

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0A1 / Noyau 0A1
Gatineau
Quebec
K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires
THERE IS A SECURITY REQUIREMENT
ASSOCIATED WITH THIS REQUIREMENT

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Systems Software Procurement Division / Division des
achats des logiciels d'exploitation
11 Laurier St. / 11 rue, Laurier
4C1, Place du Portage, Phase III
Gatineau
Quebec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet IMAGING & CONTENT CAPTURE SOFTWARE		
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EN929-111664/B		Amendment No. - N° modif. 003
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20111664		Date 2012-10-05
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$EE-031-24753		
File No. - N° de dossier 031ee.EN929-111664	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2012-10-18		Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>		
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Dubé, Robert		Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 031ee
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-0473 ()		FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 953-3703
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:		

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

AMENDMENT # 003

This amendment solicitation is raised to:

A) make modifications to the RFP; and

B) answer questions from the industry.

A) To make the following modifications to the RFP:

1- In ANNEX A - STATEMENT OF REQUIREMENT:

Under 6.1 - Personnel Requirements, 6.1.2 - Continuity and Replacement of Personnel, at 2. (a):

DELETE

The previous experience, professional designation, language proficiency and education of any replacement will be evaluated to ensure that the replacement resource satisfies requirements specified in Section 1.1, above.

REPLACE with:

The previous experience, professional designation, language proficiency and education of any replacement will be evaluated to ensure that the replacement resource satisfies requirements specified in Section 6.3 below for the classification.

2- In ANNEX A - STATEMENT OF REQUIREMENT:

Under 5.1 - Mandatory Requirements, 5.1.2 - Import , Classification, Recognition at M-3:

DELETE:

The Software Solution must be configurable such that it will automatically import digital content and its associated metadata and index data from network disk directories without user intervention, including, but not limited to:

a) Input File format for metadata and index data:

- i. TXT
- ii. XML
- iii. CSV

b) Input File format for content:

- i. MS Word
- ii. PDF and PDF/A
- iii. TIFF - single page
- iv. JPEG and JPEG 2000
- v. RTF (email)
- vi. TXT (email)
- vii. HTML (email)
- viii. PNG

REPLACE with:

The Software Solution must be configurable such that it will automatically import and process digital content and its associated metadata and index data from network disk directories without user intervention, including, but not limited to:

a) Input File format for metadata and index data:

- i. TXT
- ii. XML
- iii. CSV

b) Input File format for content:

- i. MS Word
- ii. PDF and PDF/A
- iii. TIFF - single page (150 dpi to 600 dpi)
- iv. JPEG and JPEG 2000 (150 dpi to 600 dpi)
- v. RTF (email)
- vi. TXT (email)
- vii. HTML (email)
- viii. PNG

B) To answer questions # 13 to # 49 from the industry:

Questions 1 to 12 already answered and posted on MERX in Amendment # 001.

QUESTION # 13:

In reference to:

- Part 1 - General Information, 1.2 Summary
and

- Part 7 - Resulting Contract Clauses, 7.7 Contract Period (b) Option to Extend the Contract (i)

Sections 1.2 and 7.6 (b) specify a requirement for a two year contract period plus five irrevocable option years for a total bidder commitment of seven years. Our company resists excessively long contract terms. A maximum commitment of five years would be preferred so we are able to bid.

Please consider reducing the irrevocable option to extend the term of the contract to "plus three one-year irrevocable options" and "up to 3 additional 1-year period(s)".

ANSWER # 13:

Canada has considered the request and the irrevocable option to extend the term of the contract to "plus five one-year irrevocable options" and "up to 5 additional 1-year period(s)" remain unchanged.

QUESTION # 14:

In reference to:

Part 7 - Resulting Contract Clauses, 7.10 Payment, (f) Price Protection - Most Favoured Customer.

A competitive RFP process, which results in a comparison of rates by multiple Bidders at the same time, is the most fair, efficient, and effective means of determining the lowest price and best value to Canada, as the Bidder has to bid against competitors. Current policy in the Canadian Government for competitive RFPs indicates that clauses, such as the Most Favoured Customer clause, are only required for non-competitive procurement of goods and services over \$50,000.

Given that the RFP is competitive and has an evaluation methodology that establishes a competitive financial outcome, we respectfully request that the Most Favoured Customer clause be removed or amended to state that the clause will be exercised only if there is a "sole Bidder" or only a sole proposal received.

ANSWER # 14:

Canada has consider the request and Resulting Contract Clauses, 7.10 Payment, (f) Price Protection - Most Favoured Customer remains unchanged.

QUESTION # 15:

In Annex A: General:

a) Could the Crown provide an indication of listing of the mandatory and rated requirements that will be selected to be presented in the proof of proposal demonstration and confirm that the same list will be applied to every vendor selected for the proof of proposal.

b) Additionally could the Crown clarify the expected timeframe for the calls for the proof of proposal demonstration.

ANSWER # 15 :

a) Proof of Proposal will evaluate the bidder's claims with respect to the software functionality as outlined in Annex A - Section 4.3. The same mandatory list will be given to all vendors selected for the proof of proposal. The rated list will differ according to each vendor's respective response to the rated requirements.

b) Please refer to Part 4 - 4.2 Technical Evaluation, (d) Proof of Proposal Test for Top-Ranked Bid and Annex A - Statement of Requirement Section 4.0 of the RFP.

QUESTION # 16:

In Annex A, M-19, R-8:

Could the Crown clarify under what circumstances they would need to write the barcode technologies listed?

ANSWER # 16:

There is a requirement to generate a separator or index page with information contained in a 1D or 2D barcode.

QUESTION # 17:

In Annex A, M-23:

When the Crown indicates that the Software Solution must provide various audit and logging capabilities, is there any flexibility to provide some of the specific functionality listed as part of the implementation or in a future product update?

ANSWER # 17 :

All functionality stated in M-23 must be provided in the Software Solution at the time of bid closing.

QUESTION # 18:

In Annex A, M-26:

When the Crown indicates that the Software Solution must allow authorized users to search and export audit trails for specified events, records, users, groups, roles and times, is it acceptable for this to be performed by system administration staff using tools available as part of this role?

ANSWER # 18:

No, this would not be acceptable. The Software Solution must allow authorized users to search and export audit trail data for specified events, records, users, groups, roles and times.

QUESTION # 19:

In Annex A, M-32:

Would the Crown clarify to what level of integration and interoperability is required (and if possible, which types of specific functions are required; e.g. send documents and index data, receive documents and index data, etc.) to satisfy this mandatory requirement?

ANSWER # 19:

Refer to answer provided to question # 20.

QUESTION # 20:

In Annex A, M-33:

Would the Crown clarify to what level of integration and interoperability is required (and if possible, which types of specific functions are required; e.g. send documents and index data, receive documents and index data, etc.) to satisfy this mandatory requirement?

ANSWER # 20:

Integration and interoperability must be at a level such that:

- The indexing and hierarchy of folders and documents within folders that were created and organized by the Software Solution are automatically without user intervention written /committed into the Open Text Content Server.
- Content and its associated metadata is written into the appropriate folder(s) and data tables within Content Server. If the container (e.g. folder) doesn't exist the Software Solution will automatically create it without user intervention.
- Metadata and index data is searchable by the Software Solution.
- Any errors or warnings generated by the Content Server as a result of the Software Solution 'calls' must be received and processed by the Software Solution.

QUESTION # 21:

In reference to:

- Part 6 - Security, Financial, and Other Requirements, 6.1 Security Requirement (a)

- Annex A - Statement of Requirement and Professional Services Classification Requirements, 6.3 Professional Services Qualification Requirements Tables, 6.3.1 to 6.3.8, Section 3 Security

Section 6.1 states, "Before award of a contract ... the Bidder's proposed individuals requiring access to classified or protected information, assets or sensitive work site(s) must meet the security requirement as indicated in Part 7 - Resulting Contract Clauses".

The mandatory Section 3 Submission Requirement in the Annex A - Professional Services Qualification Requirements Tables states, "The candidate must meet the security requirements indicated in Part 6 of the Bid solicitation. To demonstrate compliance, the Bidder must provide: 1. The candidate's Security Clearance level, certificate number and expiry date."

Annex A requires demonstrated proof at time of submission, yet Part 6 states that individuals must be security cleared before award of a contract.

Please remove the mandatory Section 3 Submission Requirement.

Alternatively, please confirm that candidates who applied for and await the required security clearance can demonstrate compliance with Section 3 by providing the date they submitted their applications.

ANSWER # 21:

Canada has reviewed the request and Section 3 Submission Requirement remains unchanged. Also the alternative request has been reviewed and is not acceptable.

QUESTION # 22:

In reference to:

- Part 3 - Bid Preparation Requirements, 3.2 Section I Technical Bid (b) (iv) Résumés for Proposed Resources

- Annex A - Statement of Requirement and Professional Services Classification Requirements, 6.1 Personnel Requirements, 6.1.1 Personnel Qualification Requirements.

- Section 3.2 (b) (iv) states, "The technical bid must include résumés for the resources identified in Annex A - Statement of Requirement the bid solicitation that demonstrate that each proposed individual meets the qualification requirements described in Annex A".

- Annex A Section 6.1.1 states, "Upon request from the Project Authority or Contracting Authority, the Bidder must provide the information necessary to demonstrate compliance with these requirements."

Please clarify whether résumés demonstrating compliance with the qualification requirements must be included in the technical bid at time of submission or upon request from the Project Authority or Contracting Authority.

ANSWER # 22:

Résumés must be included in the technical bid at time of bid submission.

-In Annex A, Section 6.1.1:

DELETE the sentence:

"Upon request from the Project Authority or Contracting Authority, the Bidder must provide the information necessary to demonstrate compliance with these requirements."

QUESTION # 23:

Given the need to clarify discrepancies in the RFP and allow Bidders to reflect the clarifications in their responses, we respectfully request a three weeks extension and revised close date of 1 November 2012.

ANSWER # 23:

As per Amendment #002 the bid closing date has been extended to Thursday October 18, 2012.

QUESTION # 24:

In reference to 3.3 Section II: Management Bid, (b) Description of Experience with Previous Similar Projects, (iii) states:

"The project must have been completed by the Bidder itself (and does not include the experience of any proposed subcontractor or any affiliate of the Bidder). However, several entities may combine their experience by submitting a bid as a joint venture; in that case, the bid can describe the previous experience of one or more joint venture members to meet the experience requirement - that is, one similar project could be described for one joint venture member and another different project could be described for another joint venture member, as long as the total number of projects is met (if two members of the joint venture worked on the same project, it will only be counted once)."

Industry will form partnerships to provide the best solution at the best price to the Government of Canada. It would be advantageous to the Government of Canada and industry to accept references from partners of the prime and not just the prime contractor to address the reference requirements. From a Government of Canada perspective this approach adds visibility to the partnerships that the prime contractors will establish and enhances opportunities for Small Medium Enterprises (SMEs). In this regard visibility means demonstrating that the partner(s) has the credentials and capabilities to be a part of the Imaging & Content Capture Software procurement. From an industry perspective this approach allows bidders to put their best foot forward to address the reference requirements and it ensures that industry can meet the requirements in order to submit compliant proposals thus increasing competition. Simply put, PWGSC will receive more bid responses from industry with this approach.

The contractual joint venture stated in sub-bullet (iii) is not a viable solution to this issue. The corporations that will form partnerships to deliver the solution are typically large multi-nationals; the legal implications and approval processes associated with contractual joint ventures within these organizations are arduous and the Imaging & Content Capture Software contract would be completed before approvals are obtained.

We respectfully request that this requirement be changed to allow references from partners of the prime and not just the prime contractor.

ANSWER # 24:

Canada has reviewed the request and the requirement remains unchanged.

QUESTION # 25:

Given the size of the bid and the demanding requirements of the response, we respectfully request a three (3) week extension to the closing of this solicitation.

ANSWER # 25:

As per Amendment #002 the bid closing date has been extended to Thursday October 18, 2012.

QUESTION # 26:

Regarding Part 2 - Bidder Instructions, 2.1 (p.10 of 69), we request Section 14 of SACC 2003 be stricken.

ANSWER # 26:

Canada has reviewed the request and Section 14 of SACC 2003 remains unchanged.

QUESTION # 27:

Regarding 7.14 - Priority of Documents, we request that (g) the signed Task Authorizations to follow the (a) Articles of Agreement - then have that followed by 4003, 4004 and finally 2030 and the annexes.

ANSWER # 27:

Canada has reviewed the request and 7.14 Priority of Documents remains unchanged.

QUESTION # 28:

Regarding SACC 2030 Section 21, we request change to clarify that in the contract that software vendor will own anything derived from its IP. Anything derived from Canada's IP will belong to Canada.

ANSWER # 28:

Canada has reviewed the request and Section 21 of SACC 2030 remains unchanged.

QUESTION # 29:

Regarding SACC 2030 Section 23, we request change to add mutual confidentiality to contract.

ANSWER # 29:

Canada has reviewed the request and SACC 2030 Section 23 - Confidentiality remains unchanged.

QUESTION # 30:

Regarding SACC 2030 Section 27, would the Crown accept a 1:1 contract value to liability and not to exceed \$1 million instead of uncapped liability?

ANSWER # 30:

Canada has reviewed the request and SACC 2030 Section 27 remains unchanged.

QUESTION # 31:

Regarding SACC 2030 Section 34, would the Crown consider the right to set-off and request to be stricken?

ANSWER # 31:

Canada has reviewed the request and SACC 2030 Section 34 - Right of Set-off remains unchanged.

QUESTION # 32:

Regarding SACC 4003 Section 18, we request change to add a clause to stipulate in contract that delivery is electronic and occurs when we make the software available to the Crown.

ANSWER # 32:

Canada has reviewed the request and SACC 4003 Section 18 - Risk of Loss remains unchanged.

QUESTION # 33:

Regarding 7.7, we request change to add a clause to allow for termination for default and, if possible, convenience.

ANSWER # 33:

Canada has reviewed the request and clause 7.7 remains unchanged.

QUESTION # 34:

Regarding 7.10 (a) (iii), we request change from "payable quarterly, in arrears..." to "payable quarterly, in advance..."

ANSWER # 34:

Canada has reviewed the request and clause 7.10 (a) (iii) remains unchanged.

QUESTION # 35:

Regarding 7.10 (e) Payment Credits, we request this clause be stricken.

ANSWER # 35:

Canada has reviewed the request and clause 7.10 (e) Payment Credits remains unchanged.

QUESTION # 36:

Regarding 7.10 (e) Payment Credits, Audit Rights (sub (ix)) we request audit be limited to see timesheets, invoices and expense receipts.

ANSWER # 36:

Canada has reviewed the request and clause 7.10 (e) Payment Credits, Audit Rights (sub (ix)) remains unchanged.

QUESTION # 37:

Regarding 7.10 (f) Most Favoured Customer, we request this clause be stricken as other existing customer agreements conflict with this provision.

ANSWER # 37:

Refer to answer provided to question # 14.

QUESTION # 38:

Regarding 7.18 (b) (i) and (iii), would the Crown accept a 1:1 contract value to liability and not to exceed \$1 million?

ANSWER # 38:

Canada has reviewed the request and clause 7.18 (b) (i) and (iii) remains unchanged.

QUESTION # 39:

Regarding 7.18 (c) (ii), would the Crown accept a 1:1 contract value to damages and not to exceed \$1 million?

ANSWER # 39:

Canada has reviewed the request and clause 7.18 (c) (ii) remains unchanged.

QUESTION # 40:

Regarding 7.23 (b)-(d), we request a change to say we will provide a plan within 10 days to remedy deficiencies.

ANSWER # 40:

Canada has reviewed the request and clause 7.23 (b)-(d) remains unchanged.

QUESTION # 41:

Regarding 7.29, we believe that this requirement is inappropriate to our Corporation because the contracting party is the parent company.

We therefore request if 7.29 Performance Guarantee can be stricken.

ANSWER # 41:

Canada has reviewed the request and clause 7.29 remains unchanged.

QUESTION # 42:

Regarding Solicitation No. EN929-111664/B, Amendment # 001, Section A) 1, (iii) which states:

"The Bidder must diligently maintain the list up-to-date by informing Canada in writing of any change occurring during the validity period of the bid, and must also provide Canada, when requested, with the corresponding Consent Forms. The Bidder will also be required to diligently maintain the list and when requested, provide Consent Forms during the period of any contract arising from this bid solicitation."

Directors change from time to time in the normal course of business. Our standard procedure is to post the list on our corporate website as changes occur.

We would like to request that this public posting be acceptable in meeting this requirement.

ANSWER # 42:

Canada has reviewed the request and modifications to SACC 2003 Subsection 5 of Section 01 in Amendment #001 remains unchanged.

QUESTION # 43:

We understand that Sections 12 and 22 of SACC 2030 will not apply to licensed software acceptance and warranty conditions.

Will the acceptance and warranty of licensed software be governed by Section 12 and Section 15 of SACC 4003 respectively?

ANSWER # 43:

Yes, it will be governed by the Priority of Documents in the Contract.

QUESTION # 44:

We request clarification of Section 12 of SACC 4003 that PWGSC may test to ensure that the software conforms to documented specifications only. No other requirements may be used for accept testing.

ANSWER # 44:

All Licensed Programs delivered and all services provided under the Contract are subject to inspection by Canada. Acceptance of the Licensed Programs will be based on all requirements of the Contract.

QUESTION # 45:

We request clarification that we may provide a Time and Materials estimate instead of firm prices.

ANSWER # 45:

Canada has reviewed the request and the Basis of Payment remains unchanged.

QUESTION # 46:

In reference to 7.20 Licensed Software (a)

- Section 7.20 states that source code escrow is required.

As a matter of corporate policy, we do not place source code for our Licensed Programs in escrow for several reasons.

· Licensed Programs are important intellectual property assets. Source code for our Licensed Programs is key to our software business and loss of its control will cause irreparable harm to our software business.

· The costs and complexity of administering escrows for our large number of Licensed Programs and their frequent versions and releases as we continually update our products far exceed the value and benefit of escrow.

· Source code is of limited value to customers who are not familiar with its design structure or elements. Source code access provides little, if any, benefit to a customer given the complexities associated with using source code for a Licensed Program with which the customer is not familiar. It would not be easy for even an experienced programmer to understand or decipher the codes without having a great degree of familiarity with the Licensed Program structure and its design elements.

Most customers are unable or reluctant to bear the costs of indemnifying us against any harm arising from escrow, to pay the full costs of administering the escrow, and to develop and maintain the programming resources needed to use the source code.

Given the limited benefits of the source code to persons unfamiliar with it and our requirement to maintain control over its valuable intellectual property, we do not provide source code of our Licensed Programs to our customers. Source code is a valuable intellectual property and therefore part of our assets that remain

under our control or, in the event of any possible liquidation, a receiver for the benefit of all shareholders or creditors.

Our practice is similar to that of many other large software companies and reflects industry norms. Due to the high value and strategic importance that we place on source code, and the harm that could result from disclosure, we like many other software providers adopted a policy of not putting into escrow source code for Licensed Programs. Most customers understand this position and take comfort in our stability and reputation. It is when a software provider is unstable and does not have a good reputation that source code escrow become important.

Please can you remove Software Source Code escrow as a requirement?

ANSWER # 46:

Canada has reviewed the request and clause 7.20 (a) about the Software Source Code Escrow remains unchanged. Also please refer to Section 16 of SACC 4003.

QUESTION # 47:

In reference to Annex A - Statement of Requirement and Professional Services Classification Requirements, 5.1 Mandatory Requirements, M-7

M-7 d) states that the Software Solution must "Provide concurrent access for 75 users scalable to 170 concurrent users accessing the Software Solution performing such tasks as reporting, indexing, classifying, acquiring content, etc.

Please identify the total number of users who require access to the Software Solution in order to perform such tasks as reporting, indexing, classifying, acquiring content, etc., now and anticipated over the seven year term.

ANSWER # 47:

For information purposes only, the type of License being granted in Part 7, clause 7.20 and Annex B- Basis of Payment is not restricted by this information.

As a background information, the following is an estimate percentage of the total users who require access to the Software Solution in order to perform such tasks as :

Quality Control - 20%
Classification - 30%
Indexing - 40%
Reporting - 5%
Administration - 5%

Based on the current forecast models, Canada anticipates the number of users to increase by 15% per year over the seven year term.

M-7 d) is revised as follows:

~~DELETE:~~

d) Provide concurrent access for 75 users scalable to 170 concurrent users accessing the Software Solution performing such tasks as reporting, indexing, classifying, acquiring content, etc.;

INSERT:

d) Provide an estimated concurrent access for approximately 75 users scalable to 170 users accessing the Software Solution performing such tasks as reporting, indexing, classifying, acquiring content, etc.;

QUESTION # 48:

In reference to:

- Part 6 - Security, Financial, and Other Requirements, 6.1 Security Requirement (a)

- Annex A - Statement of Requirement and Professional Services Classification Requirements, 6.3 Professional Services Qualification Requirements Tables, 6.3.1 to 6.3.8, Section 3 Security

Section 6.1 states: "Before award of a contract ... the Bidder's proposed individuals requiring access to classified or protected information, assets or sensitive work site(s) must meet the security requirement as indicated in Part 7 - Resulting Contract Clauses".

The mandatory Section 3 Submission Requirement in the Annex A Professional Services Qualification Requirements Tables states, "The candidate must meet the security requirements indicated in Part 6 of the Bid solicitation. To demonstrate compliance, the Bidder must provide: 1. The candidate's Security Clearance level, certificate number and expiry date."

The Government of Canada website for clearance processing has been offline since last Thursday 20 September. The website appeared back online Thursday 27 September. During that period, we were unable to apply for the required clearances for candidates who comply with all other professional services requirements.

Given this outage and the number of bidder questions outstanding, we respectfully reiterate our request for a three week extension and revised close date of 1 November 2012.

ANSWER # 48:

As per Amendment #002 the bid closing date has been extended to Thursday October 18, 2012.

QUESTION # 49:

In reference to:

- Part 6 - Security, Financial, and Other Requirements, 6.1 Security Requirement (a)

- Annex A - Statement of Requirement and Professional Services Classification Requirements, 6.3 Professional Services Qualification Requirements Tables, 6.3.1 to 6.3.8, Section 3 Security

Section 6.1 states: "Before award of a contract ... the Bidder's proposed individuals requiring access to classified or protected information, assets or sensitive work site(s) must meet the security requirement as indicated in Part 7 - Resulting Contract Clauses".

The mandatory Section 3 Submission Requirement in the Annex A Professional Services Qualification Requirements Tables states, "The candidate must meet the security requirements indicated in Part 6 of the Bid solicitation. To demonstrate compliance, the Bidder must provide: 1. The candidate's Security Clearance level, certificate number and expiry date."

"The Government of Canada website for clearance processing has been offline since last Thursday 20 September. The website appeared back online Thursday 27 September. During that period, we were

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

EN929-111664/B

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

003

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

031ee

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

20111664

File No. - N° du dossier

031eeEN929-111664

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

unable to apply for the required clearances for candidates who comply with all other professional services requirements.

Please confirm that candidates who applied for and await the required security clearance can demonstrate compliance with Section 3 by providing the date they submitted their applications.

ANSWER # 49:

Refer to answer provided to question # 21.

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE BID SOLICITATION REMAIN
UNCHANGED**