

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0A1/Noyau 0A1
11 Laurier St./11, rue Laurier
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Miscellaneous Special Projects Division (XN)/Division
des projets spéciaux divers (XN)
Canadian Building
219 Laurier Ave. West, 13th Floor
Room 13077
Ottawa
Ontario
K1A 0S5

| | |
|--|--|
| Title - Sujet Threat and Risk Assessment | |
| Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation G7801-130015/A | Amendment No. - N° modif. 001 |
| Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client G7801-130015 | Date 2013-05-29 |
| GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$XN-110-26052 | |
| File No. - N° de dossier 110xn.G7801-130015 | CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME |
| Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2013-06-10 | |
| F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Thauvette, Maxime | Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 110xn |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 994-1636 () | FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 934-8626 |
| Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: | |

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

| | |
|--|--|
| Delivery Required - Livraison exigée | Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée |
| Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur | |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur | |
| Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie) | |
| Signature | Date |

The purpose of this amendment is to provide answers to questions received regarding this RFP, in Part 1 and revise *ANNEX D: EVALUATION METHODOLOGY AND BASIS OF SELECTION* to reflect the move of mandatory requirement M3 to a rated requirement, in Part 2.

Part 1 - Questions and Answers

Question 1:

With respect to the subject solicitation, please advise if there is now or has there been (within the last 12 months) an incumbent performing similar duties to those listed in the SOW of this requirement. If so, is the incumbent company on the list of invited bidders?

Answer 1:

There are no incumbent performing similar duties to those listed in the SOW of this requirement, nor has there been in the last 12 months.

Question 2:

May we ask that the mandatory requirement for a Certified Incident Handler (GCIH) be changed to a Rated requirement if it is actually meant? Reasons are:

- The GCIH is associated with a specialized technical skillset in CPSA and in fact rarely used for TRA and C&A;
- No single or effective certifications exists that covers all TRA and C&A tasks, other than particular experience, although a wider use nowadays of CISSP as a mandatory or rated requirement has been becoming more used within GoC, and the more general CRISC and CISM are often called for as well;
- If specific VA and PenTests were called for then the GIAC certifications might seem applicable however the SOW clearly describes formal TRA and C&A activity at a high level, including architecture deliverables (CONOPS etc.) and SAP as the context. The SOW does not refer to incident handling or hands-on tests; and
- If detailed hands-on tests (PenTests) were involved our response might be to add a specialist services just for that activity.

Answer 2:

Canada agrees to move M3 from a Mandatory Requirement to a Rated Requirement. See amendment below.

Part 2 - Modifications to RFP

1. Delete D2 MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS in its entirety and replace with:

D2. MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS

Attention Bidders: It is requested that you use the tables provided below in your bid submission. Please indicate the relevant page number(s) from your bid which addresses the requirement identified in each criterion.

| # | Mandatory Evaluation Criteria | Met/Not Met | Cross Reference to Proposal / Resume (Demonstrate HOW the requirement is met) |
|------------|--|-------------|---|
| M1. | The Bidder must propose one (1) resource within the category of Work Stream 3 – IT Security TRA and C&A Analyst (senior level). | | |
| M2. | <p>The Bidder's proposed resource must show proof of one (1) of the following:</p> <p>A. University Degree in any discipline, and a minimum of three (3) years cumulative experience in the last eight (8) years related to the work description of the IT Security TRA and C&A Analyst described in the CPSA; OR</p> <p>B. College Diploma (two or three year program) in any discipline and a minimum of four (4) years cumulative experience in the last eight (8) years related to the work description of the IT Security TRA and C&A Analyst described in the CPSA; OR</p> <p>C. A minimum of five (5) years cumulative experience in the last eight (8) years related to the work description of the IT Security TRA and C&A Analyst described in the CPSA.</p> | | |

| | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|
| M3. | <p>The proposed resource must have: The Global Information Assurance Certification (GIAC) – GIAC Certified Incident Handler (GCIH) certification.</p> <p><i>A copy of the resource's Certification must be submitted with the Bidder's proposal.</i></p> | | <p><i>*M3. has been moved to Rated Requirement R5.</i></p> |
| M4. | <p>The resource must demonstrate a minimum of five (5) years cumulative experience in the Information Technology Security field, more specifically in the database security area, within the last ten (10) years.</p> | | |
| M5. | <p>The resource must demonstrate that they have had primary responsibility for the completion of a minimum of two (2) Threat and Risk Assessments (TRA) in the last two (2) years.</p> | | |
| M6. | <p>The resource must demonstrate that they have had primary responsibility for the completion of at least one (1) TRA which included the completion of one (1) Statement of Sensitivity for an SAP implementation.</p> | | |
| M7. | <p>The bidder must provide 3 of the most recent client references from 3 separate client organizations that support the proposed resource's demonstrated experience over the last 10 years.</p> | | |

2. Delete D3 RATED REQUIREMENTS in its entirety and replace with:

D3. RATED REQUIREMENTS

| # | Rated Requirements | Point Scale | Cross Reference to Proposal / Resume (Demonstrate HOW the requirement is met) | Bidder Self-Score |
|-----|--|----------------------|---|-------------------|
| R1. | <p>The Bidder's proposed resource should demonstrate experience in successfully conducting and delivering a TRA on SAP projects for Government of Canada departments, agencies or crown corporations.</p> <p>The bidder should provide a maximum of six (6) projects completed within the last seven (7) years, from date of bid closing for the proposed resource. If more than six (6) projects are submitted, only the six most recent will be evaluated.</p> <p>Within each project summary provided, Bidders should indicate the client organization, the dollar value of the project, the number of resources involved in the project, the start and end dates of the project, together with the name, address and telephone number of the client project authority to whom the bidder reported.</p> <p>References may be contacted to validate the work experience.</p> | Maximum of 24 points | <p>Up to 4 points per project to a maximum of 24 points.</p> <p>The project should clearly demonstrate the experience of the proposed resource as per Annex A - Statement of Work.</p> <p>1 point per experience in each of the following tasks:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Preparation - Reconnaissance/Discovery - Testing phase - Reporting | |

| | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------|---|-----------|
| R2.* | The bidder's proposed resource should demonstrate experience as a TRA specialist on a large scale SAP production system (5000+ users). | Maximum of 6 points. | 2 points per project (Maximum of 3 projects). | |
| R3. | The bidder's proposed resource should demonstrate having gained infrastructure knowledge and experience working on an IT project for a public or private sector 3 rd Party Infrastructure Services Provider. | Maximum of 4 points. | 2 points per project (Maximum of 2 projects). | |
| R4. | The bidder's proposed resource should demonstrate experience as a C&A analyst on a large scale SAP production system (5000+ users). | Maximum of 4 points. | Months of experience: 0 to 11 months = 0 points 12 to 23 months = 1 point 24 to 35 months = 2 points 36 to 47 months = 3 points 48 months or more = 4 points | |
| R5.** | The proposed resource should have: The Global Information Assurance Certification (GIAC) - GIAC Certified Incident Handler (GCIH) certification. <i>A copy of the resource's Certification must be submitted with the Bidder's proposal.</i> | Maximum of 2 points. | GIAC Certified Incident Handler certification = 2 points | |
| Maximum Points Available: | | | | 40 |
| Minimum Overall Score Required: | | | | 28 |
| Bidder's Score: | | | | |

*Please note that the maximum points for R2 has been reduced to 6 points (2 points per project)

**Mandatory Requirement M3. was moved to Rated Requirement R5.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.