

RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving
PWGSC
33 City Centre Drive
Suite 480
Mississauga
Ontario
L5B 2N5
Bid Fax: (905) 615-2095

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Regional Individual Standing Offer (RISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle régionale (OCIR)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Public Works and Government Services Canada
Ontario Region
33 City Centre Drive
Suite 480
Mississauga
Ontario
L5B 2N5

Title - Sujet TEST EQUIPMENT, CALIBRATION SERVICE		
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation K3D33-111210/A		Date 2012-05-08
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client K3D33-111210		Amendment No. - N° modif. 001
File No. - N° de dossier TOR-1-34320 (016)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME	
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$TOR-016-5901		
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale		2012-04-05
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2012-05-22		Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Yari, Helen		Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur tor016
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (905) 615-2081 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (905) 615-2060	
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée		
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:		
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.		

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required Accusé de réception requis	Yes - Oui <input type="checkbox"/>	No - Non <input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

This solicitation amendment is issued to amend as follows:

1. Annex E, Evaluation Criteria and Basis of Selection

Under - 1.2 Point Rated Technical Criteria

At - criteria #8

Delete: "8. Calibration laboratory is ISO 9001:2008 registered.

Maximum 10 points

Yes

10 pts.

No 0 pts.

Insert: "8. Offeror is ISO 9001:2008 registered.

Maximum 10 points

Yes

10 pts.

No 0 pts.

Replace Annex E, Evaluation Criteria and Basis of Selection with Annex E, Evaluation Criteria and Basis of Selection - Revision 1, attached herein.

ANNEX E**EVALUATION CRITERIA AND BASIS OF SELECTION - REVISION 1****1. Technical Evaluation****1.1 Mandatory Technical Criteria**

At RFSO closing date and time, the Offeror must:

- comply with the following Mandatory Requirements; and
- provide the necessary documentation to support compliance.

Offerors are to indicate where the information can be found in their offer to demonstrate that they meet the following criteria.

		Indicate Page No..
i)	<p>The calibration laboratory where the calibration is to take place must have a valid accreditation certificate to ISO/IEC 17025 for calibrations of atmospheric absolute pressure in a range of 800 hPa to 1100 hPa. A copy of their valid accreditation certificate must be submitted with their offer;</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The Offeror must provide proof that their laboratory is in the midst of obtaining accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025 for calibrations of atmospheric absolute pressure devices in a range of 800 hPa to 1100 hPa, by submitting their existing documentation and as well, their plan demonstrating how they are going to obtain the accreditation within the 6 months from contract issuance. The submitted documentation and plan will be point rated.</p>	
ii)	Offeror must provide proof that they meet the uncertainty of +/- 8 Pa (2 STD) or better for each type of barometer calibrated, determined relative to a primary standard.	
iii)	Offeror must demonstrate that they will be able to meet the 20 days turnaround from the date the barometers are made available from and to EC -Toronto.	
iv)	Offeror must provide description of the calibration process intended to be used for the calibration of the above units; this must include, at a minimum, the equipment used – including specifications, configuration and the calibration procedure to be utilized.	
v)	Equipment used in the calibration must have traceability directly to a national measurement institute; National Research Council (NRC) or National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) standards.	
vi)	Laboratory must operate on premises a Dead Weight Tester.	

1.2 Point Rated Technical Criteria

1. Calibration laboratory currently holds a valid accreditation certificate to ISO/IEC 17025 for calibrations of instrumentation for mechanical, dimensional, or thermodynamic measurements and has had it for:

Maximum 15 points	1-2 years	5 pts
	3-5 years	10 pts
	5+ years	15 pts

2. Calibration laboratory currently holds a valid accreditation certificate to ISO/IEC 17025 for calibrations of atmospheric pressure in a range of 800 hPa to 1100 hPa.

OR

The plan to obtain the accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025 for calibration of atmospheric pressure in a range of 800 hPa to 1100 hPa within 6 months from contract issuance.

Maximum 30 points	30 pts.	Accreditation Certificate provided Feasibility of the plan to obtain accreditation as well as the laboratory's accreditation in other fields of metrology will be assessed based on the quality of plan and documentation provided.
	15-25 pts.	

3. The length of time the Offeror has been operating a calibrating laboratory.

Maximum 10 points	1-2 years	5 pts
	3-5 years	7 pts
	5+	10 pts

4. The length of time the Offeror has conducted calibration of pressure instruments.

Maximum 10 points	1-2 years	5 pts
	3-5 years	7 pts
	5+	10 pts

5. The experience of the Offeror conducting testing and calibration of sensors for measuring absolute pressure.

Maximum 10 points	1-2 years	5 pts
	3-5 years	7 pts
	5+	10 pts

6. Warranty period offered in excess of the minimum 30 days mentioned in the statement of work will be assessed.

Maximum 10 points	31 – 45 days	3 pts.
	46 – 60 days	5 pts.
	61 – 90 days	8 pts.
	91+	10 pts.

7. An acceptable and feasible demonstration of having an improved turnaround time than the minimum 20 days as mentioned in the statement of work will be assessed.

Maximum 5 points	15 – 19 day turnaround	3 pts
	10 – 14 day turnaround	5 pts

8. Offeror is ISO 9001:2008 registered.

Maximum 10 points	Yes	10 pts.
	No	0 pts.

Maximum Points Available 100

Minimum Points Required 60

1.3. Financial Evaluation**1.3.1 Evaluation of Price**

The price of the bid will be evaluated in Canadian dollars, the Goods and Services Tax or the Harmonized Sales Tax excluded, FOB destination, Canadian customs duties and excise taxes included.

1.3.2 The Offerors must submit their financial bid in accordance with Annex B, Basis of Payment. Pricing must be provided for all items.

1.3.3 The pricing on Annex B, Basis of Payment will used for price evaluation purposes on Annex F, Price Evaluation Example. Section B. Repair Services will not be included in the Price Evaluation herein.

1.3.4 The price used in the evaluation will be the Aggregate Price, which is calculated in 3 Steps as follows:

Step 1- The batch quantities will be divided into the Firm Lot Pricing to arrive at the Unit Pricing for calibration of each barometer;

Step 2 - Then the unit pricing will be multiplied by the estimated quantities of barometers for each year to calculate the Estimated Total Price for each year;

Step 3 - The Aggregate Price will be the sum of the Estimated Total Price for the initial period of approx. 3 years and the extension period of 3 years.

See Annex F, Price Evaluation Example for details on the calculation of the Sum of the Estimated Total Price..

2. Basis of Selection**2.1 Basis of Selection – Highest Combined Rating of Technical Merit and Price**

1. To be declared responsive, a bid must:

- (a) comply with all requirements of the bid solicitation;
- (b) meet all mandatory criteria; and

- (c) obtain the required minimum 60 points overall for the technical evaluation criteria which are subject to point rating.

The rating is performed on a scale of 100 points.

2. Bids not meeting (a), (b), or (c) will be declared non-responsive.
3. The evaluation will be based on the highest responsive combined rating of technical merit and price. The ratio will be 60% for the technical merit and 40% for the price.
4. To establish the technical merit score, the overall technical score for each responsive bid will be determined as follows: total number of points obtained/maximum number of points available multiplied by the ratio of 60%.
5. To establish the pricing score, each responsive bid will be prorated against the lowest evaluated price and the ratio of 40%.
6. For each responsive bid, the technical merit score and the pricing score will be added to determine its combined rating.
7. Neither the responsive bid obtaining the highest technical score nor the one with the lowest evaluated price will necessarily be accepted. The responsive bid with the highest combined rating of technical merit and price will be recommended for award of the contract.

The table below illustrates an example where all three bids are responsive and the selection of the contractor is determined by a 60/40 ratio of technical merit and price, respectively. The total available points equals 135 and the lowest evaluated price is \$45,000 (45).

Basis of Selection - Highest Combined Rating Technical Merit (60%) and Price (40%)

	Offeror		
	Offeror 1	Offeror 2	Offeror 3
Overall Technical Score	115/135	89/135	92/135
Bid Evaluated Price	\$55,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$45,000.00
Calculations			
Technical Merit Score	$115/135 \times 60 = 51.11$	$89/135 \times 60 = 39.56$	$92/135 \times 60 = 40.89$
Pricing Score	$45/55 \times 40 = 32.73$	$45/50 \times 40 = 36.00$	$45/45 \times 40 = 40.00$
Combined Rating	83.84	75.56	80.89
Overall Rating	1st	3rd	2nd