

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS TO THE
REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP) 201402493**

QUESTION 1: For the mandatory and point-rated criteria (pages 25 to 30), years of experience are required. Is employment experience acceptable or must it be demonstrated by projects? Projects will require a much more lengthy proposal considering up to 20 years will have to be covered.

ANSWER 1: Generally, experience is demonstrated by a body of professional work which would include projects.

QUESTION 2: Will projects that overlap be counted only once? (ie. will overlap be removed?)

ANSWER 2: If a criterion is looking for years of experience, time cannot overlap. If a criterion is looking for number of projects, time can overlap, as one may work on numerous projects at one time.

QUESTION 3: If projects are required, what level of detail would the RCMP like to see?

ANSWER 3: Bidders should provide sufficient information to support/demonstrate compliance with the evaluation criteria.

QUESTION 4: a) It's my interpretation that RCMP is seeking only one name and one resume per Category of Personnel (i.e. a total of 4 names and 4 resumes). Is this interpretation correct? Is it allowable/desirable to provide additional resumes? If not, is it allowable/desirable to provide brief bios for additional personnel?

b) Is Urban Planner/Designer 1 person?... Or can it be 2 people (1 Urban Planner and 1 Urban Designer)?

ANSWER 4: a) It was always assumed that there would be a team of professionals supporting each lead (i.e., urban designer/planner, transportation engineer/planner, civil engineer and environmental professional) and it would be good to know who the supporting cast is so the additional resumes should be included. However, only the resumes for each lead will be evaluated.

b) The urban designer and urban planner can be separate people but 1 has to be the lead and it will be the lead who is evaluated.

QUESTION 5: a) In Annex A, Section 5.4, limits the responsibilities of the Environmental Professional to a SEA. However, Section 6.1 d) requires an update to existing environmental conditions. Is it correct to assume that the Environmental Professional will also be responsible for conducting this update?

b) Has an Environmental Conditions Analysis and/or Species at Risk Inventory been conducted since 2005 when the previous Campus Master Plan was prepared? Is the RCMP seeking a 4 season inventory?



ANSWER 5: a) The Environmental Professional will be responsible for conducting both the SEA and existing conditions report.

b) The bulk of the environmental conditions information for TPOF has not changed since 2005. There has been a species at risk survey done for the TPOF Armoury Expansion but that this survey was limited in impact area. This information will be shared with the successful Contractor.

QUESTION 6: With respect to the required Security Clearance, is PWGSC 'Secret' level clearance adequate to qualify for the necessary RCMP clearance?

ANSWER 6: No because RCMP Secret clearance exceeds PWGSC Secret clearance.

QUESTION 7: Section 2.3, CR2, in Annex D gives points to the Civil Engineer for "experience assessing road networks and points of ingress and egress above what was stated in CM3". I assume this is a typo and that the RCMP is seeking experience identifying piped servicing deficiencies. Is this correct?

ANSWER 7: Yes, this is a typo. Please see Amendment 002 to the RFP.

