

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Réception des soumissions - TPSGC / Bid Receiving
- PWGSC
601-1550, Avenue d'Estimauville
Québec
Québec
G1J 0C7

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
TPSGC/PWGSC
601-1550, Avenue d'Estimauville
Québec
Québec
G1J 0C7

Title - Sujet Joint intelligence and information	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W7701-125076/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 005
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W7701-12-5076	Date 2013-04-10
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$QCL-018-15280	
File No. - N° de dossier QCL-1-34958 (018)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2013-05-23	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Piras, Gabriel	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur qcl018
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (418) 649-2870 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (418) 648-2209
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: R & D POUR LA DEFENSE CANADA VALCARTIER BATIMENT 53 2459 BLVD PIE XI NORD QUEBEC Québec G3J1X5 Canada	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée See Herein	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

TITLE : DEVELOPMENT OF A JOINT INTELLIGENCE AND INFORMATION S&T CAPABILITY AMENDMENT 005

Included in the present amendment :

- Modifications 2 and 3 to the Request for Proposals (RFP)
- Questions and answers 21 to 25

Modification 2 : POSTPONEMENT OF THE SOLICITATION CLOSING DATE

Solicitation closing date is postponed from May 1st, 20130, to **May 23, 2013**.

Please note that the closing date will not be postponed beyond this date unless it is justified by a major event that would affect most of the bidders and have an impact on the integrity of this process.

Modification 3 :

Attachment 2 is amended by deleting criteria 3.d, 3.e, 3.f and 3.g. The following criteria apply in lieu of those criteria.

<p>3.d The Bidder should propose a Sensemaking Specialist, Level 3, who meets the experience and expertise described in Appendix 2 – Category of Resources – of Annex A – Statement of Work.</p> <p>The proposal should clearly identify the resource's original and peer reviewed scientific research published in scientific journals and related to the field of Sensemaking.</p>	<p>10 – Excellent. The resource proposed either has ten (10) years or more of experience with a Ph. D. related to the field of Sensemaking, or he has fifteen (15) years or more of experience in this field. The resource has had at least eight (8) original and peer reviewed scientific research papers published in scientific journals related to the field of Sensemaking.</p> <p>6 – Good. The resource proposed either has five (5) years or more of experience with a Ph. D. related to the field of Sensemaking, or he has ten (10) years or more of experience in this field. The resource has had at least four (4) original and peer reviewed scientific research publications published in scientific journals related to the Sensemaking field.</p> <p>2 – Limited. The resource proposed meets the minimum experience required for Level 3 in the field of Sensemaking. Work is supported by at least one publication in open literature related to the Sensemaking field.</p> <p>0 – Weak. Any other situation.</p>
--	---

<p>3.e The Bidder should propose a HCI and Visualisation Specialist, Level 3, who meets the experience and expertise described in Appendix 2 – Category of Resources – of Annex A – Statement of Work.</p> <p>The proposal should clearly identify the resource's original and peer reviewed scientific research published in scientific journals and related to the fields of HCI and Visualisation.</p>	<p>10 – Excellent. The resource proposed either has ten (10) years or more of experience with a Ph. D. related to the field of HCI and Visualisation, or he has fifteen (15) years or more of experience in this field. The resource has had at least eight (8) original and peer reviewed scientific research papers published in scientific journals related to the field of HCI and Visualisation.</p> <p>6 – Good. The resource proposed either has five (5) years or more of experience with a Ph. D. related to the field of HCI and Visualisation, or he has ten (10) years or more of experience in this field. The resource has had at least four (4) original and peer reviewed scientific research publications published in scientific journals related to the HCI and Visualisation field.</p> <p>2 – Limited. The resource proposed meets the minimum experience required for Level 3 in this field. Work is supported by at least one publication in open literature related to the field of HCI and Visualisation.</p> <p>0 – Weak. Any other situation.</p>
<p>3.f The Bidder should propose an Information/Knowledge Management Specialist, Level 3, who meets the experience and expertise described in Appendix 2 – Category of Resources – of Annex A – Statement of Work.</p> <p>The proposal should clearly identify the resource's original and peer reviewed scientific research published in scientific journals and related to the field of Information/ Knowledge Management.</p>	<p>10 – Excellent. The resource proposed either has ten (10) years or more of experience with a Ph. D. related to the field of Information and Knowledge Management, or he has fifteen (15) years or more of experience in this field. The resource has had at least eight (8) original and peer reviewed scientific research papers published in scientific journals related to the field of Information and Knowledge Management.</p> <p>6 – Good. The resource proposed either has five (5) years or more of experience with a Ph. D. related to the field of Information and Knowledge Management, or he has ten (10) years or more of experience in this field. The resource has had at least four (4) original and peer reviewed scientific research publications published in scientific journals related to the Information and Knowledge Management field.</p> <p>2 – Limited. The resource proposed meets the minimum experience required for Level 3 in this field. Work is supported by at least one publication in open literature related to the field of Information/Knowledge Management.</p> <p>0 – Weak. Any other situation.</p>

<p>3.g The Bidder should propose a Cognitive Specialist, Level 3, who meets the experience and expertise described in Appendix 2 – Category of Resources – of Annex A – Statement of Work.</p> <p>The proposal should clearly identify the resource's original and peer reviewed scientific research published in scientific journals and related to the field of Cognitive Science.</p>	<p>10 – Excellent. The resource proposed either has ten (10) years or more of experience with a Ph. D. related to the field of Cognitive Science, or he has fifteen (15) years or more of experience in this field. The resource has had at least eight (8) original and peer reviewed scientific research papers published in scientific journals related to the field of Cognitive Science.</p> <p>6 – Good. The resource proposed either has five (5) years or more of experience with a Ph. D. related to the field of Cognitive Science, or he has ten (10) years or more of experience in this field. The resource has had at least four (4) original and peer reviewed scientific research publications published in scientific journals related to the Cognitive Science field.</p> <p>2 – Limited. The resource proposed meets the minimum experience required for Level 3 in this field. Work is supported by at least one publication in open literature related to the field of Cognitive Science.</p> <p>0 – Weak. Any other situation.</p>
--	--

Question 21 : Attachment 2, mandatory and point rated criteria, p. 9, item 3.d states that to get “6-good” the resource must meet the minimum experience required for Level 3 in the field of Sensemaking. Appendix 2, p. 62 states that Level 3 is “10+ years of experience or 5+ years of experience and a relevant PhD.”

For the other three categories of S&T resources, HCI/Visualization, IKM, and Cognitive Specialists (3.e, 3.f, 3.g, p. 10 and 11), there is an additional statement in the evaluation method to get “6-good”. This additional statement is: “He has a total of more than 15 years of experience in this field.” If this is the case, then this requirement for 15 years of experience is the same required to get “10-Excellent.” The question is: what is the actual requirement to get “6-good” for these three categories? Is it the Level 3 minimum requirements or 15 years or more of experience? Please clarify.

Answer : See Modification 3 above.

Question 22 : Considering the nature and complexity of the RFP as well as the answers to questions published in amendments 002, 003 and 004, we request a delay of the Solicitation closing date from 1 May 2013 to 31 May 2013. The RFP requires the implication of several organizations which requires a lot of coordination that takes time in order to prepare a high quality response.

Answer : See Modification 2 above.

Question 23 : Would Canada accept to amend Section 26 of 2040 (2012-11-19), General Conditions – Research & Development, in order to limit contractor's liability to Canada?

Answer : Section 26 of 2040 (2012-11-19), General Conditions – Research & Development, will not be amended in order to limit contractor's liability to Canada.

Question 24 : Regarding the point rated criteria, when a criterion requires the identification of "original and peer reviewed scientific research published in scientific journals" (criteria 3d, 3e, 3f, 3g) for a proposed resource and a specific field :

Q24(a) May a publication, concerning the specific area, in conference proceedings be accepted under this criterion?

Answer : Original and peer reviewed research papers presented in recurrent and/or recognized conferences will be considered.

Q24(b) May a patent obtained in connection with the specific area be considered under this criterion?

Answer : No.

Question 25 : Regarding the point rated criteria, when a criterion requires the identification of "scientific publications, including R&D publications related to Defence, and scientific publications produced in collaboration with R&D partners" (criterion 5b) for a supplier and a specific field :

Q25(a) May a publication, concerning the specific area, in conference proceedings be accepted under this criterion?

Answer : Original and peer reviewed research papers presented in recurrent and/or recognized conferences will be considered.

Q25(b) May a patent obtained in connection with the specific area be considered under this criterion?

Answer : No.

Q25(c) May a DRDC technical report (TR-xxxxx) be considered under this criterion?

Answer : No.

***** All the other clauses and conditions remain unchanged *****