

**RETURN BIDS TO:**  
**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**  
Réception des soumissions - TPSGC / Bid Receiving  
- PWGSC  
1550, Avenue d'Estimauville  
Québec  
Québec  
G1J 0C7

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT**  
**MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**  
**Raison sociale et adresse du**  
**fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**  
TPSGC/PWGSC  
601-1550, Avenue d'Estimauville  
Québec  
Québec  
G1J 0C7

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Joint intelligence and information	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> W7701-125076/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 010
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> W7701-12-5076	<b>Date</b> 2013-05-02
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$QCL-018-15280	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> QCL-1-34958 (018)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2013-05-23</b>	<b>Time Zone</b> Fuseau horaire Heure Avancée de l'Est HAE
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Piras, Gabriel	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> qcl018
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (418) 649-2870 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (418) 648-2209
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b> R & D POUR LA DEFENSE CANADA VALCARTIER BATIMENT 53 2459 BLVD PIE XI NORD QUEBEC Québec G3J1X5 Canada	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b> See Herein	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

## TITLE : DEVELOPMENT OF A JOINT INTELLIGENCE AND INFORMATION S&T CAPABILITY AMENDMENT 010

Included in the present amendment :

- Modification(s) 10 to the Request for Proposals (RFP)
- Question(s) and answer(s) 44 to 46

### Modification 10 :

Attachment 2 is amended by deleting criterion 3.a. The following criterion applies in lieu of those criteria.

<p>3.a The Bidder should propose a Project Manager, Level 3, who meets the experience and expertise described in Appendix 2 – Category of Resources – of Annex A – Statement of Work.</p> <p>The proposal should detail the Project Manager experience and ability to successfully lead projects of similar nature in terms of domain (Intelligence or C2), size (at least fifteen (15) person-years) and complexity (R&amp;D, S&amp;T, multidisciplinary).</p>	<p><b>10 – Excellent.</b> The proposed resource has a total of fifteen (15) or more years of experience in Project Management and holds a graduate degree (Masters or Ph.D.) in Project Management, or he has a total of twenty (20) or more years of experience in Project Management. He has successfully completed at least four (4) major projects of similar size and complexity in a similar field.</p> <p><b>8 – Very Good.</b> The Project Manager proposed meets the minimum experience required for Level 3, has successfully led three (3) or more major projects of similar size and complexity, and has a total of at least 15 years of experience in Project Management.</p> <p><b>6 – Good.</b> The Project Manager proposed meets the minimum experience required for Level 3 and has successfully led three (3) or more major projects of similar size and complexity.</p> <p><b>0 – Weak.</b> Any other situation.</p>
---	--

### Question 44 :

On page 8 of Attachment 2 - Mandatory and Point Rated Criteria, criterion 3.a states : "The Bidder should propose a Project Manager, Level 3, who meets the experience and expertise described in Appendix 2 - Category of Resources - of Annex A - Statement of Work".

To get maximum points (10) for this criterion, the Evaluation Method indicates : "The Project Manager (PM) proposed exceeds the minimum experience required for Level 3, has successfully led four (4) or more major projects of similar domain, size and complexity and has a total of at least 20 years of experience in Project Management. Less years of experience may be compensated by a Master or Ph.D. diploma in Project Management".

Question: If the resource proposed as PM has an MBA in OIS (Organizational Information Systems), how many years of experience can by this degree?

**Answer :** See Modification 10 above.

---

**Question 45 :** Attachment 2, section 2, criterion 2A. To receive maximum score (10 points) for this criterion, "The Bidder provides evidence of more than one (1) successful R&D partnership with a university or research organisation, related to IKM, HCI and Visualization, and Sensemaking and of a duration of one (1) year or more within the five (5) years preceding the date of the bid." Please confirm that the R&D partnerships can be in any of the three fields/domains (IKM, HCI and Visualization, and/or Sensemaking). For example, a Bidder presenting two R&D partnerships in IKM would receive 10 points

**Answer :** The evidence of more than one (1) successful R&D partnership with a university or research organisation must be demonstrated for each domain. However, the evidence gained in more than one domain may be presented within the same successful R&D partnership.

---

**Question 46 :** In Amendment 004, Question 13.b. (published 04-04-2013) stated "Is a publication from an actual employee of the Bidder, which was not an employee of the Bidder at the time of the publication (in the last 5 years) acceptable or not?" The provided answer was "No". Since the individual who published the paper is an employee of that company and therefore his experience is now part of that company, please provide the rationale for not considering that experience in the Corporate Experience - Point Rated Criteria 5.b.

**Answer :** As mentioned in the question, this criterion refers to the Corporate Experience. Therefore, although it is the employee who made the publication, it remains that the publication must have been produced within the scope of work done under the corporate responsibility of the bidder, and that the publication must clearly identify the bidder as the employer of the author.

---

**\*\*\* All the other clauses and conditions remain unchanged \*\*\***