

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC
11 Laurier St./11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0A1/Noyau 0A1
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

There is a security requirement with this solicitation
Ce besoin comporte des exigences relatives à la sécurité.

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Alternative Service Delivery/Autres modes de prestation
des services
11 Laurier/11 rue Laurier
7C2, Place du Portage Phase III
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet JOINT INFORMATION AND INTELLIGENCE	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W8474-13BN05/B	Amendment No. - N° modif. 002
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W8474-13BN05	Date 2013-04-10
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$PSD-006-23621	
File No. - N° de dossier 006psd.W8474-13BN05	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2013-04-29	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Potter, David	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 006psd
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 944-5687 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (613) 947-6262
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This Amendment 002 is hereby raised to amend the solicitation and to answer questions received from Bidders as follows:

A. THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS OF THE SOLICITATION ARE HEREBY MODIFIED:

Modification No. 5:

a) At Appendix "D" - Section 1: *Mandatory Evaluation Criteria*

Insert:

M-13	Bidder must submit the Initial Interface Engineering Plan as per Para 2.4-5 of the SOW.
	The Interface Engineering Plan must convey the Bidder's overall direction for the development and implementation of the interface system in to the DND/CF CIS, including associated impacts to other entities. The Interface Engineering Plan should be prepared in accordance with DID DI-ENG-001.

b) At Appendix "D" Section 2: *Rated Evaluation Criteria*

Insert:

R-17	Initial Interface Engineering Plan
	<p>The Contractor must submit an Initial Interface Engineering Plan in the contractor's own format as per M13. Additional points will be awarded if the Bidder's Initial Interface Engineering Plan addresses the following category submission requirements as detailed in DID DI-ENG-001.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identification of the objectives and standards to be used in the system development process; 2. Identification of the software life-cycle model to be used to satisfy the product or service requirements, based on the project's scope, magnitude and complexity; 3. Mapping of development process activities and best practices to the selected life-cycle model;

	<p>4. Schedule, resources, methodology, tools, reuse strategy, action items, roles and responsibilities to be used in development and test;</p> <p>5. Qualification of all requirements, including safety and security;</p> <p>6. Reference to separate plans or procedures to address different activities in the development stage or process, such as development process implementation, system requirements analysis, system architecture design, system and software requirements specification, high-level and low-level system or software design, software construction or coding, system element test or software unit test, system or software installation, and acceptance; and,</p> <p>7. Identification of notations and naming conventions used in development.</p>	
	<p><u>Scoring</u></p> <p>The Interface Engineering Plan is tailored to meet the specific requirements of the JIIFC SOW in significant detail to allow the evaluator to understand how the Bidder proposes to conduct development activities and the software implementation strategy by addressing all seven (7) of the Category submission requirements above.</p> <p>The Interface Engineering Plan is generic by addressing four (4) - six (6) of the Category submission requirements above.</p> <p>The Interface Engineering Plan is very basic and generic by addressing two (2) - three (3) of the Category submission requirements above.</p>	<p>30 Points</p> <p>20 Points</p> <p>10 Points</p>

c. At Part 4 - Section 4.4 (a) iv - *Basis of Selection:*

Delete: 417 points

Insert: 435 points

Modification No. 6:

At Annex A Section 2.5.2 - *Interface Verification and Validation (V&V) Plan:*

Delete from the last sentence: (forming part of the Contractor's proposal at the Request for Proposal (RFP) stage)

Modification No. 7:

At the front page of the solicitation in the "Solicitation Closes" block, the closing date of the solicitation will be amended as follows:

Delete: 2013-04-23

Insert: 2013-04-29

B. QUESTIONS AND CORRESPONDENT ANSWERS:

Q6: With respect to rated requirements R-13, R-14, R-15, and R-16, it is recommended that due to the scope and duration of the project that the Senior Engineer role be supplemented with a second engineer with similar and/or complementary experience. This option could provide a greater breadth of technical experience while ensuring coverage and continuity throughout the project. Accordingly, it is requested that rated requirements R-13, R-14, R-15, and R-16 be amended such that the experience points be awarded based on the experience of up to two engineers (e.g., a lead engineer and a deputy).

A6: The requirement will remain as stated.

Q7: During the JIIFC Industry Engagement Working Group, an assessment was provided by PWGSC that Conflict Of Interest would be under review by PWGSC. [We] request confirmation that any company, contractor etc that worked either directly or indirectly with the JIIFC project Definition, including exposure to SOW and/or development of requirements, should be disqualified from bidding in response to the ref. 1 RFP.

A7: Conflict-of-Interest situations are determined on a case-by-case basis in accordance with Standard Acquisition Clauses and Conditions (SACC) 2003 Article 18 - Conflict of Interest Unfair Advantage as amended at Part 2 - Article 2.1 (d) of the RFP. However, due to the required independent interaction between the work performed in the PMESS and DMI contracts, the PMESS and DMI contracts must be performed by different Contractors.

Q8: In accordance with SOW Para 2.4-5, the Contractor must update, maintain, and implement the Interface Engineering Plan (DI-ENG-001) which was submitted with its proposal for the development of the Data Mediation Interfaces. The

corresponding entry in SOW Appendix 5 also indicates that this document is to be submitted with the proposal. The mandatory and rated evaluation criteria included in SOW Annex D (Sections 1 and 2), however, do not include a requirement for this submission with the RFP response.

It is recommended that this document be included as a rated requirement and included as part of the submission. The Engineering Plan will provide the bidder's approach to delivering the technical services (i.e. SOW paras 2.4 to 2.6) and will comprise the objective evidence to support the work estimates provided in the financial bid. Without this evidence, the Crown may have difficulty assessing whether a bidder has completed the necessary planning and has the requisite processes and knowledge to execute in accordance with the hours and labour category assignments provided in the financial bid. We recommend that the IEP be used to evaluate a bidder's competency and readiness to execute the technical services work.

A8: The evaluation criteria for the Interface Engineering Plan was omitted from the RFP in error. Please refer to Modifications No 5 for additional Evaluation Criteria.

Q9: In accordance with SOW Para 2.5-2, the Contractor must update the initial Interface V&V Plan (forming part of the Contractor's proposal at the Request for Proposal (RFP) stage). The mandatory and rated evaluation criteria included in SOW Annex D (Sections 1 and 2), however, do not include a requirement for this submission with the RFP response. It is recommended that SOW Para 2.5-2 be updated to remove the reference to an initial Interface V&V Plan to be submitted with the proposal and that the initial delivery cited in Annex D (i.e., no later than 20 working days prior to the CDR) be retained.

A9: No initial Interface V&V Plan is required at bid submission. Please refer to Modification No 6 above.

Q10: Would DND consider adjusting the requirement so that it includes the integration and provisioning of geospatial map data for CPOF for Canada?

A10: No. The request is outside the scope of this requirement.

Q11: In Article 3.3 Section II: Financial Bid, reference is made to the bidder completing the attached Excel spreadsheet. Has there been an oversight in attaching the Excel spreadsheet to the RFP?

3.3 Section II: Financial Bid

Bidders must complete Appendix B.2 Service Categories Calculation Table with their financial bid. Note: The Table must remain in Excel format when submitted.

(b) Service Categories:

(i) Bidders must complete Appendix B-2 of the attached Excel Spreadsheet by providing the Estimated Effort for Phase 1 Part 1 for each and every Labour Category at the Per Diem Rates proposed in Table 1. Where a Labour Category is not required for the performance of Phase 1, Part 1, the Bidder must enter "0" for Estimated Effort.

(ii) The Service Per Diem Rate calculated in Column D of Appendix B-2 shall form the Firm Per Diem Rate Per Service Category in Table 2 below

A11: The Excel spreadsheet is identified at ATT1 and can be downloaded in addition to the solicitation document from MERX in either of Canada's official languages.

Q12: [Our] technical personnel have reviewed the requirements associated with the subject solicitation and have been unable to locate any mention as to the expected data message formats or the type of protocols/connections expected for these system of systems to interoperate. It is requested that these data message formats as well as the type of protocols/connections expected be provided in order for a detailed response to be presented for evaluation.

A12: For operational information systems that are subject to Controlled Goods/ITAR regulations, the data message formats and the protocols for interface connection will be released after contract award. For the remaining systems, the Source System Owner was not able to provide detailed and accurate information at time of RFP release. The analysis phase of the Contract (Phase 1) will address this issue.

Q13: It is requested that a three week extension, from the date the information above is provided, be granted to allow for the development of a responsive proposal.

A13: Please refer to Modification No 7 above.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.