

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage , Phase III
Core 0A1 / Noyau 0A1
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Airframes / Aero Engines R&O Division / Division de la
réparation de la révision des cellules et des moteurs
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
8C1, Place du Portage
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet OWSM CONTRACT	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W8485-07QH11/C	Amendment No. - N° modif. 010
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W8485-07QH11	Date 2012-02-20
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$BF-122-22076	
File No. - N° de dossier 122bf.W8485-07QH11	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2012-03-16	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Specified Herein - Précisé dans les présentes Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Lalonde, Martin	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 122bf
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-0175 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

CC130 AVS OWSM Draft RFP - Amendment 10

Questions and Answers

This amendment is raised to answer the following questions.

Question 1

Part 1 - General Information, para. 2.4

We recommend that the RFP include the C-130H Out of Service Date of 2017 in addition to the Estimated Life Expectancy (ELE) of the H Model.

Answer 1

Correct, the planned / expected Out of Service Date for the H Model is 2017 but is subject to revision. Therefore para 2.4 will remain unchanged.

Question 2

Part 3 - Bid Preparation Instructions, para. 3.2.2.1.2.1.1

Please remove the reference to 7.2.7

Answer 2

Reference was removed from Official version released January 30, 2012.

Question 3

Part 3 - Bid Preparation Instructions, para. 3.2.2.1.2.1.7

Please remove the reference to 7.2.5

Answer 3

Reference was removed from Official version released January 30, 2012.

Question 4

Part 3 - Bid Preparation Instructions, para. 3.2.2.1.2.1.8

Please remove the reference to 7.2.6

Answer 4

Reference was removed from Official version released January 30, 2012.

Question 5

Part 3 - Bid Preparation Instructions, para. 3.2.2.1.2.1.10

Please remove the reference to 7.2.2e

Answer 5

Reference was removed from Official version released January 30, 2012.

Question 6

Part 3 - Bid Preparation Instructions, para. 3.2.2.1.5.4

In the situation in which DND's actions contribute to an excessive repair price, what is the process to revise the repair price?

Answer 6

As per the last sentence in Part 3, para. 3.2.2.1.5.4 "Exceptions to these Firm Prices will be allowed for units, which have suffered abuse, as agreed to by Canada on a case-by-case basis. In these cases the repair costs will be claimed under CLIN 041."

Question 7

Part 3 Annex A - Bid AOP Input, para. 2.1

Please clarify whether the aircraft fleet size of 13 applies to each and every one of the 5 years, and if not, please provide the attrition rate of the aircraft.

Answer 7

Yes, for the purpose of evaluation, the aircraft fleet size of 13 applies to each and every one of the 5 years.

Question 8

Part 3 Annex A - BID AOP Input, para. 2.2

Please clarify whether the YFR of 7800 hours per year applies to each and every one of the 5 years, and if not, please provide the projected YFR for each of the five years of the initial contract period.

Answer 8

Yes, for the purpose of evaluation, the YFR of 7800 hours per year applies to each and every one of the 5 years.

Question 9

Part 3 Annex A - BID AOP Input, para. 2.4

Please review the AOP requirement for deployed operations.

Answer 9

After careful review of the AOP for deployed operations It was decided that no changes were necessary.

Question 10

Part 3 Annex A - BID AOP Input, para. 3 and 4

What significant software changes are anticipated as suggested by the software hours proposed in CLIN 27?

Answer 10

For the purpose of Bid AOP costing, Software update is required.

Question 11

Part 3 Annex B - Bid Price

Please verify and make corrections to Column (3), Performance Work Statement, to clearly align the Performance Work Statement elements with CLINs.

Answer 11

Corrections have been addressed in the Official version released January 30, 2012.

Question 12

Part 3 Annex B - Bid Price

Please confirm that the estimates of R&O requirements are for a fleet of 13 aircraft.

Answer 12

Confirmed, the estimates of R&O requirements are for a fleet of 13 aircraft.

Question 13

Part 4 - Evaluation Procedures and Basis of Selection, para. 3, Table 1

To correct the miscalculation, the Bid 1 and Bid 2 price points should be recalculated according to the new formula. As a result, Bid 1 price points should equal 285 and Bid 2 price points should equal 288. These recalculations will adjust the Total column to their correct values. Also, the Note in the table should be removed.

Answer 13

This issue was addressed and in Amendment 5. Corrections were made to the table and the updated table is available in the Official version released January 30, 2012.

Question 14

Part 7 - Resulting Contract Clauses, para. 5.2

Please indicate who is in charge on behalf of Canada, the PA or the CA.

Answer 14

As per para 5.1, of Part 7; "The Contracting Authority is responsible for the management of the Contract and any changes to the Contract must be authorized in writing by the Contracting Authority". Modifications to para 5.2 of Part 7 have been made to clarify.

Question 15

Part 7 - Resulting Contract Clauses, para. 5.3

Please clarify, under this construct, how the AWRs are authorized.

Answer 15

Currently there are no plans for Aircraft Style AWRs in this contract.

Question 16

Part 7 - Resulting Contract Clauses, para.6.1.2.2.1.1

What is the Crown's vision for the harmonization of up to 4 ATOs on the fleet: software engineering, avionics, and engines?

Answer 16

It will be up to the winning bidder to negotiate with DTAES for the appropriate delegations for their work.

Question 17

Part 7 - Resulting Contract Clauses, para 10.1

Bidder recommends that items h. and i. be deleted.

Answer 17

The paragraph will remain unchanged.

Question 18

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, para. 6.5.2.3 and 6.5.2.4.d.iii

The typo should be fixed and state "airworthiness"

Answer 18

Corrections have been made to the typos and changes are reflected in the Official version released January 30, 2012.

Question 19

Part 1 - General Information, para. 2.5

We request that the quantity of R&O and software support be reevaluated based on the declining CC-130 fleet.

Answer 19

For the purposes of the Bid AOP, the Bid Ceiling figure remains unchanged.

Question 20

Part 3 - Bid Preparation Instructions, para. 3.2.2.1.1.1.2

Paragraph 6.5.1.2.9 in the PWS is an incorrect reference in regard to Part 3 paragraph 3.2.2.1.1.1.2. Please revise.

Answer 20

Noted, the appropriate reference should be Part 7, Annex A (PWS) para 6.5.1.2.7.

Question 21

Part 4 Annex B - Technical Proposal Evaluation, para. 3.6, etc...

We recommend that a risk section is included in the capabilities and experience assessments, similar to the section in the AVS OSWM RFP 2008 release, as it is essential to program safety.

Answer 21

The Technical Proposal Evaluation Plan remains unchanged.

Question 22

Part 7 - Resulting Contract Clauses, para. 25.3.2

It is requested that the Crown confirm that the QAR activities will normally be scheduled with some advance notice (24-48 hrs) and are on a non-interference basis for immediate requests.

Answer 22

Resulting Contract Clauses para 25.3.2 remains unchanged.

Question 23

Part 7 - Resulting Contract Clauses, para. 28.1.1 & 28.2.1

We recommend these references be taken out of the Terms and Conditions section and become CDRLs.

Answer 23

Resulting Contract Clauses para 28.1.1 & 28.2.1 remain unchanged.

Question 24

Part 7 - Resulting Contract Clauses, para. 29.1

Please clarify the relationship between the Inspection Authority, Project Authority and Technical Authority.

Answer 24

Para 29.1 refers to internal DND Management and remains unchanged.

Question 25

Part 7 - Resulting Contract Clauses 34.1

Bidder recommends that the specific requirements for procurement policy and practice be consistent with the Materiel Support Plan.

Answer 25

Para 34.1 remains unchanged.

Question 26

Part 7 - Resulting Contract Clauses 34.2

Bidder recommends that all pricing should be centralized in one place, ie Part 3 Annex B - Bid Price. The price of each CLIN will include all costs, management, administration and profit associated with the CLIN. For CLINs where the price is not known at the time of the bid, ie consumable parts, the price shall be the established in accordance with DSS 1031-2 Cost Principles, including applicable management, administration and profit.

Answer 26

Agreed, the last two sentences have been removed and placed in the Basis of Payment. Please note that management costs, mark-up and profit associated with this work shall be included the appropriate work stream management CLINs.

Question 27

Part 7 - Resulting Contract Clauses 36.1

Please clarify whether Canada expects to have full time personnel stationed at Contractor's facility, as well as the number of personnel and what equipment is expected (ie desks, computers, filing cabinets, internet/phone lines etc.)

Answer 27

Please reference para 6.5.1.2.9 of Part 7 Annex A (PWS).

Question 28

Part 7 - Resulting Contract Clauses 38.1

Please delete reference to "Annex D - Performance Management Plan (PfMP) of Annex A - Performance Work Statement - of this Contract".

Answer 28

Para. 38.1 will not be deleted and remains unchanged.

Question 29

Part 7 - Resulting Contract Clauses 39

This should be incorporated in the Performance Work Statement and Bid Price Matrix.

Answer 29

Para 39 is a standard clause that must remain in the contract.

Question 30

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, para. 6.23

Please include that the PAV contractor will be the "first among equals" of the contractors involved in providing support to the fleet.

Answer 30

No, the relationship amongst the various OWSM parties is managed as per 6.2.

Question 31

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, para. 7.2.1 a)

Please remove this work scope from the CC130 AVS OWSM contract.

Answer 31

Para 7.2.1 a) will not be deleted and remain unchanged.

Question 32

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, para. 8.2.1

Please remove the requirement for software support entities to be an ADO and ATO.

Answer 32

The requirement to achieve TAA airworthiness accreditation as an Accredited Design Organization and Accredited Technical Organization for software support is not necessarily driven to any specific organization.

Question 33

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, Appendix 3, Attachment 2

Bidder requests that recent data be provided in Part 7, Annex A, Appendix 3, Attachment 2.

Answer 33

Updated data (list of repairables) will be provided to potential bidders before closing of the RFP.

Question 34

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, Appendix 3, Attachment 3

Bidder requests that recent data be provided in Part 7, Annex A, Appendix 3, Attachment 3.

Answer 34

The request has been noted but the document will remain unchanged.

Question 35

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, Appendix F-3, para. 4

These CDRLs should be a requirement for engineering support as well.

Answer 35

This comment is incomplete as written.

Question 36

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, Appendix F, CDRLs

We recommend that a similar deliverable be written into the the AVS OWSM program.

Answer 36

This comment is incomplete as written.

Question 37

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, Appendix D, Attachment 8

We request PfM 8 formula and scoring table be changed to align with the latest PAV.

Answer 37

AVS performance measures will be evaluated in a "dry run" after contract award.

Question 38

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, Appendix D, Attachment 9

We request a change to align PfM 9 with latest PAV, the modified system where each aircraft is scored and then the scores are averaged.

Answer 38

AVS performance measures will be evaluated in a "dry run" after contract award.

Question 39

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, Appendix D, Attachment 9

We recommend this clause be removed.

Answer 39

The clause will not be removed since it states that delays will not normally be considered.

Question 40

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, Appendix D, Attachment 9

We request change to align PfM 12 scoring table with latest PAV.

Answer 40

AVS performance measures will be evaluated in a "dry run" after contract award.

Question 41

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, para. 4.5.1

It is requested that the Crown state what is the current OSD of the fleet within the AVS OWSM RFP.

Answer 41

Please refer to answer one of this amendment.

Question 42

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, para. 4.5.1

We request the dates and frequency should be re-evaluated and be aligned with the PAV requirements.

Answer 42

Agreed, the document has been amended accordingly.

Question 43

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, para. 6.5.1.1.3

We recommend the summary of last year's fleet operational and support activities not be required until the full year is over.

Answer 43

The Contract Authorities recognizes this impossibility and will grant the appropriate waiver for the initial year.

Question 44

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, para. 6.5.2.3

We recommend that the CSSR format be aligned with the PAV contractor.

Answer 44

Figure one has been removed, a current template will be provided to the winning bidder.

Question 45

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, para. 8.2.1

We request that the requirement be re-examined to resolve the contradiction.

Answer 45

Please refer to answer ten of this amendment.

Question 46

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, Appendix D, para. 9.1.6

What is the vision for implementing DRMIS (MASIS) at US vendors?

Answer 46

Taking into consideration that a US vendor meets all criteria for DWAN installation, implementation of DRMIS should not be hindered.

Question 47

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, Appendix D, PfM1 PM2

According to DID PM-009, these are quarterly reports.

Answer 47

Agreed, the document has been amended accordingly.

Question 48

Part 7 Annex A - PWS, Appendix F-3, PM-002 and PM-003

The AVS reports requirements should change to match the reporting requirements of the PAV program.

Answer 48

Please refer to answer forty-two of this amendment.

Question 49

Request that information be provided on how the logistics for support in a hostile area will be addressed.

Answer 49

This topic will be addressed with the winning bidder when the situation arises and no contractor will be forced to visit a hostile area prior to their company and PWGSC agreeing to terms.

Part 7 Annex E - IRB Model Contract

*****The following paragraphs have been added or updated*****

1- Definitions:

Inserted / Added

1.1.14 "Enhanced Priority Technology List" or "EPTL" refers to the list attached as Annex D that identifies the critical and transformational technologies required by Canada that meet the long-term needs of the Canadian military.

1.1.14 is now 1.1.15 and 1.1.15 is now 1.1.16 etc...

Updated

1.1.35 Last Sentence has been updated from:

The CCV of the product is calculated as described in **Article 19**, World Product Mandate.

To

The CCV of the product is calculated as described in **Article 18**, World Product Mandate

2- Statement of Work: IRB Commitments and Responsibilities

Inserted / Added

2.1.6 commit to search for transactions on a best efforts basis that fit within the Enhanced Priority Technology List (EPTL) Version 1, attached as Appendix D.

2.16 is now 2.1.7 and 2.1.7 is now 2.1.8 etc...

Updated

2.1.8 (formerly 2.1.7) Last sentence has been updated from:

As new and/or unallocated IRB Transactions are identified by the Contractor and approved by the IRB Authority, the Direct, Indirect, Regional and Small and Medium Business IRB Commitments in Clauses 2.1.2, 2.1.3, 2.1.4 and 2.1.5 will be adjusted as applicable;

To

As new and/or unallocated IRB Transactions are identified by the Contractor and approved by the IRB Authority, the Direct, Indirect, Regional and Small and Medium Business **and EPTL IRB** Commitments in Clauses 2.1.2, 2.1.3, 2.1.4, 2.1.5 **and 2.1.6** will be adjusted as applicable;

11- Direct IRB Transactions

Updated

11.5 The paragraph has been updated from:

A list of approved GVC platforms is found in Article 31.1.

To

A list of approved GVC platforms is found in Article 31.1. **The IRB Authority reserves the right to seek validation of the eligibility of the GVC platforms found in Article 32.1, within one year of the Effective Date of the Contract. The IRB Authority shall submit to the Contractor within one year of Contract Award a written notice of the GVC platforms that the IRB Authority wishes to validate. Once the request is made, the Contractor shall have 60 calendar days to submit a package in support of their GVC eligibility claims. Should a GVC platform be found to not meet the GVC criteria (outlined in article 11.3), any IRB Transactions involving that platform will not be eligible to be used towards meeting the minimum Direct requirement outlined in article 2.1.2.**

12.1 The paragraph has been updated from:

Major Obligors to Canada are required to submit a Strategic Plan to the IRB Authority annually. If the Contractor is a Major Obligor, as defined in Article **1.1.24** then;

To

Major Obligors to Canada are required to submit a Strategic Plan to the IRB Authority annually. If the Contractor is a Major Obligor, as defined in Article **1.1.25** then;

Inserted / Added

Section 21- Enhanced Priority Technology List has been added. Section 21- **IRB Transaction Alterations** is now Section 22 and Section 23- **Contract Price Changes** is now section 24 etc...

Section 21- Enhanced Priority Technology List

21.1 Version 1 of the EPTL is attached as Annex D and applies to this contract. The IRB Authority will assess proposed EPTL transactions to determine whether they are: relevant to the EPTL List Version 1; and, of a unique and/or transformational nature to existing global product offerings. All EPTL transactions must meet the IRB Eligibility Criteria outlined in Article 5.

21.2 The IRB Authority may publish updated versions of the EPTL. Such a subsequent published version of the EPTL may be considered to replace Version 1 in this Contract. Replacing the EPTL would require agreement between the IRB Authority and the Contractor, as part of a contract change proposal submitted to the Contracting Authority.

21.3 In the case where EPTL Version 1 is replaced with a subsequent version, any IRB Transactions which have already been accepted by the IRB Authority as eligible under Version 1 will remain unaffected by the change to a subsequent version.

21.4 The Contractor may choose to submit a banked EPTL-related transaction for this CC130 AVS OWSM Project. (please see Article 15 - Banking). With respect to a banked EPTL transaction, the Version of the EPTL which was in effect at the time of the transaction's acceptance into the IRB bank may be different than the version applicable to this CC130 AVS OWSM Project. In that case, the banked EPTL transaction can nonetheless be counted towards the EPTL requirement on this CC130 AVS OWSM Project.

21.5 The IRB Authority reserves the right to seek validation of the eligibility of the EPTL Transactions found in Annex A within one year of the Effective Date of the Contract. The IRB Authority shall submit to the Contractor within one year of Contract Award a written notice of the EPTL transactions that the IRB Authority wishes to validate. Once the request is made, the Contractor shall have 60 calendar days to submit a package in support of their EPTL eligibility claims. Should an EPTL transaction be found to not meet the EPTL criteria (outlined in article 20.1), it will not be eligible to be used towards meeting the EPTL requirement outlined in article 2.1.6.

21.6 The IRB Authority is the single point of contact between industry and government regarding the EPTL. All enquiries regarding the EPTL contents should be directed to the IRB Authority.

Section 24- Verification and Access to Records

Updated

24.9 (Formerly 23.9) Paragraph has been updated from:

The Contractor=s overall IRB Commitments, claims and achievements, is information available to Parliament and is considered by the Canadian Government as information that can be released to the public. However, in situations where transactional information is formally requested through the Access to Information and Privacy Act of

the Government, the IRB Authority will identify this information as Acompany confidential when submitting it to the ATIP office for final release determination.

To

The Contractor=s overall IRB Commitments, claims and achievements, is information available to Parliament and is considered by the **However, the Contractor's specific corporate and transactional information is considered as commercial confidential and its receipt, storage and protection is governed by applicable federal laws and processes. Contractors are encouraged to clearly mark their documents identifying each page as belonging to them and containing sensitive, commercially confidential information. Canadian Government as information that can be released to the public.**

Section 26- Failure to Achieve IRB Commitments

Updated

26.1.1 (Formerly 25.1.1) Paragraph has been updated from:

In respect of the failure to achieve any of the Commitments in clauses 2.1.1 to 2.1.9 (Statement of Work: IRB Commitments and Responsibilities) by the end of the IRB Achievement Period, the Contractor shall immediately pay to Canada as liquidated damages 10% of the Shortfall.

To

In respect of the failure to achieve any of the Commitments in clauses 2.1.1 to **2.1.8, excluding 2.1.6** (Statement of Work: IRB Commitments and Responsibilities, excluding EPTL) by the end of the IRB Achievement Period, the Contractor shall immediately pay to Canada as liquidated damages 10% of the Shortfall.

Updated

26.2.2 (Formerly 25.2.2) the first sentence has been updated from:

With respect to the Holdback outlined in sub-section 25.2.1, a grace period of thirty (30) calendar days, beginning on the date of failure notification by the IRB Authority, shall pass before the Holdback takes effect.

To

With respect to the Holdback outlined in sub-section **26.2.1**, a grace period of thirty (30) calendar days, beginning on the date of failure notification by the IRB Authority, shall pass before the Holdback takes effect.

Updated

26.2.4 (Formerly 25.2.4) the first sentence has been updated from:

With respect to the Holdback outlined in sub-section 25.2.3, a grace period of thirty (30) calendar days, beginning on the date of failure notification by the IRB Authority, shall pass before the Holdback takes effect.

To

With respect to the Holdback outlined in sub-section **26.2.3**, a grace period of thirty (30) calendar days, beginning on the date of failure notification by the IRB Authority, shall pass before the Holdback takes effect.

Updated

26.3 (Formerly 25.3) the first sentence has been updated from:

In the event that the Contract is terminated for default pursuant to Clause X (Default by the Contractor), the Contractor will immediately pay to Canada an amount equal to the Liquidated Damages that would be payable under clause 25.1 based on the shortfall in regard to those Commitments that, according to Annex A (Plans, Transactions and Tables), were to be achieved by the date of termination.

To

In the event that the Contract is terminated for default pursuant to Clause X (Default by the Contractor), the Contractor will immediately pay to Canada an amount equal to the Liquidated Damages that would be payable under clause **26.1** based on the shortfall in regard to those Commitments that, according to Annex A (Plans, Transactions and Tables), were to be achieved by the date of termination.

Table V - IRB Transaction Listing and Summary - by Region

Updated

Northern Ontario column title changed to *Ontario*

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

W8485-07QH11/C

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

W8485-07QH11

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

010

File No. - N° du dossier

122bfW8485-07QH11

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

122bf

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

Table VII -IRB Transactions Listing and Summary for Small and Medium Business - by Region

Updated

Northern Ontario column title changed to **Ontario**

Annex C - IRB Transaction Sheet / Detailed IRB Transaction Sheet

Updated

9. Industrial Sector and Expertise of the IRB Recipient updated from:

Industrial Sector:

Federal Supply Class (FSC) - if known:

Description of the expertise of the IRB Recipient:

To

Industrial Sector:

Federal Supply Class (FSC) - if known:

Description of the expertise of the IRB Recipient:

Enhanced Priority Technology List (EPTL): Yes / No

If YES:

EPTL Version:

Sector:

Category:

Describe and document the activity's relevance to the EPTL List Version X and its unique and/or transformational nature to existing global product offerings:

Inserted / Added

Annex D

Enhanced Priority Technology List - Version 1

Sector	Category	Description
Ships	Defence	Detection capabilities and decision aids
	Signature Management	Detectability reduction
Cyber	Network Monitoring	Detection and tracking of anomalous behaviours that threaten network defence capabilities
	Network Defence	Tools to support dynamic responses to isolate, monitor and defeat cyber intrusions
Aerospace	Arctic and Maritime Domain Awareness	Affordable aerospace-based surveillance and monitoring systems
	Vulnerability Reduction	Precision navigation and timing capabilities that reduce vulnerabilities in current systems such as GPS
Soldier Systems	Power and Energy	Lightweight high-energy portable power sources
	Full Spectrum Protection	Blast and ballistic omni-directional shielding
	Tunable Weapons Systems	Weapons systems which deliver effects across non-lethal and lethal environments
	Situation Awareness	Integrated, portable, lightweight, multifunction, wireless and secure C3 systems

Part 3 Annex C - IRB RFP

The following paragraphs have been added or updated

SECTION 4.0- IRB TRANSACTIONS

Updated

4.1.3 The last portion of the paragraph has have been updated from:

The IRB Authority reserves the right to seek validation of the Eligibility Criteria for any or all proposed IRB Transactions within one year of Contract award. Should any IRB Transactions be found to not meet the Eligibility Criteria, the Transaction will be not be eligible for IRB credit and a substitute Transaction will be sought from the Contractor.

To

The IRB Authority reserves the right to seek validation of the IRB Eligibility Criteria, along with the additional criteria associated with Global Value Chain (GVC) and/or

Enhanced Priority Technology List (EPTL) for any or all proposed IRB Transactions within one year of Contract award. Should any IRB Transactions be found to not meet the IRB Eligibility Criteria, the Transaction will be not be eligible for IRB credit and a substitute Transaction will be sought from the Contractor. Should any IRB Transaction meeting the IRB Eligibility Criteria but not the GVC or EPTL criteria, the IRB Transaction will be eligible, but it will not be used towards fulfilling the particular GVC or EPTL requirements / commitment.

Section 5.0- IRB Mandatory Requirements

Updated

5.1 The first sentence has been updated from:

There are seven mandatory requirements that the Bidder must meet.

To

There are eight mandatory requirements that the Bidder must meet.

Inserted / Added

5.1.5 The following requirement has been added:

Requirement Five: The Bidder commits to search for transactions on a best efforts basis that fit within the Enhanced Priority Technology List (EPTL) Version 1.

5.1.5 Requirement Five now becomes 5.1.6 Requirement Six, etc...

Section 6.0- Statement of IRB Work

Updated

6.0 Has been updated from:

The following sections detail the content of the components of the IRB Proposal referred to above in sub-article 5.1.7.

To

The following sections detail the content of the components of the IRB Proposal referred to above in sub-article 5.1.8.

Updated

6.6.1.5 Has been updated from:

Industrial sector, Key Technology and expertise of the IRB Recipient;

To

Industrial sector, Enhanced Priority Technology List (EPTL), and expertise of the IRB Recipient;

Updated

6.6.1.12 Has been updated from:

IRB Schedule - the time phasing and cash flow for each IRB Transaction must be shown on each IRB Transaction sheet, broken out by 12 month periods (as detailed in section 1.1.29 of the IRB Model Contract); and

To

IRB Schedule - the time phasing and cash flow for each IRB Transaction must be shown on each IRB Transaction sheet, broken out by 12 month periods (as detailed in section 1.1.24 of the IRB Model Contract); and

Mandatory Requirements - Compliancy Checklist

Inserted / Added

5. (Requirement 5 now becomes requirement 6, etc...)

The Bidder commits to search for transactions on a best efforts basis that fit within the Enhanced Priority Technology List (EPTL) Version 1.

Inserted / Added

Section 8.0- Enhanced Priority Technology List (EPTL) has been added. **Section 8.0- IRB Evaluation Plan** is now Section 9.0

8.0 - ENHANCED PRIORITY TECHNOLOGY LIST (EPTL)

8.1 Bidders agree to search for work on a best-efforts basis towards identifying and achieving over the life of the contract, IRB transactions in technology areas specific in the EPTL Version 1.

8.2 If submitting an EPTL transaction as part of the Bid, Bidders should fully describe and document in their IRB proposals how any proposed EPTL transactions are: relevant to the EPTL Version 1; and, of a unique and/or transformational nature to existing global product offerings. Bidders should note that any proposed EPTL transaction must meet the IRB Eligibility Criteria, outlined in Article 5 of the Model Contract.

8.3 Bidders may choose to include a banked EPTL-related transaction in their IRB Proposal for the CC130 AVS OWSM Project. (See Clause 7 - Banking). With respect to a banked EPTL transaction, the Version of the EPTL that was in effect at the time of the transaction's acceptance into the IRB bank may be different than the version attached to this RFP. In that case, the banked EPTL transaction can nonetheless be counted towards the EPTL requirement on the CC130 AVS OWSM Project.

8.4 The IRB Authority is the single point of contact between industry and government regarding the EPTL. All enquiries regarding the EPTL contents should be directed to the IRB Authority, through the PWGSC Contracting Authority.