

RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0A1 / Noyau 0A1

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**Revision to a Request for Supply
Arrangement - Révision à une demande
pour un arrangement en matière
d'approvisionnement**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless
otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of
the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf
indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation
demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Marine Machinery and Services / Machineries et
services maritimes

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

6C2, Place du Portage

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Tech Investigation Eng Support TIES	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation F7048-090005/D	Date 2012-09-07
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client F7048-090005	Amendment No. - N° modif. 002
File No. - N° de dossier 040ml.F7048-090005	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$ML-040-23124	
Date of Original Request for Supply Arrangement 2012-08-30 Date de demande pour un arrangement en matière d'app. originale	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2012-09-26	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Hicks, Angela	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 040ml
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-8259 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-0897
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the solicitation. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de l'invitation.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required Accusé de réception requis	Yes - Oui <input type="checkbox"/>	No - Non <input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

Amendment 002

THIS AMENDMENT TO THE SOLICITATION IS RAISED TO PUBLISH SET NO. 2 OF
BIDDERS QUESTIONS AND CANADA'S ANSWERS.

Set No. 2

Q7 Please clarify the evaluation plan section 3.2:

Whereas,

"The Bidder must propose one (1) resource for each of the eight (8) Occupational Levels described in Table 4.2 and defined by the Statement of Work. The same resource can be proposed for up to two (2) disciplines."

Where the "disciplines" are Engineer, Technical Specialist, Technologist and Project Officer and the levels in each are senior and intermediate.

Furthermore in the bidders instructions to section 4.2 (rated) it states that

"2. Up to 6 persons can be submitted for each Area of Expertise. The same person can be submitted for multiple Areas of expertise."

Clarification.

If the bidder is only bidding on a small number (1 to 3) areas of expertise then whilst under section 3.2 there must be a minimum of 4 persons nominated with each being in 2 disciplines, but under 4.2 only 6 names in each area (and if only a single area of expertise is being sought, consequently a maximum of 6 persons with 2 nominated in 2 disciplines) then (a) is it necessary that each occupational level be filled at both senior and intermediate levels and (b) is it allowable for a resource to be at different levels in different areas of expertise?

A7 To correct the Bidder's assumptions above, Bidders must: "obtain the required minimum scores for the rated criteria in at least two (2) of the twelve (12) areas of expertise."

Reference from RFSA, Part 4, 2.1.1 (c) **AND** to correct the other assumptions "At least one candidate per selected area of expertise must be provided and depending of the area of expertise the candidate must be Senior Engineer and/or Senior Technical Specialist." Reference from RFSA, Annex B, 4.2, second paragraph.

Answers to the Clarification questions:

(a) no it is not necessary, however "A qualified senior level resource may assume the intermediate level position at the appropriate rate" reference RFSA, Annex B, 3.2 first paragraph; and

(b) yes, as in (a) above; however, once a Bidder has met the mandatory minimum score a second candidate will not increase the Bidder's score.

Further, Bidders are instructed to read the RFSA in its entirety; in particular, new Bidders should note: "The following is provided to assist potential new suppliers in preparing their bid documents as a result of lessons learned from the first release of this RFSA.

It was suggested that Bidders would find it easier to prepare their bid by first organizing the mandatory requirements of personnel in the areas of expertise; secondarily, provide the minimum scores in the fields of specialty; and then thirdly, provide the types of work in the areas of expertise." Reference from the RFSA Part 2, 2.2.

Q8 In A1 to Amendment 1 it is noted that "Suppliers previously qualified and holding a Supply Arrangement do not need to prepare or resubmit another proposal, unless there is a change from last year's list of qualified employees or subcontractors, which is a mandatory requirement under the Supply Arrangement." Does this highlighted text mean that any supplier who no longer employs the same list of qualified employees or subcontractors is obligated to provide a current list of personnel and demonstrate that these also meet the mandatory and rated requirements?

A8 A Supplier who was awarded a Supply Arrangement and no longer employs the resource(s) with which the supplier qualified and who has **not** already provided Canada with the information to do so must invoke the SACC Manual Clause A7017C (2008-05-12) Replacement of Specific Individuals of the RFSA at Part 6, C RESULTING CONTRACT CLAUSES, Article 2, to ensure the Supplier has provided Canada with up to date information.

Q9 If the answer to question Q8 is "yes", will PWGSC remind current holders of a Supply Arrangement of this obligation?

A9 This RFSA serves the purpose of reminding Supply Arrangement holders of this obligation.

Q10 If the answer to question Q8 is "yes", as this is a large and demanding document, will Canada please provide a two week extension to the current closing date?

A10 The closing date of this RFSA will be extended by one week.