

RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
-TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0A1 / Noyau 0A1

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Regional Individual Standing Offer (RISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle régionale (OCIR)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Data Centre Services/Services des centres de
traitement de données

5C2, Place du Portage, Phase III

11 Laurier Street

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet RCMP Multimedia Network Convergence		
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation M9010-091080/C		Date 2012-04-26
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client M9010-091080		Amendment No. - N° modif. 012
File No. - N° de dossier 003tss.M9010-091080	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME	
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$TSS-003-23889		
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale		2012-03-09
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2012-05-09		Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Beaton(tss div), Michelle		Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 003tss
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-5847 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-3703	
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée		
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:		
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.		

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required Accusé de réception requis	Yes - Oui <input type="checkbox"/>	No - Non <input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

THIS SOLICITATION AMENDMENT IS ISSUED TO:

Extend the closing date and publish Canada's Responses to Offerors' questions.

NOTE: Offerors' clarification questions are numerically sequenced upon arrival at PWGSC. A question and its answer will be provided via MERX in accordance with article 2.3 Enquiries. Potential Offerors are hereby advised that questions and answers for this solicitation may be issued via MERX out of sequence.

NOTICE TO OFFERORS:

In light that Canada is in the process of finalizing its responses to the remaining Period 2 questions, Offerors are hereby notified that Canada will extend the solicitation closing date from May 2, 2012 at 14:00 (Easter Daylight Saving Time) to May 9, 2012 at 14:00 (Easter Daylight Saving Time).

Offeror's Question 48	<p>Although the question may seem similar in nature to Questions 14, 17 and 19, it is for a unique Component, and it should be given a distinct answer.</p> <p>The Component in question is the Manufacturer Discontinued software for a WLAN controller (Total Quantity of 537 x SWISMK9-60). It cannot be ordered. No new software exists for the routers this software supported (the 1800, 2800, or 3800 routers currently existing within the RCMP).</p> <p>In the lifecycle announcement, the OEM recommends customers migrate to the Cisco 2900/3900 Series Services-Ready Engine Modules, which require new access routers (model 1941, 2901, 2911, 2921, 2951, 3925, or 3945) at each location.</p> <p>The SWISMK9-60 software will not interoperate with current Cisco 1900, 2900 and 3900 series ISR's, and so does not pass the Article 7.21 compatibility check (fully compatible, interchangeable and interoperable with the existing equipment and software owned by Canada).</p> <p>It would seem that the intent was to purchase 537 copies of this software to support WLAN integration at the detachments and offices across the country.</p> <p>We are faced with the following quandry: - We cannot propose a cost for the SWISMK9-60 as it is Manufacturer Discontinued.</p>
--------------------------	--

	<p>- We cannot propose replacement software, as none is available that meets the interoperability requirements of Article 7.21.</p> <p>Is the RCMP's cost analysis still valid if 537 new routers must be purchased to support these WLAN initiatives?</p> <p>Is the RCMP aware that the NESS has a Wireless category, and that SSC has recently awarded a National Standing Offer for the procurement of stand-alone WLAN components, services, software and licenses that would not require in excess of \$500,000 for a complete router upgrade?</p>
Canada's Response to Question 48	<p>Canada confirms that this component is no longer required. Annex B Appendix A Table 1 item # 51 is hereby amended to read as follows:</p> <p>"Intentionally left blank"</p> <p>Annex B Appendix A-1 is regenerated and attached hereto as Annex B Appendix A-2.</p>
Offeror's Question 51	<p>The last element of Offeror's question 34 refers to item 5.2.2. d) (10), however the Crown's response indicates that a change was made to 5.2.2. g) (10). Would the Crown please confirm that the item now marked as 'intentionally left blank' is in fact 5.2.2. d) (10) as per the original Offeror's question?</p>
Canada's Response to Question 51	<p>Canada hereby acknowledges the discrepancy amends the following sections of Annex A to read:</p> <p>5.2.2) d) (10) - "Intentionally left blank"</p> <p>5.2.2) g) (10) - "Dynamic Multipoint VPN Version 3 or equivalent that must interoperate with RCMP DMVPN deployments in spoke to spoke mode"</p>
Offeror's Question 52	<p>Offeror's question 36 requested that the Crown consider removing eight desktop phone requirements from the Type 4 phone column in Annex A section 5.4.6 a). Amendment 008 removed seven of the desktop phone requirements from the Type 4 phone, but did not address the eighth. Question 36, as presented in amendment 008, appears to show requirements (96) and (33) inadvertently merged together, possibly resulting in the omission of a response for (33). Would the Crown please confirm that Annex A section 5.4.6 a) should be amended to remove the "X" from the Type 4 phone column for all eight requirements: (33), (34), (38), (44), (76), (75), (77), and (96)?</p>
Canada's	<p>Canada hereby acknowledges the discrepancy and amends Annex A section 5.4.6 a) (33) to remove the "X" from the Type 4 Phone column.</p>

Response to Question 52	
Offeror's Question 53	The wireless devices described in the Crown's response to Offeror's question 9, also have the requirement for "WMM certification including WMM queue enforcement and Dynamic WMM Q management" [see requirement 5.8.2 b) (16)]. The Wi-Fi Alliance does not provide specific certification for "WMM queue enforcement" nor for "Dynamic WMM Queue management" (this terminology is used by a specific vendor). Will the Crown please confirm that the standard Wi-Fi Alliance WMM certification meets the requirements under section 5.8.2 b) (16)?
Canada's Response to Question 53	The requirement under Annex A section 5.8.2 b) (16) states: "(16) WMM certification including WMM queue enforcement and Dynamic WMM Q management or standard WI-FI Alliance WMM certification". Canada confirms that standard WI-FI Alliance WMM certification therefore meets the requirements under section 5.8.2 b) (16).
Offeror's Question 54	The wireless devices described in the Crown's response to Offeror's question 9, and other wireless devices required in this Request for Standing Offer, also have the requirement for a visual indication of Ethernet port status and Ethernet port activity. [see requirements 5.8.2 e) (1) (ii), and 5.9.2 e) (8)] Visual status of Ethernet Activity represented by LED's blinking on the access point usually attract peoples' attention and sometimes raises concerns on their part. Therefore, most customers have asked manufacturers to remove such features. Would the Crown please remove requirements 5.8.2 e) (1) (ii), and 5.9.2 e) (8)?
Canada's Response to Question 54	Canada hereby amends Annex A section 8.8.2 e) (1) (ii) and 5.9.2 e) (8) to read as follows: " Intentionally left blank"
Offeror's Question 55	In order to clarify the Crown's intention to "...establish a vehicle for the supply of networking and Internet Protocol (IP) products...", would the Canada please confirm that since Section 5.5.3 c) is not being financially evaluated (Canada removed this section from Pricing Table 2, lines 423 to 436), should items 1-34 in 5.5.3 c) be considered as "intentionally left blank"? There is no precedent or benefit to Canada by having respondents submit a technical response for a section that is not being financially evaluated.
Canada's Response to Question 55	Canada hereby amends Annex A section 5.5.3 c) in its entirety to read as follows: "Intentionally left blank"

Offeror's Question 56	Question 11 referred to small site router configuration 6, but the change made by Canada was for the medium site router configuration 6. Given that the original question referred to the small site configuration 6, can Canada please confirm whether the intent of the Crown was to actually modify the requirement for the small site router configuration 6 (vs the medium site configuration 6)?
Canada's Response to Question 56	<p>Canada acknowledges the discrepancy and hereby amends Annex B Appendix A - Financial Offer - Table 2 - Access Router for medium site Configuration 6 e) to read "Provide end-point PoE power capacity of up to 1040 Watts".</p> <p>Canada also amends Annex B Appendix A - Financial Offer - Table 2 - Access Router for small site configuration 6 e) to read "Provide end-point PoE power capacity of up to 740 Watts"</p> <p>Annex B Appendix A-1 is regenerated and attached hereto as Annex B Appendix A-2.</p>

All references to “Annex B, Appendix A-1 - Financial Offer” are herein DELETED and REPLACED with “Annex B, Appendix A-2 Financial Offer.

At Annex A, section 5.2.2) d) (10), DELETE in its entirety and replace with:

(10) Intentionally left blank

At Annex A, section 5.2.2) g) (10), DELETE in its entirety and replace with:

(10) Dynamic Multipoint VPN Version 3 or equivalent that must interoperate with RCMP DMVPN deployments in spoke to spoke mode

At Annex A, section 5.4.6) a) (33), DELETE the “X” from the “Type 4 Phone” column

At Annex A, section 8.8.2) e) (1) (ii), DELETE in its entirety and replace with:

(ii) Intentionally left blank

At Annex A, section 8.9.2) e) (8), DELETE in its entirety and replace with:

(8) Intentionally left blank

At Annex A, section 5.5.3) c), DELETE in its entirety and replace with:

Intentionally left blank

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THIS SOLICITATION
REMAIN UNCHANGED.**

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

M9010-091080/C

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

M9010-091080

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

012

File No. - N° du dossier

003tssM9010-091080

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

003tss

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

=====

Following is a summary of Attachments/Amendments issued to date to this solicitation:

Document Tracking	Distribution	Date	Description
Amendment No. 001	MERX	14 March 2012	Canada's Response to Question 2
Amendment No. 002	MERX	16 March 2012	Canada's Response to Questions 1,3,4,6,7 and 8
Amendment No. 003	MERX	16 March 2012	Amendment to confirm the extension to the solicitation closing date on the NPP and coverage page of this solicitation
Amendment No. 004	MERX	19 March 2012	Notice of Enquiries Period 1 closing
Amendment No. 005	MERX	27 March 2012	Extend the solicitation closing date
Amendment No. 006	MERX	27 March 2012	Extend the solicitation closing date and Canada's Response to Questions 5,12,13,16,38,39 and 40
Amendment No. 007	MERX	2 April 2012	Canada's Response to Questions 24 and 37
Amendment No. 008	MERX	5 April 2012	Extension of the closing date and Canada's Response to Questions 9,10,11,25,28,30,31,32,33,34,35 and 36
Amendment No. 009	MERX	10 April 2012	Commencement of Question Period 2 & Canada's Responses to Questions 14,15,17,18,19, 20,21,22,23,26,27, 29, 41 and 42.
Amendment No. 010	MERX	13 April 2012	Extend the solicitation closing date
Amendment No. 011	MERX	18 April 2012	Extend the solicitation closing date
Amendment No. 012	MERX	Current Document	Extension of the closing date and Canada's Response to Questions 48,51,52,53,54,55 and 56