

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC
11 Laurier St. / 11 rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0A1/Noyau 0A1
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires
THIS PROCUREMENT CONTAINS A SECURITY
REQUIREMENT
Cet approvisionnement a une exigence de sécurité.

Address enquiries to:
Adresser toutes questions à:
dougall.gordon@tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Consultant Services Division/Division des services
d'experts-conseils
11 Laurier St./11 Rue Laurier
3C2, Place du Portage
Phase III
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet NORTH PERIMETER WALL REHABILITATION	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EP750-140571/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 007
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20140571	Date 2013-10-15
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$\$FE-167-63477	
File No. - N° de dossier fe167.EP750-140571	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2013-10-23	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Gordon, Dougall B.	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur fe167
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-3127 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: Parliament Hill 111 Wellington Street Ottawa, Ontario K1A 06A	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

The following is in response to inquiries received in relation to this solicitation.Question 15

Amendment 4, Answer 5 states: "The successful proponent will: provide comments on the DSR; specify mitigation and handling requirements for identified designated substances; and will supervise execution during site investigations and during construction." Again, these tasks are outside of what is considered 'customary services' by an architect, and are basically uninsurable. The typical PWGSC DSR includes a technical specification that covers abatement methods for this reason. It is an owner's responsibility.

Answer 15

Public Works and Government Services Canada will provide a Designated Substance Report (DSR) when a detailed scope of work is defined. The DSR will identify the possible presence of designated substances along with recommendations for handling the material. The Consultant will provide advisory environmental services in the event that additional designated substances are uncovered during the investigative or construction work.

Question 16

Amendments 3, 4, and 5 still do not address whether you will remove Environmental Services from this RFP as architects are not to carry this kind of service for insurance reasons. Please confirm if you will remove this requirement.

Answer 16

Please refer to amendment no. 6 for a response to this question.

Question 17

SC Appendix C FEES: Understanding that the 'Total Evaluated Fee' is the sum of the 'Maximum Fixed Fee' and the 'Total for Required Services'. What is the difference between the 'Maximum Fixed Fee' and the 'Total for Required Services'? Are the Key-Consultants fees to be included here with the fees of the Proponent (Prime Consultant)?

Answer 17

There is no difference. An amendment will be issued to correct this clerical error.

Question 18

SC Appendix C DISBURSEMENTS: Is the full upset limit amount identified for disbursements to be included in the price proposal for each Proponent (Prime Consultant)?

Answer 18

Yes, but the disbursement amount should not be included in the 'Total Evaluated Fee'.

Question 19

SRE 3.1.5: Facility Security Clearance is not listed in the list or checklist in SRE 2.2 or SRE 6; must proof be provided as part of the submittal?

Answer 19

No. As per SI 6: Security Requirements, before award of contract to the successful proponent, the required security documentation must be submitted to the contracting officer for verification of the required security clearance for the project.

Question 20

PD 9.2: Given that Other Specialists (Hazmat; Archeologist; Cost, Scheduling, Control and Risk Management Specialist; Testing Lab; etc.) are likely needed to meet requirements; are these Other Specialists to be included as disbursements?

Answer 20

All of these services should be included in the Fixed Fee. Disbursements only include: General Disbursements, Geotechnical Engineer, Environmental Services, Surveyor, and Independent Lab Testing.

Question 21

Civil Engineer and Landscape Architect are identified as Key Sub-Consultants (SRE 3.1.2), yet elsewhere they appear as Other Expertise (PD 9.2) rather than Primary or Speciality (Heritage) Expertise. Please clarify Key Sub-Consultants vs. Other Expertise.

Answer 21

As per Appendix A: Team Identification Format, the Key Sub-Consultants/Specialists should be clearly identified in the proposal and these members will be evaluated along with the Prime Consultant. These include: Structural Engineer, Material Conservation Specialist (in stone masonry in historic buildings/structures), Material Conservation Specialist (in metal in historic buildings/structures), Civil Engineer and Landscape Architect. Other Expertise as identified in PD 9.2, include all members of the Consultant Team except those from a specialist heritage discipline (e.g. Conservation Architect, Structural Engineer, Masonry Conservator, and Metal Conservator).

Question 22

Translate 100% documents for RPCD review – as per RS 5.4.2.3 i) – or translate 100% for final submission (given that RPCD will only review one language set allowing time for translation) – as per PA 1.10 a) + RS 5? Please clarify which of these it is.

Answer 22

As per RS 5.4.2.3 i) and RS 5.4.2.4 i), drawings and specifications will be submitted in both official languages for 100% Complete for RPCD Review and 100% Complete for Tender Call.

Question 23

Investigation work could be performed and managed by a private sector Contractor or by PWGSC (PA 1.11). If a private sector is used, how will he/she be selected open public tender or via sole sourcing? In either case, how will prequalification be handled? Depending on the strategy selected, this will have an impact on the level of detail required for investigation tender documents (RS 2.7). Please clarify the strategy.

Answer 23

The preferred strategy is for PWGSC to act as the general contractor to conduct site investigations. However, if the Consultant's Detailed Field Investigation and Testing Plan includes a scope of work beyond the capabilities of PWGSC forces, then a Select or Standing Offer process will be followed to hire a private general contractor to do the investigative work.

Question 24

When is the last date for submission of questions?

Answer 24

Please refer to SI 3 of the RFP.

Question 25

What survey information is existing for the site?

Answer 25

PWGSC will provide a general topographic survey for Parliament Hill for background information purposes only. It will be the responsibility of the successful proponent to conduct a complete professional survey for the purpose of this project.

Question 26

Does a cover/binding page count for a page in the page count?

Answer 26

As per SRE 2.2 Specific Requirements for Proposal Format, covering letters are not part of the page limitation.

Question 27

Please confirm the timeline indicated in the RFP, particularly allowing 1 month for Schematic Design and 1 month for Design Development.

Answer 27

As per PD 2.3 Schedule, the project shall be delivered in accordance with the project milestones. As per PD 2.3, the Consultant will find some activities are not interdependent and shall be done concurrently.

Question 28

Define the level of site review required during construction. Is resident supervision required for the architects and/or stone conservator?

Answer 28

See RS 7 for details. No, resident supervision is not required. However, it is up to the proponent to include in their price proposal sufficient site review to meet the requirements of RS 7 which include site visits by the prime consultant and other team members. As per SRE 3.2.7, the proponent should provide a description of their approach to site review.

Question 29

Price proposal form: On page 11, SRE 6 Submission Requirements – Checklist, you indicate that the form needs to be signed, but there is no place for a signature. Is this a typo? If no, can you make available a modified Appendix C?

Answer 29

An amendment will be issued to change SRE 6 Submission Requirements - Checklist.