

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Réception des soumissions - TPSGC / Bid Receiving
- PWGSC
1550, Avenue d'Estimauville
1550, D'Estimauville Avenue
Québec
Québec
G1J 0C7

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
TPSGC-PWGSC
601-1550, Avenue d'Estimauville
Québec
Québec
G1J 0C7

Title - Sujet BRISE-LAMES GROS-CACOUNA	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EE517-140314/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 001
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client EE517-140314	Date 2013-07-31
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$QCM-008-15496	
File No. - N° de dossier QCM-3-36052 (008)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2013-08-16	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Rochette, Jean	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur qcm008
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (418) 649-2834 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (418) 648-2209
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: Port de Gros-Cacouna, Québec, Canada	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

AMENDMENT 001

Title : REPAIR OF THE GROS-CACOUNA BREAKWATERS

Included in the present amendment :

1. Questions and answers 1 to 6
 2. Amendment to the Invitation to Tender and to Specifications
-

(1) QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS :

Question 1: Ref.: Section 35 31 24, Article 2.3. After checking with several quarry owners, it seems that the most commonly available stone in the area is indeed sandstone. In the quarries, the sandstone meets the specifications except for density. Most of the local sandstone display a density between 2.68 and 2.70 while specifications call for a minimum density of 2.75. Should this requirement be strictly applied, it would cause a sharp increase in stone acquisition costs. The local stone has been and is still used in the construction of several wharves and other civil engineering structures in the region. Could you check whether stone density between 2.68 and 2.70 would be acceptable for sandstone?

Reply 1: Requirements concerning relative density in the specifications are maintained.

Question 2: Section 01 29 00, Article 1.1.3.1. This item includes all the Division 1 elements of the specification? Please indicate where I may find this Division 1.

Reply 2: The Division 1 components are listed in the Table of Contents of the specifications.

Question 3: Section 01 35 43, Article 1.8.4. I disagree with the equipment proposed. Please indicate whether floating equipment operating a standard cable crane would be acceptable for this work?

Reply 3 : The example provided, "i.e. hydraulic shovel", is deleted.

Question 4: With reference to Section 01 71 00, Article 1.4.2, please indicate the requirements applicable to bathymetric surveys. Can the surveys be performed by the contractor or should a third party be assigned?

Reply 4: Article 1.4.2 in Section 01 71 00 is deleted. Where necessary, the contractor may perform topographic and bathymetric surveys out of his own resources, or by his subcontractor, providing that specifications are met, especially Article 1.7.2 in Section 35 31 25.

Question 5: Section 35 31 24, Article 3.2.3.2. Tests on stones may include dropping stones 3 times from 3 m onto another stone. However, after the stone is accepted and ready for placement Section 35 31 35, Article 3.7.8, specifies that stones may not be dropped more than 0.3 m. Isn't the 3 m drop test an exaggeration if the same stones may not be dropped more than 0.3 m during placement?

Reply 5: The 3 m drop test is a recognised method that must be complied with. The requirements during placement consist in a construction method designed to prevent breaking or damaging the stone being handled as well as those already in the structure.

Question 6: Excavation and placement tolerances in Section 35 31 25, Article 3.8, mention underwater excavation and placement of stones allowing tolerances of ± 300 mm and ± 500 mm respectively. Given that the size of the stones to be excavated and placed are in the range of 2 m x 2 m x 2 m it seems to me that it would be impossible to comply with the required tolerances. Please check if those values will actually be applied.

Reply 6: The values indicated in the specification are requirements that need to be complied with. It should be noted, however, that the \pm symbol associated with indicated tolerances means that a stone may deviate either by + X or - X relative to alignment.

Misc.

- 1- Appendix 1 is deleted from the specifications.

(2) Also, please amend the "CONSTRUCTION TIME" in the Invitation to Tender as well as the Specification, as follows:

The Contractor shall perform and complete the Work as follows:

Phase 1 : from the date of notification of acceptance of the offer **to February 28, 2014;**

Phase 2 : **from March 1st, 2014 to July 31st, 2014.**

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED