

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Regional Manager/Real Property
Contracting/PWGSC
Ontario Region, Tendering Office
12th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street
Toronto, Ontario
M2N 6A6
Ontario

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Regional Manager/Real Property
Contracting/PWGSC
Ontario Region, Tendering Office
12th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street
Toronto, Ontario
M2N 6A6
Ontario

Title - Sujet Electrical Engineering Services	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EQ754-130771/A	Date 2012-09-20
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20130771	Amendment No. - N° modif. 003
File No. - N° de dossier PWL-2-35046 (029)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$PWL-029-1728	
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer 2012-08-17	
Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2012-10-02	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Fok, Bo See	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur pwl029
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (416) 590-8254 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (416) 512-5652
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: Ontario Region	
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required Accusé de réception requis	Yes - Oui <input type="checkbox"/>	No - Non <input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

Amendment No. 003

This amendment is being raised to: 1) make revisions to the Submission Requirements and Evaluation (SRE) 2.2, 3.2.4 and 3.2.5 sections of the RFSO and 2) provide responses to Requests for Clarification.

1) Revision to Submission Requirements and Evaluation (SRE)

i) Revision to SRE 2: PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS - 2.2: Specific Requirements for Proposal Format:

Delete:

The maximum number of pages (including text and graphics) to be submitted for the Rated Requirements under SRE 3.2 is thirty-five (35) pages.

Insert:

The maximum number of pages (including text and graphics) to be submitted for the Rated Requirements under SRE 3.2 is forty (40) pages.

ii) Revision to 3.2. RATED REQUIREMENTS - 3.2.4 Senior Personnel Expertise and Experience:

Delete:

3.2.4 Senior Personnel Expertise and Experience

1. *What we are looking for:*
A demonstration that the Proponent has senior personnel in-house with the capability, capacity and expertise in each area listed in the Required Services (RS) section.
2. *What the Proponent should provide: (approximately two (2) pages **per** senior personnel)*
 - (a) submit a maximum of six (6) c.v.'s of senior personnel. Each curriculum vitae should clearly indicate the years of experience the senior personnel has in the provision of the services specified in the Required Services (RS) section; and
 - (b) Identify the personnel's years of experience, the number of years with the firm; and
 - (c) professional accreditation; and
 - (d) accomplishments/achievements/awards.

Insert:

3.2.4 Senior Personnel Expertise and Experience

1. *What we are looking for:*

A demonstration that the Proponent has senior personnel in-house with the capability, capacity and expertise in each area listed in the Required Services (RS) section.

2. *What the Proponent should provide: (approximately two (2) pages **per** senior personnel)*

- (a) submit a maximum of six (6) c.v.'s of senior personnel. It is up to the Proponent to decide how the team is made up. The suggested breakdown is: Electrical engineer (3 c.v.'s), Architect (1 c.v.), Mechanical engineer (1 c.v.) And Structural Civil engineer (1 c.v.). Each curriculum vitae should clearly indicate the years of experience the senior personnel has in the provision of the services specified in the Required Services (RS) section; and
- (b) Identify the personnel's years of experience, the number of years with the firm; and
- (c) professional accreditation; and
- (d) accomplishments/achievements/awards.

iii) Revision to 3.2. RATED REQUIREMENTS - 3.2.5 Project Personnel Expertise and Experience:

Delete:

3.2.5 Project Personnel Expertise and Experience

1. *What we are looking for:*

A demonstration that the Proponent has project personnel in-house with the capability, capacity and expertise to provide the required services and deliverables listed in the Required Services (RS) section.

2. *What the Proponent should provide:*

- (a) submit a maximum of six (6) c.v.'s of project personnel which will perform the majority of the work resulting from the individual Call-ups. Each curriculum vitae should clearly indicate the years of experience the project personnel has in the provision of the services specified in the Required Services (RS) section;
- (b) Identify the personnel's years of experience, the number of years with the firm;
- (c) professional accreditation; and
- (d) accomplishments/achievements/awards.

Insert:

3.2.5 Project Personnel Expertise and Experience

1. *What we are looking for:*

A demonstration that the Proponent has project personnel in-house with the capability, capacity and expertise to provide the required services and deliverables listed in the Required Services (RS) section.

2. *What the Proponent should provide:*

- (a) submit a maximum of six (6) c.v.'s of project personnel which will perform the majority of the work resulting from the individual Call-ups. It is up to the Proponent to decide how the team is made up. The suggested breakdown is: Electrical engineer (3 c.v.'s), Architect (1 c.v.), Mechanical engineer (1 c.v.) And Structural Civil engineer (1 c.v.). Each curriculum vitae should clearly indicate the years of experience the project personnel has in the provision of the services specified in the Required Services (RS) section;
- (b) Identify the personnel's years of experience, the number of years with the firm;
- (c) professional accreditation; and
- (d) Accomplishments/achievements/awards.

2) Requests for Clarification

Q1: SRE 3 - 3.1 MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS

3.1.4 Consultant Team Identification

Please define the term "Key Individuals".

A1: Key personnel is considered to be the personnel to be assigned to the standing offer for the Proponent (prime consultant) and the key Sub-consultants/Specialists.

Q2: Do we list and supply CVs for only the Key Individuals? If yes, how many "Key Individuals" do we include?

A2: Yes. Refer to 3.2.4 Senior Personnel Expertise and Experience, 2 (a) and 3.2.5 Project Personnel Expertise and Experience, 2 (a) for the maximum number of c.v.'s. Refer to above 1)

ii) Revision to 3.2 RATED REQUIREMENTS - 3.2.4 Senior Personnel Expertise and Experience and iii) 3.2.5 Project Personnel Expertise and Experience.

Q3: Do you require Key Individuals as per pricing tables: Principal; Senior; Intermediate; Junior; Administrative?

A3: Refer to A1.

Q4: Do you require us to list and provide CVs for all personnel that may be assigned to Call-Ups under this RFSO? Note: If required, this could amount to a substantial number of personnel to cover all 4 Regions.

A4: Refer to A2. Each region will have up to three (3) separate Standing Offers. If a Proponent intends to submit Proposals for more than one region, there is no requirement for a firm to have separate teams for each region as long as it can be demonstrated that all the RFSO conditions are met. Each Standing Offer is an individual "potential agreement" so each proposal for each region will be reviewed as such. Every proposal has the potential to be awarded in one region but not necessarily in all regions.

Q5: SRE 2 - 2.2 Specific Requirements of the Proposal Format

1. Can the page count be increased from the maximum 35 pages to 40-45 pages?
 - As per the RFSO requirements we must provide 5 Projects (5 pages); 6 Senior Personnel CVs (2pgs/CV = 12-pages); and 6 Project Personnel CVs (2 pgs/CV = 12 pages); for a total of 29 pages, leaving only 6 of the maximum 35 page count to respond to sections 3.2.1, 3.2.2, and 3.2.6 Hypothetical Projects.

A5: Maximum number of pages increased to forty (40) pages. Please refer to above: **1) Revision to SRE 2: PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS - 2.2: Specific Requirements for Proposal Format.**

Regarding the number of projects, refer to 3.2.3 Past Experience. A maximum of five (5) significant projects are to be provided, but there is no minimum or maximum number of pages to describe the projects.

Regarding the c.v.'s, refer to 3.2.4 Senior Personnel Expertise and Experience and 3.2.5 Project Personnel Expertise and Experience. For section 3.2.4, there is no minimum number of pages, however, the maximum number of pages is two (2) pages per senior personnel. For section 3.2.5, there is no minimum or maximum number of pages per project personnel. It is up to each Proponent to decide how the team is made up. The suggested breakdown is reasonable for both sections:

- Electrical engineer 3 c.v.'s
- Architect 1 c.v.
- Mechanical engineer 1 c.v.
- Civil structural engineer 1 c.v.

Refer to above **1) ii) Revision to 3.2 RATED REQUIREMENTS - 3.2.4 Senior Personnel Expertise and Experience and iii) 3.2.5 Project Personnel Expertise and Experience.**

Q6: Can we use PWGSC logo as part of images in proposal for reporting structures.

A6: It is up to the Proponent to decide how to incorporate PWGSC as part of its reporting structure.

Q7: Could you please clarify that each region dollar value is \$5M?

A7: The overall estimated value for Call-ups made against the Electrical DISOs, for all Regions is \$5M. Proponents should note that there is no guarantee that the full or any amount of the estimated value will be called-up.

Q8: SRE 3.2.4 Senior Personnel Expertise and Experience states "A demonstration that the Proponent has senior personnel in-house with the capability, capacity and expertise in each area listed in the Required Services (RS) section." Depending on the call-up Project this could include all, some or none of the Key Sub-consultants/Specialists. Please clarify how many specialists should be identified in the Senior Personnel and Project Personnel Section.

A8: Refer to A5. It states that it is up to the Proponent to decide how the team is made up. There is a suggested breakdown of the team.

Q9: What is the last time/date that an addendum will be issued. Example: Not within 24hrs of the submission time?

A9: An amendment will be issued as early as possible, however, if there is a reason for an urgent Amendment to be issued, it is possible to issue an Amendment before the Solicitation Closing Date and Time.

All other terms and conditions remain the same.