

**RETURN BIDS TO:**  
**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**  
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -  
TPSGC  
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier  
Place du Portage, Phase III  
Core 0A1 / Noyau 0A1  
Gatineau  
Québec  
K1A 0S5  
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT**  
**MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**  
**Raison sociale et adresse du**  
**fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**  
Informatics Professional Services Division / Division  
des services professionnels en informatique  
11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier  
3C2, Place du Portage  
Gatineau  
Québec  
K1A 0S5

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Title - Sujet</b><br>IMIT SUPPORT SERVICES   |  |
| <b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b><br>QA001-129702/A  | <b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b><br>003      |
| <b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b><br>QA001-129702   | <b>Date</b><br>2012-10-19                    |
| <b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b><br>PW-\$\$ZM-379-24967  |  |
| <b>File No. - N° de dossier</b><br>379zm.QA001-129702   | <b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>       |
| <b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b><br><b>at - à 02:00 PM</b><br><b>on - le 2012-10-24</b>  |  |
| <b>Time Zone</b><br>Fuseau horaire<br>Eastern Daylight Saving<br>Time EDT   |  |
| <b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b><br><b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> |  |
| <b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b><br>Croucher, Dennis   | <b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b><br>379zm  |
| <b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b><br>(819) 956-1195 ( )  | <b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b><br>(819) 956-5078 |
| <b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b><br><b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>   |  |

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>  | <b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b> |
| <b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b><br><b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>   |  |
| <b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b><br><b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>   |  |
| <b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b><br><b>(type or print)</b><br><b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b><br><b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b> |  |
| <b>Signature</b>   | <b>Date</b>                                  |

---

## Solicitation Amendment No. 3

### Questions and Answers Set No. 3

**Question No. 1:** On page 12 of the RFP, under Customer Reference Contact Information, the RFP states that "The Bidder must provide customer references who must each confirm when requested by PWGSC, the facts identified in the Bidder's proposal". Can you please confirm whether we need to provide a customer reference for each of the projects we use within our proposal for each proposed resource?

**Response No. 1:** Yes, you need to provide a customer reference for each of the projects we use within our proposal for each proposed resource.

**Question No. 2:** On page 58 of the RFP document, under A.6 Programmer/Software Developer, R2 states that the proposed resource should have experience "working with simple and advanced workflow techniques". Would the Crown be able to provide an example of a simple workflow technique and an example of an advanced workflow technique?

**Response No. 2:** Example of simple workflow techniques would be swim lanes as constructed with a tool similar to MS Visio. Advanced would be workflows done using a Business Process Modeling software that define complex workflows within an organisation.

**Question No. 3:** To properly substantiate our candidate's experience, qualifications and expertise, please confirm it is acceptable to cross-reference to detailed project descriptions in his/her resume. The projects in the resume will be sequentially numbered and that number will be used in the matrix to identify the relevant projects that substantiate the specific requirement. Each project in the resume will include department, project name, role and start/end dates, the candidate's responsibilities and activities as well as an annotation that reflects the mandatory or rated criteria that each statement in the resume is supporting. For example, in response to mandatory criteria M1, a (M1) could be placed at the beginning of the statement in the resume that satisfies this criteria.

**Response No. 3:** Acceptable.

**Question No. 4:** On page 58 of the RFP document, for the Programmer/Software Developer, Level 2, Criteria R1 asks for "Demonstrated experience with PHP (version 5.3.3 or greater) and MySQL (version 5.1 or greater) development". Since PHP version 5.3.3 was only released in July of 2010, it is very difficult for a candidate to score full points on this criteria since they would need 3 projects since July 2010 with PHP version 5.3.3, all of which must be 6 months or more in duration. Would the Crown consider amending this criteria to include PHP versions 5 and greater?

**Response No. 4:** Agreed. The A.6 Programmer/Software Developer, Level 2 rated evaluation criteria now reads as follows:

| <b>2.1 A.6 Programmer / Software Developer, Level 2 - Rated Criteria</b>                                     |   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| <b>NOTE: The Bidder's resource must achieve a minimum of 65% (or 8 points) of the total rated criterias.</b> |   |  |  |
|  | <b>Rated Criteria</b>   | <b>Max Pts</b>   | <b>Cross Reference to Bid (page, para)</b> |
| R1   | Demonstrated experience with PHP (version 5 or greater) and MySQL (version 5.1 or greater) development.<br><br>Projects must have been within the last 5 years and the proposed resource must have worked on the project for at least 6 months. | <b>MAXIMUM 6 Points</b><br><br>0 points - 0 projects;<br>2 points - 1 project;<br>4 points - 2 projects;<br>6 points - 3 projects. |  |
| R2   | Demonstrated experience working with simple and advanced workflow techniques.<br><br>Projects must have been within the last 5 years and the proposed resource must have worked on the project for at least 6 months.                           | <b>MAXIMUM 6 Points</b><br><br>0 points - 0 projects;<br>2 points - 1 project;<br>4 points - 2 projects;<br>6 points - 3 projects. |  |
| <b>Total Points</b>  |   | 12   |  |

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

QA001-129702/A

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

QA001-129702

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

003

File No. - N° du dossier

379zmQA001-129702

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

379zm

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

---

**Question No. 5:** We just received solicitation amendment no.2 this afternoon and it dramatically affects the scoring of one of our proposed resources, with only 3 and a half business days before bid closing. Therefore, we would like to request either an extension to the closing date or for criteria R3 for the Geomatics Analyst to be reinstated into the RFP.

**Response No. 5:** Canada does not agree that the removal of the previous R3 will dramatically affect the criteria scoring. As such, the RFP will not be extended at this time.