

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving Public Works and Government
Services Canada/Réception des soumissions Travaux
publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada
1713 Bedford Row
Halifax, N.S./Halifax, (N.É.)
B3J 1T3
Bid Fax: (902) 496-5016

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise
indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation
remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire,
les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Acquisitions
1713 Bedford Row
Halifax, N.S./Halifax, (N.É.)
B3J 3C9

Title - Sujet SURVEY OF UNDERWATER WARFARE SYS.	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W7707-135604/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 002
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W7707-13-5604	Date 2012-10-01
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$HAL-123-4919	
File No. - N° de dossier HAL-2-68057 (123)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2012-10-04	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Atlantic Daylight Saving Time ADT
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Lockyer (HAL), Jeff	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur hal123
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (902) 496-5636 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (902) 496-5016
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Amendment 002 is being created for the following:

The following Question is being asked by potential bidder:

Question 1:

Further to the reference solicitation, and as defined at Paragraph 3 (Enquiries – Bid Solicitation) of Part 2 (Bidder Instructions), Vendor hereby requests your return response for the following question:

At Annex D, Conflict of Interest in Future Bid Solicitations, there is a requirement for a signed certification that the Contractor and its employees abstain from, refrain from, and avoid any real and/or apparent conflicts of interest situations in relation to the Work performed as a result of the present Contract.

Vendor recognizes that that the specific technologies identified at items A.1 and A.3 of Annex A to Appendix A to this solicitation are known scope requirements of future Canadian Navy opportunities. These future opportunities are significant engagements, where Vendor would not jeopardize our possible inclusion, and where it would be expected there might be some commonality between supplies/suppliers identified within this solicitation's market survey and the future opportunities.

Vendor requests the Crown's confirmation the conflict of interest certification would apply only to future procurements by DRDC and not to future procurements of similar items by the Canadian Navy for operational use.

Answer 1:

As I indicated in a previous response to a bidder question, the intent of Conflict of Interest annex was to ensure that the same contractor could not produce specifications (or bid evaluation criteria) for the UWWARS equipment and then possibly receive the contract for that equipment. The information that DRDC collects during sea trials with UWWARS equipment will be one of the inputs into the specifications for operational equipment for the Canadian Navy. In the end, the operational equipment could be somewhat different than the UWWARS equipment. Therefore, I think it would be unfair (and unreasonable) to extend the conflict of interest limitation to operational Navy equipment.

*** All other terms and conditions remain the same.**