scientific publication 0 point: 0 scientific publication</p>	<p>12 24</p>	
<p>2.2. Research publications on Vehicle Routing Problems (VRP), namely, static (deterministic); capacitated or uncapacitated VRP; or VRP with time windows.</p> <p><u>Labor category:</u></p> <p>Researcher, Software developer/programmer (categories 1) and 2))</p>	<p><u>Research contributions</u></p> <p>12 points: more than 3 scientific publications 9 points: 3 scientific publications 6 points: 2 scientific publications 3 points: 1 scientific publication 0 point: 0 scientific publication</p>	<p>12 24</p>	
<p>2.3. Research publications in related VRP-related transportation problem</p>	<p><u>Research contributions</u></p>	<p>12 24</p>	

<p>variants (e.g. split and delivery, and/or pick-up and delivery, and/or multi-objective, centralized and/or distributed, or stochastic, and/or variants).</p> <p>Labor category: Researcher, software developer/programmer (categories 1) and 2))</p>	<p>12 points: more than 3 scientific publications 9 points: 3 scientific publications 6 points: 2 scientific publications 3 points: 1 scientific publication 0 point: 0 scientific publication</p>		
<p>2.4 . Research publications for dynamic VRP problem domains (e.g. regarding travel time, or demand, or stochastic settings, etc.).</p> <p>Labor category: Researcher, software developer/programmer (categories 1) and 2))</p>	<p>Research contributions</p> <p>12 points: more than 3 scientific publications 9 points: 3 scientific publications 6 points: 2 scientific publications 3 points: 1 scientific publication 0 point: 0 scientific publication</p>	<p>12 24</p>	
<p>2.5. Research publications on exact methods, or heuristics and/or metaheuristics for VRP related problems.</p> <p>Labor category: Researcher, software developer/programmer (categories 1) and 2))</p>	<p>Research contributions</p> <p>12 points: more than 3 scientific publications 9 points: 3 scientific publications 6 points: 2 scientific publications 3 points: 1 scientific publication 0 point: 0 scientific publication</p>	<p>12 24</p>	
<p>2.6. Research publications on supply chain management and more specifically on distribution and maintenance (i.e. transportation and: inventory management and/or vehicle maintenance, or forecasting, or risk management).</p> <p>Labor category: Researcher, software developer/programmer (categories 1) and 2))</p>	<p>Research contributions</p> <p>12 points: more than 3 scientific publications 9 points: 3 scientific publications 6 points: 2 scientific publications 3 points: 1 scientific publication 0 point: 0 scientific publication</p>	<p>12 24</p>	

<p>2.7. Research publications in optimization algorithms, and decision support system components.</p> <p>Labor category: Researcher, software developer/programmer (categories 1) and 2))</p>	<p><u>Research contributions</u></p> <p>12 points: more than 3 scientific publications 9 points: 3 scientific publications 6 points: 2 scientific publications 3 points: 1 scientific publication 0 point: 0 scientific publication</p>	<p>12 24</p>	
---	---	-------------------------	--

C - MANAGEMENT – PROJECT MANAGEMENT		22	11
<p>1. Experience of the proposed project manager in Project Management involving multi-disciplinary software development projects for decision support systems in a R & D environment.</p> <p>For this criterion, the bidder should provide the name and the CV of each proposed project manager. If the bidder proposed more than one project manager, each resource will be evaluated separately. The score of the proposed project managers will be added up and then divided by the number of proposed project managers to obtain an average.</p> <p>This experience of the proposed project manager must be demonstrated clearly and precisely.</p> <p>To determine whether the experience is acceptable, the bidder should provide a description of the project in which the proposed project managers were involved. The following information should be provided for each project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the topic, context, objectives and scope of each project 	<p>12 points: The proposed resource has more than 30 months of experience in this area.</p> <p>11 points: The proposed resource has at least 28 months and 30 months of experience in this area.</p> <p>10 points: The proposed resource has at least 24 months and 28 months of experience in this area.</p> <p>9 points: The proposed resource has at least 22 months and 24 months of experience in this area.</p> <p>8 points: The proposed resource has at least 18 months and 22 months of experience in this area.</p> <p>7 points: The proposed resource has at least 16 months and 18 months of experience in this area.</p> <p>6 points: The proposed resource has at least 12 months and 16 months of experience in this area</p> <p>5 points: The proposed resource has at least 10 months and 12 months of experience in this area</p>	<p>12</p>	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the list of different disciplines involved in each project the project periods (month and year of the beginning and month and year of the end), the exact dates of the involvement of the proposed project manager in each project. 	<p>4 points: The proposed resource has at least 6 months but less than 10 months of experience in this area</p> <p>3 points: The proposed resource has at least 4 months but less than 6 months of experience in this area</p> <p>2 points: The proposed resource has at least 1 month but less than 4 months of experience in this area</p> <p>0 point: The proposed resource has less than 1 month of experience in this area</p>		
<p>2. Personnel and task management method</p> <p>The bidder should describe the method and tools to be used to manage its personnel, in terms of contingency management, availability of resources, team composition (roles and responsibilities), and work overload in the context of a task authorization contract.</p>	<p>5 points : The bidder proposes a detailed, realistic, excellent personnel and task management plan that takes into account contingency management, availability of proposed resources, work overload, and the unpredictable context specific to TA contracts.</p> <p>3 points : The bidder proposes a detailed personnel and task management plan that takes into account a minimum of three of the following elements: contingency management, availability of proposed resources, work overload, and the unpredictable context specific to TA contracts.</p> <p>2 points : The bidder proposes a minimal personnel and task management plan that takes into account a minimum of three of the following elements: contingency management, availability of proposed resources, work overload, and the unpredictable context specific to TA contracts.</p> <p>1 point : The bidder proposes an insufficient personnel and task management plan that takes into account a minimum of two of the following elements: contingency management, availability of proposed resources, work overload, and the unpredictable context specific to TA contracts.</p>	5	

	0 point : The bidder does not propose a realistic personnel and task management plan.		
3. Work plan and schedule development method The bidder should describe its method for developing a work plan and schedule, taking into account planning, budget tracking, quality assurance, risk management and communications, including production of meeting minutes and progress reports, risk elements in the context of a task authorization contract. It should also demonstrate clearly and beyond a reasonable doubt that this method has been tested and shown to be effective in previous projects. Note: By acceptable "Evidence" we mean: A description of a previous project (including the scope, the objectives, the context, the identification of the Work plan and schedule development method used to performed the work, the challenges related to the Work plan and schedule development method used to perform the work, an evaluation of the success of the project and of the Work plan and schedule development method used)	4- 5 points : The bidder proposes an excellent work plan and schedule development method that takes into account most of the project's elements (including planning, budget tracking, quality assurance, risk management and communications, including production of meeting minutes and progress reports, risk elements in the context of a task authorization contract) and the unpredictable nature of TA contracts. The bidder also provides at least one acceptable "Evidence" that the Work plan and schedule development method has been successfully used in at least one previous project. 2-3 points : The bidder proposes an adequate work plan and schedule development method that takes into account the project's main risk elements and the unpredictable nature of TA contracts. The bidder also provides at least one acceptable "Evidence" that the Work plan and schedule development method has been successfully used in at least one previous project. 1 point : The bidder proposes an incomplete work plan and schedule development method that does not sufficiently take into account the project's risk elements and the unpredictable nature of TA contracts. 0 point :The bidder proposes an inadequate work plan and schedule development method.	5	
D - CORPORATE CAPABILITY		12	3
1. Compagny's experience in R & D projects with an annual budget of at least \$80 000. To be considered by	12 points: The company has conducted more than 3 R&D projects in the area of planning and algorithmics (applicable to logistics).	12	

<p>the evaluation team, the project must have been performed in the last 7 years.</p> <p>For each project proposed by the bidder, it must provide information such as:</p> <p>(1) Project title and subject</p> <p>(2) Project nature</p> <p>(3) Exact project start and end dates (months and years)</p> <p>(4) Brief description of the tasks carried out by the company</p> <p>(5) Disciplines involved in the project</p> <p>(6) Budget of the project</p> <p>(7) etc.</p>	<p>9 points: The company has conducted 3 R&D projects in the area of planning and algorithmics (applicable to logistics).</p> <p>6 points: The company has conducted 2 R&D projects in the area of planning and algorithmics (applicable to logistics).</p> <p>3 points: The company has conducted 1 R&D project in the area of planning and algorithmics (applicable to logistics).</p> <p>0 point: The company has not conducted R&D projects in the area of planning and algorithmics (applicable to logistics).</p>		
Total		246 330	88 106

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME.