

RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11 rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0A1/Noyau 0A1

Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Consultant Services Division/Division des services
d'experts-conseils

11 Laurier St./11 Rue Laurier

3C2, Place du Portage

Phase III

Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Title - Sujet General Architectural SO | | |
| Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EP168-130975/A | | Date 2013-10-03 |
| Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20130975 | | Amendment No. - N° modif. 008 |
| File No. - N° de dossier fe102.EP168-130975 | CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME | |
| GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$FE-102-63325 | | |
| Date of Original Request for Standing Offer Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale | | 2013-08-19 |
| Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2013-10-15 | | Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT |
| Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Boucher, Francine M. | | Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur fe102 |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-6043 () | FAX No. - N° de FAX () - | |
| Delivery Required - Livraison exigée | | |
| Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: Various locations National Capital Area | | |
| Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre. | | |

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| Acknowledgement copy required Accusé de réception requis | Yes - Oui <input type="checkbox"/> | No - Non <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre. | | |
| Signature | Date | |
| Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie) | | |
| For the Minister - Pour le Ministre | | |

The purpose of this amendment is to clarify answers 6, 7, 11, 12, 14, 16 and 17 provided in amendment no 006.

ITEM (1) At amendment No 006,

DELETE:

Answer 6 in its entirety

REPLACE with the following:

Answer 6

CV's for the personnel of Sub-consultants/Specialists have not been requested in this RFSO. Please refer to SRE 3.2.4.1 and amendment no 2 SRE 3.2.4.2 and SRE 3.2.4.3 for requested information on the past experience of the Sub-consultants/Specialists. Past experience of the Sub-consultants/Specialists will be rated as identified in amendment no 1, SRE 3.3 Evaluation and Rating table.

ITEM (2) At amendment No 006,

DELETE:

Answer 7 in its entirety

REPLACE with the following:

Answer 7

SRE 3.2.5 and SRE 3.2.6 refer to proponent expertise and experience only. Please refer to SRE 3.2.4.1 and amendment no 2 SRE 3.2.4.2 and SRE 3.2.4.3 for requested information on the past experience of the Sub-consultants/Specialists. Past experience of the Sub-consultants/Specialists will be rated as identified in amendment no 1, SRE 3.3 Evaluation and Rating table.

ITEM (3) At amendment No 006,

DELETE:

Answer 11 in its entirety

REPLACE with the following:

Answer 11

Under SRE 3.2.4.2 (a) and (b) the Evaluation Board will accept projects which have senior personnel, who are still employed by the firm and who worked on those projects. Project personnel, who worked on projects, should also be identified and their respective responsibilities listed and whether or not they are still employed by the firm.

At amendment No 002

DELETE: SRE 3.2.4.2 (b) For the above projects, include the names of senior personnel and project personnel who were involved as part of the project team and their respective responsibilities;

REPLACE with the following: SRE 3.2.4.2 (b) For the above projects include the names of senior personnel and project personnel who were significantly involved as part of the project team and their respective responsibilities. Senior Personnel listed should still be employed by the firm. For project personnel, please indicate if they are still employed by the firm;

ITEM (4) At amendment No 006,

DELETE:

Answer 12 in its entirety

REPLACE with the following:

Answer 12

At 3.2.7 Hypothetical Projects

DELETE: Project 1 in its entirety

REPLACE with the following:

PROJECT 1Situation:

A federal government tenant is vacating a two storey Government of Canada designated "Recognized" heritage building in April 2013, located in a remote area of the **National Capital Region**. The site is located **50 km** from the departmental representative's government office. Another federal government tenant, HRSDC, with 125 FTE's will be occupying the existing 1750m2 usable space (2200m2 gross area) but requires additional 100 m2 usable space for file storage. The existing site is large enough to accommodate the addition.

Unfortunately the stone masonry building with original wood windows has been neglected in terms of maintenance and requires some repairs. PWGSC would like to commission a heritage screening report to determine the extent of the repairs required before proceeding with the fit-up.

HRSDC has agreed to revise their office design standards to meet the Government of Canada Workplace 2.0 Fit-up Standards from 16um2/FTE to 14um2/FTE. The additional file storage space is special purpose space and requires a minimum floor loading capacity of 12.0kPA.

Scope of services required:

Your firm has been asked to submit a proposal to provide services in two stages.

The first stage is to provide a building envelope screening report evaluating the condition of the exterior of the Government of Canada building, designated "recognized" to determine the extent of repair work required to the heritage building.

The second stage is to provide basic services for the repairs of the exterior of the existing building and renovation of the interiors including base building upgrades to suit the new office space, and the design and construction of the addition. Included in the second stage, the services of your firm, sub-consultants and specialists are also required to design and implement the interior design of the office fit-up, including modifications to the mechanical and electrical systems to suit new fit-up, provide furniture layouts including high density mobile storage (HDMS) and power/data/voice requirements for the layouts. The procurement and installation of furniture, HDMS and telecommunications services will be done by the tenant but the scope of work for these contracts will be coordinated by your team for the overall project. Your firm and your team of sub-consultants and specialists will prepare contract documents for the project to be issued for tender by PWGSC, provide contract administration during the construction and provide basic field review services up to building occupancy in March 2015.

Provide list of sub-consultants and specialists required for the project team and how the firm will deal with the remoteness of the site, located 300kms from your firm's office, deliver the two stages of services and list the scope of services provided at each phase, with the levels of effort per discipline/specialty to complete the project.

Challenge question:

Describe the risks that may impact the schedule to complete the project on time."

ITEM (5) At amendment No 006,

DELETE:

Answer 14 in its entirety

REPLACE with the following:

Answer 14

Clarification was provided in amendment no. 2

ITEM (6) At amendment No 006,**DELETE:**

Answer 16 in its entirety

REPLACE with the following:

Answer 16

1. Please refer to amendment no 2, SRE 3.2.4 for requested information on past experience of the sub-consultants/specialists. There is no list available of sub-consultants who have obtained unsatisfactory performance ratings
2. Three significant projects to be provided under amendment no 2, SRE 3.2.4.2 is for each requested discipline.
3. The site is located 300 km from the proponent's office. Please refer to item no. 4 above.

ITEM (7) At amendment No 006,**DELETE:**

Answer 17 in its entirety

REPLACE with the following:

Answer 17

At amendment No 002

DELETE: SRE 3.2.4.2 (I) in its entirety

REPLACE with the following: SRE 3.2.4.2 (I) Letters of reference are to be submitted as outlined in SRE 2.2.