

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC
11 Laurier St./ 11 rue, Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0A1 / Noyau 0A1
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Scientific, Medical and Photographic Division /
Division de l'équipement scientifique, des produits
photographiques et pharmaceutiques
11 Laurier St./ 11 rue, Laurier
6B1, Place du Portage
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet MOBILE X-RAY VEHICLE SYSTEM	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 47064-146977/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 002
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 1000316977	Date 2013-10-17
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$PV-924-63619	
File No. - N° de dossier pv924.47064-146977	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2013-11-13	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Caron, Anne	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur pv924
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-3874 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-3814
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation Amendment No. 2

This solicitation amendment is raised to amend Part 4 - Evaluation Procedures and Basis of Selection as follows:

DELETE:

PART 4 - EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND BASIS OF SELECTION

1.1 Technical Evaluation

1.1.3 Pre-Award Testing - Data Validation Test (DVT) (Mandatory)

For all compliant bids, the Bidder must perform a Data Validation Test (DVT) with a system of the type proposed for purchase by the Government of Canada to validate performance claims and system compliance with the requirements. The Bidder must conduct the Data Validation Test at a mutually agreed upon date/time/location (system must be made available within 15 days after notification of compliant bid), only one DVT will be performed per compliant system; CBSA personnel must be able to observe and direct the testing.

Test results from the DVT will be used to confirm compliance and point allocation based on the Technical Evaluation Matrix. The detailed Matrix will be supplied to the Bidder during the DVT. Failure to demonstrate compliance will result in the Bidder's proposal being declared non-responsive.

CBSA will be responsible for all travel and living expenses for CBSA and PWGSC personnel attending the Testing. The Bidder will be responsible for all costs to furnish test equipment, test fixtures, radiation survey instruments required to demonstrate systems compliance. The Bidder will be responsible for all travel and living expenses for its personnel attending/performing the Testing.

2. Basis of Selection

2.1 Highest Combined Rating of Technical Merit and Price

1. To be declared responsive, a bid must:
 - a. comply with all the requirements of the bid solicitation; and
 - b. meet all mandatory criteria;
2. Bids not meeting choose (a) or (b) will be declared non-responsive.
3. The selection will be based on the highest responsive combined rating of technical merit and price. The ratio will be 60% for the technical merit and 40% for the price.
4. To establish the technical merit score, the overall technical score for each responsive bid will be determined as follows: total number of points obtained / maximum number of points available multiplied by the ratio of 60%.
5. To establish the pricing score, each responsive bid will be prorated against the lowest evaluated price (including optional quantities) and the ratio of 40%.

6. For each responsive bid, the technical merit score and the pricing score will be added to determine its combined rating.
7. Neither the responsive bid obtaining the highest technical score nor the one with the lowest evaluated price will necessarily be accepted. The responsive bid with the highest combined rating of technical merit and price will be recommended for award of a contract.

The table below illustrates an example where all three bids are responsive and the selection of the contractor is determined by the highest overall combined ranking based on a weighting of 60% technical and 40% price, respectively. The total available points equals 30 and the lowest evaluated price is \$50,000 (50*).

Basis of Selection - Highest Combined Rating Technical Merit (60%) and Price (40%)

		Bidder 1	Bidder 2	Bidder 3
Overall Technical Score		27/30	25/30	22/30
Bid Evaluated Price		\$70,000.00	\$55,000.00	\$50,000.00
Calculations	Technical Merit Score	$27/30 \times 60 = 54.0$	$25/30 \times 60 = 50.0$	$22/30 \times 60 = 44.0$
	Pricing Score	$*50/70 \times 40 = 28.6$	$*50/55 \times 40 = 36.4$	$*50/50 \times 40 = 40.0$
Combined Rating		82.6	86.4	84.0
Overall Rating		3	1	2

INSERT:**PART 4 - EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND BASIS OF SELECTION****1.1 Technical Evaluation****1.1.3 Pre-Award Testing - Data Validation Test (DVT) (Mandatory)**

Following the bid evaluations, the two (2) Bidders with the Highest Combined Rating of Technical Merit and Price will advance to Pre-Award Testing - Data Validation Test (DVT) on a system of the type proposed for purchase in order to validate performance claims and system compliance with the requirements. The Bidder must conduct the Pre-Award Testing - (DVT) at a mutually agreed upon date/time/location (system must be made available within 15 working days after notification of compliant bid); CBSA personnel must be able to observe and direct the testing.

Test results from the DVT will be used to confirm compliance with mandatory specifications and point allocation based on the Point Rated Technical Criteria Matrix. Pre-award testing will be performed only once and failure to demonstrate compliance with the mandatory specifications will result in the Bidder's proposal being declared non-responsive.

If results of the Pre-Award Testing - Data Validation Test (DVT) change the bidders combined rating and if both bidders combined rating drop below the 3rd ranked bidder, then that bidder will advance to Pre-Award Testing - Data Validation Test (DVT).

CBSA will be responsible for all travel and living expenses for CBSA and PWGSC personnel attending the Testing. The Bidder will be responsible for all costs to furnish test equipment, test fixtures, radiation survey instruments required to demonstrate systems compliance. The Bidder will be responsible for all travel and living expenses for its personnel attending/performing the Testing.

2. Basis of Selection**2.1 Highest Combined Rating of Technical Merit and Price**

1. To be declared responsive, a bid must:
 - a. comply with all the requirements of the bid solicitation; and
 - b. meet all mandatory criteria;
2. Bids not meeting choose (a) or (b) will be declared non-responsive.
3. The selection will be based on the highest responsive combined rating of technical merit and price. The ratio will be 60% for the technical merit and 40% for the price.
4. To establish the technical merit score, the overall technical score for each responsive bid will be determined as follows: total number of points obtained / maximum number of points available multiplied by the ratio of 60%.
5. To establish the pricing score, each responsive bid will be prorated against the lowest evaluated price (including optional quantities) and the ratio of 40%.
6. For each responsive bid, the technical merit score and the pricing score will be added to determine its combined rating.
7. Neither the responsive bid obtaining the highest technical score nor the one with the lowest evaluated price will necessarily be accepted. The responsive bid with the highest combined rating

of technical merit (based on the result of the pre-award testing) and price will be recommended for award of a contract.

The table below illustrates an example where all three bids are responsive and the selection of the contractor is determined by the highest overall combined ranking based on a weighting of 60% technical and 40% price, respectively. The total available points equals 30 and the lowest evaluated price is \$50,000 (50*).

Basis of Selection - Highest Combined Rating Technical Merit (60%) and Price (40%)

		Bidder 1	Bidder 2	Bidder 3
Overall Technical Score		27/30	25/30	22/30
Bid Evaluated Price		\$70,000.00	\$55,000.00	\$50,000.00
Calculations	Technical Merit Score	$27/30 \times 60 = 54.0$	$25/30 \times 60 = 50.0$	$22/30 \times 60 = 44.0$
	Pricing Score	$*50/70 \times 40 = 28.6$	$*50/55 \times 40 = 36.4$	$*50/50 \times 40 = 40.0$
Combined Rating		82.6	86.4	84.0
Overall Rating		3	1	2

All other terms and conditions remain the same