

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC
11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0A1/Noyau 0A1
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Informatics Professional Services - EL
Division/Services professionnels en informatique -
division EL
4C2, Place du Portage
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet JAVA APPLICATIONS PROF SERVICES	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 01B68-100049/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 007
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 01B68-100049	Date 2013-03-13
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$EL-609-25292	
File No. - N° de dossier 609el.01B68-100049	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2013-03-25	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST	
F.O.B. - F.A.B.	
Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Josée Bastien	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 609el
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-6770 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This Amendment number 007 is raised to responds to the following vendor's questions:

QUESTION 1

Amendment #5, Question/Answer 2 - The revision noted in the amendment modified the scoring for rated requirements R.2, R.3, R.4 and R.5, thus it appears that the "Tools and Technologies" element has been removed from rated requirements R.2, R.3, R.4 and R.5; however, the amendment does not indicate what changes are to be made to the RFP that correspond to this revised scoring. Please confirm that in addition to the revised scoring, the "Tools and Technologies" section in rated requirements R.2, R.3, R.4 and R.5 is to be removed.

ANSWER 1

At **ATTACHMENT 1 BID EVALUATION CRITERIA, 2. POINT RATED REQUIREMENT**, of the RFP, amend as follows:

DELETE in it's interity:

- R-2 PROJECT MANAGEMENT METHODOLOGY;**
- R-3 APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT METHODOLOGY AND TOOL SET;**
- R.4 QUALITY MANAGEMENT PROGRAM;**
- R.5 DOCUMENTATION OF DELIVERABLES;**

INSERT:

- R-2 PROJECT MANAGEMENT METHODOLOGY**

PROJECT MANAGEMENT METHODOLOGY			
			BIDDER'S RESPONSE
#	RATED CRITERIA	POINTS MAX	DEMONSTRATED EXPERIENCE
			(Bidders to insert data) Client Particulars: a) Organization Name b) Management Level Contact Name and E-mail Address or Phone #
R.2.1	The Bidder should provide one reference project where the project management methodology proposed in response to Corporate Evaluation Criteria #M-3 was used to manage the delivery of the work. To be considered, the reference project provided must: Have been completed in the last	10	Demonstrated utilization of proposed project management methodology set: 10 points - The Bidder demonstrated how each element of the project management methodology (described in response to M-3) was utilized to manage the referenced project.
		10	Project Scope

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

01B68-100049/A

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

01B68-100049

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

007

File No. - N° du dossier

609e101B68-100049

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

609e1

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

PROJECT MANAGEMENT METHODOLOGY			
			BIDDER'S RESPONSE
#	RATED CRITERIA	POINTS MAX	DEMONSTRATED EXPERIENCE (Bidders to insert data) Client Particulars: a) Organization Name b) Management Level Contact Name and E-mail Address or Phone #
	seven (7) years. If the reference project is not complete, the contract must have been in place for a minimum of twelve months.		10 points - Project was for the development of a web application on the J2EE platform (version 1.3 or more recent); or 5 points - Project was for the development of a web application.
		10	Project Size 10 points - The cost of end-to-end application development was a minimum of \$5,000,000 (Canadian); or 5 points - The cost of end-to-end application development was a minimum of \$2,000,0000 (Canadian).
		10	Project Complexity 10 points - Project was for the end-to-end development project with a service oriented architecture framework based on the J2EE platform (version 1.3 or more recent).
MAX. AVAILABLE POINTS		40	
POINTS ACHIEVED			

R-3 APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT METHODOLOGY AND TOOL SET

APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT METHODOLOGY AND TOOL SET			
			BIDDER'S RESPONSE
#	RATED CRITERIA	POINTS MAX	DEMONSTRATED EXPERIENCE (Bidders to insert data) Client Particulars: a) Organization Name b) Management Level Contact Name and E-mail Address or Phone #
R.3.1	<p>The Bidder should provide one reference project where the application development methodology and tool set proposed in response to Corporate Evaluation Criteria #M-4 was used to plan, design and develop an application.</p> <p>To be considered, the reference project provided must:</p> <p>i. Have been a Java-based application development project; and</p> <p>ii. Have been completed in the last seven years. If the reference project is not complete, the contract must have been in place for a minimum of twelve months.</p>	10	<p>Demonstrated utilization of proposed application development methodology and tool set.</p> <p>10 points - The Bidder demonstrated that the proposed application development methodology and tool set (described in response to M-4) was applied for the referenced project.</p>
		10	<p>Project Scope</p> <p>10 points - Project was for the development of a web application on the J2EE platform (version 1.3 or more recent);</p> <p>or</p> <p>5 points - Project was for the development of a web application.</p>
		10	<p>Project Size</p> <p>10 points - The cost of end-to-end application development was a minimum of \$5,000,000 (Canadian);</p> <p>or</p> <p>5 points - The cost of end-to-end application development was a minimum of \$2,000,000 (Canadian).</p>
		10	<p>Project Complexity</p> <p>10 points - Project was for the end-to-end development project with a service oriented architecture framework based on the J2EE platform (version 1.3 or more recent).</p>
MAX. AVAILABLE POINTS		40	

POINTS ACHIEVED		
------------------------	--	--

R.4 QUALITY MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

QUALITY MANAGEMENT PROGRAM			
			BIDDER'S RESPONSE
#	RATED CRITERIA	POINTS MAX	DEMONSTRATED EXPERIENCE (Bidders to insert data) Client Particulars: a) Organization Name b) Management Level Contact Name and E-mail Address or Phone #
R.4.1	The Bidder should provide one reference project where the same quality management plan proposed in response to Corporate Evaluation Criteria #M-5 was used to manage the quality and functionality of the application.	10	Demonstrated utilization of proposed quality management plan. 10 points - The Bidder demonstrated that the proposed quality management plan (described in response to M-5) was applied for the referenced project.
	To be considered, the reference project provided must: i. Have been a Java-based application development project; ii. Have been completed in the last seven (7) years. If the reference project is not complete, the contract must have been in place for a minimum of twelve (12) months.	10	Project Scope 10 points - Project was for the development of a web application on the J2EE platform (version 1.3 or more recent); or 5 points - Project was for the development of a web application.
		10	Project Size 10 points - The cost of end-to-end application development was a minimum of \$5,000,000 (Canadian); or 5 points - The cost of end-to-end application development was a minimum of \$2,000,000 (Canadian).
		10	Project Complexity 10 points - Project was for the end-to-end development project with a service oriented architecture framework

QUALITY MANAGEMENT PROGRAM			
			BIDDER'S RESPONSE
#	RATED CRITERIA	POINTS MAX	DEMONSTRATED EXPERIENCE (Bidders to insert data) Client Particulars: a) Organization Name b) Management Level Contact Name and E-mail Address or Phone #
			based on the J2EE platform (version 1.3 or more recent).
MAX. AVAILABLE POINTS		40	
POINTS ACHIEVED			

R.5 DOCUMENTATION OF DELIVERABLES

DOCUMENTATION OF DELIVERABLES			
			BIDDER'S RESPONSE
#	RATED CRITERIA	POINTS MAX	DEMONSTRATED EXPERIENCE (Bidders to insert data) Client Particulars: a) Organization Name b) Management Level Contact Name and E-mail Address or Phone #
R.5.1	The Bidder should provide one reference project where the same deliverable documentation plan proposed in response to Corporate Evaluation Criteria #M-6 was used to ensure that the client was able to understand and maintain the software code developed under the contract. To be considered, the reference project provided must: i. Have been a Java-based application development project; ii. Have been completed in the last	10	Demonstrated utilization of proposed deliverable documentation plan: 10 points - The Bidder demonstrated that the proposed deliverable documentation plan (described in response to M-6) was applied for the referenced project.
		10	Project Scope 10 points - Project was for the development of a web application on the J2EE platform (version 1.3 or more recent); or

DOCUMENTATION OF DELIVERABLES			BIDDER'S RESPONSE
#	RATED CRITERIA	POINTS MAX	DEMONSTRATED EXPERIENCE (Bidders to insert data) Client Particulars: a) Organization Name b) Management Level Contact Name and E-mail Address or Phone #
	seven years. If the reference project is not complete, the contract must have been in place for a minimum of twelve months.		5 points - Project was for the development of a web application.
		10	Project Size : 10 points - The cost of end-to-end application development was a minimum of \$5,000,000 (Canadian); or 5 points - The cost of end-to-end application development was a minimum of \$2,000,000 (Canadian).
		10	Project Complexity: 10 points - Project was for the end-to-end development project with a service oriented architecture framework based on the J2EE platform (version 1.3 or more recent).
MAX. AVAILABLE POINTS		40	
POINTS ACHIEVED			

QUESTION 2

Amendment #5, Question/Answer 5 - The insertion noted for rated requirement R.1.2 does not appear to include the revision made to R.1.2 b) and R.1.2 k) in Amendment #2, Question/Answer 20. Please confirm the changes made to both R.1.2 b) and R.1.2 k) in Amendment #2, Question/Answer 20 remain valid and that the revision in Amendment #5, Question/Answer 5 should be updated accordingly.

ANSWER 2

At **ATTACHMENT 1, BID EVALUATION CRITERIA, 2. POINT RATED REQUIREMENT, R-1 CORPORATE EXPERIENCE (REFERENCE PROJECTS)**, of the RFP, amend as follows:

Delete R.1.2, in its entirety.

INSERT:

R.1.2	Tools & Technologies	30	
	<p>The Bidder should demonstrate that it utilized any of the following tools, technologies or methods to develop the referenced Java enterprise application:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Workflow or business process management capabilities using a COTS or open source workflow/BPM solution incorporating open standards (e.g., BPMN, WS-BPEL); b) Implementation of web services using open source frameworks that use open standards (e.g. JAX-WS, JAX-RS). c) Oracle (version 10g or higher) for persistent data storage; d) Industry-dominant visual modeling tools that support Unified Modeling Language (UML) diagramming and code generation or round-trip engineering (e.g. Rational Software Architect, Sparx Enterprise Architect, Eclipse-based UML tools such as Eclipse UML2, MyEclipse UML or Apollo for Eclipse, No Magic MagicDraw, Gentleware Poseidon for UML); e) Use of an automated testing tool, such as Rational or Selenium, to support the testing of the application; f) Java application build automation tools such as Apache Ant or Apache Maven; g) Eclipse or an Eclipse-based tool (i.e. Rational Software Architect, CodeGear JBuilder, MyEclipse) as an Integrated Development Environment; 		<p>The Bidder will receive up to 30 points as follows:</p> <p>3.75 points – for each criterion (a to k) demonstrated to a maximum of 30 points.</p>

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

01B68-100049/A

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

01B68-100049

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

007

File No. - N° du dossier

609e101B68-100049

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

609e1

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

	<p>h) Integration technologies that utilize SOAP or REST as an open standard;</p> <p>i) Iterative and incremental application development methodology based on the Unified Software Development Process;</p> <p>j) Subversion (SVN) as a source code version control system; and</p> <p>k) Bug and issue tracking systems (e.g. Atlassian JIRA).</p>		
--	--	--	--

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.