

**RETURN BIDS TO:**  
**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**  
Regional Manager/Real Property  
Contracting/PWGSC  
Ontario Region, Tendering Office  
12th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street  
Toronto, Ontario  
M2N 6A6  
Ontario

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT**  
**MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**  
**Raison sociale et adresse du**  
**fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**  
Regional Manager/Real Property Contracting/PWGSC  
Ontario Region, Tendering Office  
12th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street  
Toronto, Ontario  
M2N 6A6  
Ontario

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Dam Safety Reviews - Upper Kawartha	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EQ754-133603/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 003
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> R.063182.201	<b>Date</b> 2013-07-19
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$PWL-042-1864	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> PWL-3-36011 (042)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2013-08-01</b>	
<b>Time Zone</b> Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT	
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> Specified Herein - Précisé dans les présentes	
<b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Correia-Reid, Vincent	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> pwl042
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (416) 590-8259 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (416) 512-5862
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b> Rosedale Dam & Lock 35 Little Bob River Dam Victoria Road Dams Burleigh Falls Dam & Lock 28 Buckhorn Dam & Lock 31 White's Portage Dam Six Mile Like Dams Trent Severn Waterway Peterborough Municipality, ON	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

### **Amendment No. 003**

This amendment is being raised to (1) Incorporate Revisions to the Project Brief section of the RFP, (2) Make a correction to a Response from Amendment 001, and (3) provide responses to Requests for Clarification.

#### **(1) PROJECT BRIEF REVISIONS**

**(i) Project Description (PD), PD 3 Project Introduction and Background, 3.2 Project Background, PD 3.2.2(4), PD 3.2.3(7), PD 3.2.4(6), PD 3.2.5(5), PD 3.2.6(5), PD 3.2.7(7), PD 3.2.8(6).**

All references to any report on Mitigation Measures for High Risk Dams in Ontario are to be removed.

Delete: PD 3.2.2(4), PD 3.2.3(7), PD 3.2.4(6), PD 3.2.5(5), PD 3.2.6(5), PD 3.2.7(7), and PD 3.2.8(6).

#### **(2) EQ754-133603/A AMENDMENT No. 001, Dated 2013-07-16 REVISION**

**(i) (3) Requests for Clarification, A4; Example 1)**

Delete: "SRE3.3.2 EVALUATION TABLE"

Insert: "**SRE3.3.1** EVALUATION TABLE"

#### **(3) REQUESTS FOR CLARIFICATION**

Q1. We have a question regarding the maximum number of pages to be submitted for the Rated Requirements under SRE3.2.

Given the different methodologies to be described, and distinct schedules and work breakdown structures to be provided for the large number of dam sites, we were wondering if an additional ten (10) pages could be granted for this proposal document.

A1. Please refer to Amendment No. 001, Revision (2)(i).

Q2. Considering that the number of pages for the technical proposal is limited to 40, could we submit in the proposal only one schedule and WBS for one site (as all sites are relatively similar; any difference would be noted in the text), and include the other ones as appendices? This would help us to optimise the use of available pages.

NOTE: Gantt chart and PWBS are on 11 x 17 paper format and each would count for 2 pages in the proposal, thus, they would use 12 pages of the proposal.

A2. Please refer to Amendment No. 001, Revision (2)(iii).

Q3. Considering all the information requested, would you allow at least 10 additional pages for the proposal?

A3. Please refer to Amendment No. 001, Revision (2)(i).

Q4. If we include the complete curricula vitae, would they be counted in the total count of pages of the proposal, or can we submit them as appendices?

- 
- A4. Curricula vitae/Resumes submitted in response to Rated Requirements will be evaluated, and will therefore be included in the total page count.
- Q5. If we use tabs as table of content dividers, will they be counted in the total number of pages?
- A5. Please refer to Amendment 001, Revision (2)(i).
- Q6. As per the Lower Rice DSR RFP could the page limit please to extended from 40 pages to 45 pages.
- A6. Please refer to Amendment 001, Revision (2)(i).
- Q7. As per the Lower Rice DSR RFP could instead of doing one schedule for each of the 7 sites could one detailed schedule be provided for just one site and another higher level schedule be provided including the milestones and deliverables for the remaining 6 sites.
- A7. Please refer to Amendment 001, Revision (2)(iii).
- Q8. As per the Lower Rice DSR RFP could instead of providing a work breakdown for all 7 sites could a work breakdown be provided for only one of the sites.
- A8. Please refer to Amendment 001, Revision (2)(iii).
- Q9. Could you please identify which sites have electrical components to them and for the sites that do have electrical components could you please specify the terms of reference.
- A9. Buckhorn is the only dam with the radial-arm "fishbelly" taintor gate as indicated in the Project Description section of the RFP.
- Q10. We are unable to locate the following document that is referred to throughout the Project Description Section.
- Can you please let me know if this should be included within the document package...
- Consultant is to review the report on Mitigation Measures for High Risk Dams in Ontario (Northern Sector) produced by Genivar in 2011 for additional information on the condition of the assets, and more specifically for any particular issues raised during the 2011 inspection. The report is included in the appendices in a sub-folder of the 'Other Information' folder."
- A10. Please refer to Revision (1)(i) above. References to that document have been removed.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE RFP REMAIN THE SAME.