

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Réception des soumissions - TPSGC / Bid Receiving
- PWGSC
1550 Avenue d'Estimauville
Québec
Québec
G1J 0C7

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
TPSGC/PWGSC
1550 Avenue d'Estimauville
Québec
Québec
G1J 0C7

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| Title - Sujet Dossier d'affaires | | |
| Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation T8010-110163/A | | Amendment No. - N° modif. 002 |
| Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client T8010-11-0163 | | Date 2012-04-16 |
| GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$QCL-034-14548 | | |
| File No. - N° de dossier QCL-1-34891 (034) | | CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME |
| Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2012-05-09 | | Time Zone Fuseau horaire Heure Avancée de l'Est HAE |
| F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/> | | |
| Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Gabra, Andrée-A. | | Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur qcl034 |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (418) 649-2836 () | | FAX No. - N° de FAX (418) 648-2209 |
| Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: | | |

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

| | |
|--|--|
| Delivery Required - Livraison exigée | Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée |
| Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur | |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur | |
| Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie) | |
| Signature | Date |

QUESTIONS AND AMENDEDMENTS

THE ABOVE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL IS HEREBY AMENDED AS FOLLOWS :

Included in the present amendment :

1. Questions and answers 5 to 9
 2. Amendment no 2
-

1. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS :

QUESTION 5 :

With respect to Solicitation No. T8010-110163/A:

Answer 1 of the Questions and Answers:

It is indicated in the Terms of Reference that "The Contractor cannot sub-contract any of the work under Component 1". In Answer 1, with respect to Component 1, it is noted that "Interested businesses can also form consortiums to bid for the services requested". Does this mean that a consortium, comprising more than 1 firm, can bid to undertake Component 1, as a consortium? If so, how does this consortium present its project experience and qualification in keeping with the evaluation criteria, with respect to Component 1?

ANSWER 5 :

A consortium comprising of more than one (1) firm can bid to undertake Component 1, as a consortium. As stated in the Request for Proposals, Attachment 1 to Part 4, the consortium should present its project experience and qualifications as follows: "Joint venture submissions are not to exceed the maximum number of projects. To be considered, a project must have been carried out by at least one of the joint venture parties. If the Proponent is a joint venture, made up of firms A and B, for example, the project presented may be projects involving the AB joint venture or projects involving firm A or firm B only."

QUESTION 6 :

Answer 4 of the Questions and Answers:

The answer to question 4 states that "Transport Canada does not currently have any external advisors for the New Bridge for the St. Lawrence project." This answer neglects to respond to the full question 4, which also asks if external advisors have been used by Transport Canada in the past with respect to the New Bridge for the St. Lawrence project, and if so, which ones.

ANSWER 6 :

Transport Canada has not, in the past, retained the services of any external advisors for the New Bridge for the St. Lawrence project.

QUESTION 7 :

Given the page restriction for RT2.2 and RT2.3, RT3.2 and RT 4.2, are bidders only to address the sub-criteria listed within the rated requirement (e.g., there are three sub-criteria in RT2.2), or must we also provide details for items A to K as listed in 1.1.2 on page 11 of the RFP?

ANSWER 7 :

Items a) to k) as listed in Section 1.1.2. of Attachment 1 to Part 4 are recommended key elements that are provided to help guide the Bidder in addressing the rated criteria. The Bidder is not required to address items a) to k) following the specific format presented in Section 1.1.2., but is responsible to provide a sufficient amount of information to clearly describe the project and to allow the evaluation team to evaluate the experience of the Bidder as related to the criteria being evaluated and if necessary, validate the information provided with the client reference.

QUESTION 8 :

The revised Attachment 1 to Part 4 released on April 5 seems to be identical to that included in the original RFP. Could you please confirm that there are no differences.

ANSWER 8 :

There are differences in the revised Attachment 1 to Part 4 released on April 5, 2012. The amendments are marked in "bold" and "italic" formats.

QUESTION 9 :

We have a question with respect to Solicitation No. T8010-110163/A.

In the "Mandatory Technical Criteria (MT) - The Bidder's Experience" table (Attachment 1 to Part 4, Section 1.1.1), MT-4 states "The Bidder must demonstrate that it has prepared Traffic and Revenue Forecasts, that were used to leverage financing projects with a total value that must not be less than \$250 million (from its planning phases until the end of construction and/or, in case of a P3, until the end of the concession period) through banks, bonds, pension funds, other financial or lending institutions or other public market offerings."

Our question: Is the total value of \$250 million a required minimum for each of the 7 relevant projects requested in this section, or is it the minimum combined value of the 7 projects?

ANSWER 9 :

The total value of \$250 million is a required minimum for each of the 7 projects.

2. AMENDMENT NO 2

2.1 Attachment 1 to Part 4, section 1.1.2 Point Rated Technical Criteria (RT), at RT-1.4 :

Please delete the phrase :

The Bidder should clearly describe the elements of the project that are at risk and propose a mitigation plan that will allow the completion of the performance-based objectives within the allocated costs and time.

and insert:

The Bidder should clearly describe the elements of the project that are at risk and propose a mitigation plan that will allow the completion of the performance-based objectives within the allocated time **and in accordance with the other requirements of the Statement of Work.**

2.2 Attachment 1 to Part 4, section 1.1.2 Point Rated Technical Criteria(RT), at RT-2.3, RT-3.2 and RT-4.2 :

Please delete the phrase :

However, the composition of the Team's resources must possess experience relevant to all of the sub-criteria below.

and insert :

However, the composition of the Team's resources **should** possess experience relevant to all of the sub-criteria below.

2.3 Annex A Statement of Work, section 2. Requirements, at 2.1 Component 1 - Input to Project Planning and Development of the Business Case

Please insert :

The traditional procurement mode of Canada is defined as Design-Bid-Build.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.