

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage , Phase III
Core 0A1 / Noyau 0A1
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Land Projects and Communication System Support
Division/Div des projets terrestres et support de
systèmes de communication
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
8C2, Place du Portage, Phase III
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Integrated Soldier System Project	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W8476-112965/B	Amendment No. - N° modif. 017
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W8476-112965	Date 2013-06-19
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$RA-004-23669	
File No. - N° de dossier 004ra.W8476-112965	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2013-08-01	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Scott, Sean	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 004ra
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 997-5288 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This amendment is raised for the ISSP Request For Proposal (RFP) number W8476-112965/B.

The intent of this amendment is to provide a clarifying response to Industry poised questions and where applicable, amend the RFP in response to Industry questions.

Questions and Answers No. 62 to 68

Q62: Can you please provide us with the ISSP RFP Solicitation No. W8476-112965/B dated 1 April 2013, Volumes 1, 2 and 3 in their original Word format?

A62: Request Denied

Q63: (1) Section 1.6 on Page 11 of Volume 1 states: “ The complete delivery of Phase A (Qualification) work must be within 9 months from ISS-A Contract award date.”

(2) The MPS and WBS MCM on page 40 of Volume 1 Annex CE Appendix 1 states: “The draft MPS & WBS submitted demonstrates that the Bidder has all the System Qualification work logically planned within a nine (9) month period between the Kick-off meeting and the closure of the Formal Qualification Review (FQR).”

Question: When does the nine (9) months period for Phase (A) start, at the Contract Award or the Kick-off Meeting?

A63: The period of (9) months for the Phase A (Qualification) starts at the ISS-A Contract Award date. The following correction is therefore made. Bidders are requested to update their respective paper and electronic copies of the MCMs.

Q64: In ANNEX AA to Volume 1, 2. Executive Summary, fifth bullet; it is required to provide statistical information, **excluding Indirect IRB**, in person years of work **for each Canadian province or territory**. This can only be done for **allocated** Direct IRB at proposal submission time as this is the only IRB content designated to specific regions of Canada (or Canadian companies). This cannot be done for **Unallocated** Direct IRB at proposal submission time since it is still unknown where this IRB content will eventually go.

Question: As this IRB information is important in addition to the list of Number of jobs by region, is it allowed to have one additional line for Number of jobs in Canada without specifying the region (for the unallocated IRB)?

A64: Without changing or modifying the intent of Annex BA to Volume 1, for the case of Unallocated direct IRB contributions it is permitted to provide Unallocated "Number of Jobs Created in Canada" and "Number of Jobs Maintained in Canada" statistical information.

Q65: As a result of Amendment 9, should Vol 2, Annex CF, Contract Deliverable List, Table 3 titled "Contract Line Item Number for Options" also be changed to reflect the revised battery quantities?

A65: Please refer to amendment number 16, published on 14 June 2013, and look for modification number 60 that deals with this subject.

Q66: The following is an abbreviated version of a question received from a bidder:

"We have observed the following discrepancies in the evaluation points in the rated criteria tables:

1. ISSP Proposal Evaluation
 - a. We calculate the Total ISSP Proposal Evaluated Score to be 100,015
 - b. RFP Volume 1, Annex CJ, Table 5 shows the Total ISSP Proposal Evaluated Score to be 100,000
2. ISS-A Evaluation
 - a. We calculate the Total ISS-A Technical Evaluated Score to be 62,412
 - b. RFP Volume 1, Annex CJ, Table 1 shows the Total ISS-A Technical Evaluated Score to be 62,400
 - c. RFP Volume 1, Annex CJ, Table 5 shows the Total ISS-A Technical Evaluated Score to be 62,400
3. TPS Evaluation
 - a. RFP Volume 1, Annex CC, Appendix 1, Table 1, last row shows the total TPS evaluated rated points to be 58,529
 - b. RFP Volume 1, Annex CJ, Table 1 shows the total TPS evaluated rated points to be 58,520
4. P(Bid) Evaluation [...]

[...]

22. ISS-OWSS Spare Parts Management Services Evaluation

- a. We calculate the total ISS-OWSS Spare Parts Management Services evaluated rated points to be 479

- b. RFP Volume 1, Annex CI, Appendix 1, Table 2, ID 2.1.4 shows the ISS-OWSS Spare Parts Management Services evaluated rated points to be 480

Can you please resolve these discrepancies and advise corrections?

A66: There is no discrepancy. Bidders are invited to read volume 1, annex CA, paragraph 1.1.1 - DOORS® Scoring Method. The section of particular interest reads as follows:

"The maximum points achievable for a bid are entered into the DOORS® TA module. The TA Criteria module displays the relative contribution each criterion (and groups of criteria) in a "Sensitivity" column. This value is a fraction, to 6 decimal points of the "maximum points achievable for a bid". However, it is displayed as a whole number. In the case of this evaluation, the "maximum points achievable for a bid has been set to 100,000 points.

The scores provided in Volume 1, Module C are identical to the numbers displayed in the "Sensitivity" column of the DOORS® TA Criteria module. They are provided as a guideline only and are to be used to assist the Bidder in performing a self evaluation as well as to indicate how the points are distributed and the relative importance of each section."

Q67: In Volume 1 Annex CF Appendix 1 para 4.3, the Life Cycle Cost (LCC) Generic System Data instructions indicate the ISS Pouch Set, although a deliverable piece of equipment in the RFP is **not to be considered** as part of the ISS-S for the LCC evaluation. Yet in Volume 8 Annex B Appendix A Template 1 – Generic System Data Template, the Additional Instructions Note 4 indicates the ISS Pouch Set **is to be itemized** by applicable LRU.

Question: Please clarify whether the ISS-S Pouch Set furnished by the contractor is to be included or excluded from the LCC Generic System Data template.

A67: In accordance with Volume 1 Annex CF Appendix 1 para 4.3, the ISS Pouch Set is not to be included in the LCC Generic System Data template. The MLCS ISS Pouch Set referred to in the additional instructions in Template 1 of the ISS LCC Templates is an error.

Q68: In Volume 1 Annex CE Appendix 1, ID 1.2.2.1, for the Reliability TPI (TPS-2049), the evaluation criteria for the Mean Time Between Failure (MTBF) has specific percentages for MTBFs based on "field measures" (25%), "lab measures" (15%) and predictive analysis (0%). The Physical Device Hosting Functions (PDHF) listed involves physical items (i.e. cables) to interface the contractor's ISS System to the Government Furnished Equipment (GFE). Based on the Reliability and Maintainability requirements stipulated in Volume 2 Annex CB Appendix 4 para 2.2.1, these interface items to the GFE are to be included in the ISS system reliability.

Question: Considering that within one PDHF, there could be items with MTBFs based on a combination of field measures, lab measures and predictive analysis, please specify how requirement TPS-2049 will be scored for one PDHF with multiple bases of MTBF?

A68: For the requirement at ID 1.2.2.1 in Volume 1, Annex CE, Appendix 1, Canada is only interested in the MTBF of the main physical devices being proposed. The Instruction To Bidders lists the 4 functions being evaluated. A Physical Device Hosting Function (PDHF) is the entire physical device required to accomplish a specific function. A maximum score of up to 25% is allocated to each listed function. Therefore, if a physical device hosts multiple functions, that physical device is eligible for a maximum score 25% for each function that it hosts. For example, if one physical device hosts the Computing function and the Tactical User Interface function, then a maximum score of 50% is eligible for that physical device. If a function is distributed across multiple interconnected devices that have an MTBF derived from different analysis methods, the analysis method that provides the less number of points will be used. Cables, including cables to connect to GFE, will not be considered in this evaluation. The definition of a PDHF has been amended to reflect to above explanation.

The following shall form part of the RFP W8476-112965/B:

67. At RFP volume 1, annex CE, appendix 1, Table 5 - Master Project Schedule and Work Breakdown Structure Management Compliance Matrix, Requirement Reference 5.1.1, Rating Criteria and Scale column:

DELETE: "... Kick-off mtg ..."

INSERT: "... ISS-A Contract award date ..."

68. In the RFP ISSP_PÉIS_RFP_EPackage\English\ISSP LCC, at the "Template 1 – Generic System Data" tab, note 4, of the ISS_LCC_Templates_V1.XLS file,

DELETE "Cabling and the MLCS ISS Pouch Set are to be itemized by applicable LRU. In specific, if the whole cabling harness (all cables) or whole Pouch Set (all pouches) is replaced upon a failure, then only one line item is required with Qty per System marked as 1. If cables or pouches are replaced independently upon failure, then include each cable and pouch separately in the template with Qty per System marked as 1, or if all cables or all pouches are identical (i.e. the same part number), then include as one line item in the template, but mark Qty per System with the number of cables or pouches that are identical."

INSERT "Cabling is to be itemized by applicable LRU. In specific, if the whole cabling harness (all cables) is replaced upon a failure, then only one line item is required with Qty per System marked as 1. If cables are replaced independently upon failure, then include each cable separately in the template with Qty per System marked as 1, or if all cables are identical (i.e. the same part number), then include as one line item in the template, but mark Qty per System with the number of cables that are identical."

69. At RFP Volume 3, Annex CA, Optimized Weapons System Support Statement of Work, after line item 3.2.2.0-2.0-1.0-4 on page 9 of 47:

INSERT A new row numbered 3.2.2.0-2.0-1.0-5

- “modifications required to investigate and implement additional capabilities that are endorsed by the project sponsor and have an approved Statement of Operational Requirements (SOR).”

70. At RFP Volume 3, Annex CE, Section 3 – Glossary, page 62 of 71, Physical Device Hosting Function.

DELETE A physical device that is part of the ISS-ES that hosts specific functionality.

INSERT A physical device that is part of the ISS-ES that hosts specific functionality. A physical device is a stand alone device, and does not include interconnectivity (e.g. cables), even if the functionality is spread across more than one physical device.

71. N/A

72. At RFP Volume 1, Annex CD, Section 3.1.7 – page 6 of 10.

DELETE 3.1.7 PDHF is defined as the physical device that host one or a number of the four functions listed above. It is possible that the same physical device may be hosting more than one function; in this case and for purpose of evaluation only, this device would count as the number of functions it would host: for a device hosting two functions, it would count as two devices and accumulate scores as such.

INSERT 3.1.7 PDHF is defined as the physical device that host one or a number of the four functions listed above. It is possible that the same physical device may be hosting more than one function; in this case and for purpose of evaluation only, this device would count as the number of functions it would host: for a device hosting two functions, it would count as two devices and accumulate scores as such. A physical device is a stand alone device, and does not include interconnectivity (e.g. cables), even if the functionality is spread across more than one physical device.

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SOLICITATION
REMAIN UNCHANGED**