

**RETURN BIDS TO:**  
**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**  
Réception des soumissions - TPSGC / Bid Receiving  
- PWGSC  
1550, Avenue d'Estimauville  
Québec  
Québec  
G1J 0C7

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT**  
**MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**  
**Raison sociale et adresse du**  
**fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**  
TPSGC/PWGSC  
601-1550, Avenue d'Estimauville  
Québec  
Québec  
G1J 0C7

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Joint intelligence and information	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> W7701-125076/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 009
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> W7701-12-5076	<b>Date</b> 2013-04-30
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$QCL-018-15280	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> QCL-1-34958 (018)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2013-05-23</b>	<b>Time Zone</b> Fuseau horaire Heure Avancée de l'Est HAE
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Piras, Gabriel	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> qcl018
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (418) 649-2870 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (418) 648-2209
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b> R & D POUR LA DEFENSE CANADA VALCARTIER BATIMENT 53 2459 BLVD PIE XI NORD QUEBEC Québec G3J1X5 Canada	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b> See Herein	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

---

**TITLE : DEVELOPMENT OF A JOINT INTELLIGENCE AND INFORMATION S&T CAPABILITY  
AMENDMENT 009**

Included in the present amendment :

- Question(s) and answer(s) 42 and 43
- 

**Question 42 :** The answer to Question 11 (Amendment 003) says "During the contract period, the Contractor will have to provide, at proposed prices in his bid, the necessary resources for the task authorization." Does this mean that the Client (DRDC) will be forced to accept whichever Resource the Contractor offers, as long as that Resource meets the minimum standards laid out in Appendix 2 ?

**Answer :** There must be correspondence (adequacy) between the needs expressed in the statement of work of the task authorization and the contractor's resources proposal. The latter has an obligation to carry out the work according to the agreed quality criteria for the task authorization.

---

**Question 43 :**

**Q43(a)** Adjustments brought to Appendix B in Amendment No.008 add the equivalent of 2.0/year to the total estimated level of effort (by adding 4.0 to "A.15 – Software Programmer, Level 1" and subtracting 2.0 from "A.15 – Software Programmer, Level 1"). Is that correct?

**Answer :** Yes.

**Q43(b)** Considering that, for the purpose of determining the estimated price, one must base the calculations on 1,650 hours per year, 16,500 Hours were added (1650 hrs x 5 years x 2.0 FTE) to the table used for the calculation of prices, without changing the estimated cost of the contract (which remained \$ 17,350,000.00). Is this a mistake?

**Answer :** No, it is not a mistake.

**Q43(c)** If the answer to question 43 (b) indicates that this is not a mistake, what is the rationale used by PWGSC to add many hours of level 1 resources in the calculation, with no impact on the value of the contract?

**Answer :** As stated at page 49 of 49 in the RFP:

"The "Est. Level of Effort" listed in the table are provided solely for the purpose of determining the estimated price for each bid. They represent approximate needs, provided in good faith, and should not be considered as a contractual guarantee."

Note that it would be a mistake to assume that the "sum of the hourly rate multiplied by the "Est. Levels of Effort " X1650 hours X 5 years" must be equal to or less than the budget of \$ 17.35M.

---

**\*\*\* All the other clauses and conditions remain unchanged \*\*\***