

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC
11 Laurier Street/11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0A1 / Noyau 0A1
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

This Request for Proposal contains a security requirement.

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Medium Support Vehicle System Project/Système de
véhicule de soutien moyen
105 Hôtel de Ville
Gatineau
Quebec
K1A 0A2

Title - Sujet MSVS - SMP Vehicles	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W8476-06MSMP/L	Amendment No. - N° modif. 010
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W8476-06MSMP	Date 2013-11-22
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$BW-008-23908	
File No. - N° de dossier 008bw.W8476-06MSMP	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2013-12-17	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST	
F.O.B. - F.A.B.	
Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Lortie, Yves	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 008bw
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 997-7268 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 997-0786
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: See Herein	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Standard Military Pattern (SMP) Vehicles

Amendment # 10

This amendment is being issued to:

- revise the RFP documents
- respond to Bidders' questions - Please see Attachment # 1

AMENDMENT TO THE RFP

1. At Part 3 - Bid Preparation Instructions Attachment 3, Schedule 3-2 Life Cycle Costing (LCC) Bidder Data Requirements

A) article 1.1, second sentence, page 2

Delete: These tables require the bidder to submit complete data and costing information to permit the calculation of the bidder's comparative Life Cycle Cost (LCC) to DND over the 20-year service life of the equipment being proposed.

Insert: These tables require the bidder to submit complete data and costing information to permit the calculation of the bidder's comparative Life Cycle Cost (LCC) to DND based on the Mission Profile at Part 8, Annex B, Appendix BH, Attachment BH-1, over the 20-year service life of the equipment being proposed.

B) article 2.6.2, second sentence, page 2

Delete: The Bidder shall assume service intervals based on the usage patterns as per the MSVS SMP Mission Profile Appendix BH-1 under the ISS Contract.

Insert: The Bidder shall assume service intervals based on the usage patterns as per the MSVS SMP Mission Profile at Part 8, Annex B, Appendix BH, Attachment BH-1.

2. At Part 7, Resulting Contract -Acquisition, Annex B, Appendix BA, Attachment BA-3 - Electronic Equipment Requirements - Revision 1

A) On page 8

Delete: 3.4 Detachment Commanders Data Terminal (DCDT) mounting bracket

Insert: 3.5 Detachment Commanders Data Terminal (DCDT) mounting bracket

Delete: 3.5 Gun Data Cable

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

W8476-06MSMP/L

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

W8476-06MSMP

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

010

File No. - N° du dossier

008bwW8476-06MSMP

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

008bw

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

Insert: 3.6 Gun Data Cable

B) On page 15

Delete: 5.3 Detachment Commanders Data Terminal (DCDT) mounting bracket

Insert: 5.4 Detachment Commanders Data Terminal (DCDT) mounting bracket

C) On page 16

Delete: 5.4 Gun Data Cable

Insert: 5.5 Gun Data Cable

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.

Standard Military Pattern (SMP) No. W8476-06MSMP/L				
Attachment # 1 to RFP Amendment # 10 Questions and Answers (# 73-79)				
Question #	Part (1 to 8)	RFP Reference Article	Attachment	Bidder Question
73	7	BA-661 of the SOW	Appendix BA to Annex B	BA-661 states "The Vehicle exterior topcoat paint colour and gloss shall match for all exterior surfaces." Please clarify, is it Canada's intent that following the final production and integration process for each variant the entire vehicle will be painted in order for the paint to match? Please note, we believe the term "match" is subjective.
74	7		Annex B, Appendix BA, Attachment BA-3	Within Attachment BA-3 from Amendment 8, the following numbering conflicts were noted: BA-3-299 now reads: 3.4 Detachment Commanders ... BA-3-299 should read: 3.5 Detachment Commanders ... BA-3-301 now reads: 3.5 Gun Data Cable BA-3-301 should read: 3.6 Gun Data Cable BA-3-356 now reads: 5.3 Detachment Commanders ... BA-3-356 should read: 5.4 Detachment Commanders ... BA-3-358 now reads: 5.4 Gun Data Cable BA-3-358 should read: 5.5 Gun Data Cable Can Canada please clarify if this is correct?
75	3		Attachment 3, Section 3, Schedule 3-3, Revision 2	The revised Microsoft Excel version of Schedule 3-3, LCC Input Data Revision 2, provided in Amendment 9 is not on the FTP site for download. Can this please be provided?
76	3		Attachment 3, Section 3, Schedule 3-3	The LCC tables do not differentiate between commercial and military usage or between wartime and peacetime usage. Please clarify, how will Canada account for these mission profile differences in data application? For example, will a unit of usage intensity factor be applied as it has been done in previous contracts, or will Canada view non-military usage as predicted data? For clarity all LCC data is to be based on the MSVS SMP Mission Profile provided in Part 8, Annex B, Attachment BH-1, and as per Articles 2.6.1 and 2.7.2 of Part 3, Attachment 3, Schedule 3-2 "shall be based on the vehicle equipped with the Bidder's proposed (Mandatory and Rated Items) systems, sub-systems and components...". The definition of what constitutes Actual, Lab or Predicted data is detailed in para 2.7.5 of Part 3, Attachment 3, Schedule 3-2. For evaluation purposes the distribution and resultant weighting of Actual, Lab, or Predicted data is in accordance with equation (7) of Part 4, Attachment 5, Section 3, Schedule 5-5, Revision 1. Please see amendment 10.

Question #	RFP Reference		Attachment	Bidder Question	Response
	Part (1 to 8)	Article			
77	3		3	<p>In Section 2 to Attachment 3 to Part 3 in § 3.2 the following is written: "SECRET". Evaluation results and any information documented relative to survivability as part of the evaluation of bids by Canada will be classified as "SECRET".</p> <p>Questions: We would like to more completely understand the results of Canada making our survivability information Secret. Within our organisation the test results are just company restricted and we would wish to keep them this way while dealing with other potential customers for our APS. If Canada makes the information provided by our organisation Secret or NATO Secret does this preclude us from providing it to other customers without reference or permission from Canada? Would it be acceptable for a competitor to bring and personally present the data to Canada rather than just providing it. Will Canada keep all data or will it be returned to unsuccessful bidders.</p>	<p>The bidder's proprietary information and/or test results belong to the bidder and where such information is not linked in any way to Canada's requirements, remain the sole purview of the bidder. All information submitted to Canada in response to this solicitation must be as required by the RFP, without exception. As per Part 2, Attachment 1, 05 (2013-06-01) Submission of Bids, sub-article 6, bids received on or before the stipulated bid solicitation closing date and time will not be returned to the bidder.</p>
78				<p>Our client wishes to understand the rationale which lead to the BA-612 mandatory requirement regarding the tire chains specification in the subject RFP. The section states: "The link in the tread pattern shall be made from material with a square cross-section of a nominal 8 mm x 8 mm size. CON N/A SOC Mandatory Requirement. No points allotted. N/A" This specific statement appears to target one manufacturer, therefore precluding other chain producers from competing fairly in this solicitation. Again, the referenced "square cross-section chain" is a manufacturing process (technique) used by only one company. This manufacturer produces round-link chains. We have also been informed that the square cross-section chain process or technique does not provide any technical advantage over round-link chain. Although the entire technical specification appears to be leveled towards one chain designer, other manufacturers may be capable of meeting the required standards less the square-linked chain.</p>	<p>The tire chain specification detailed in the RFP is based on the Department of National Defence/Canadian Armed Forces's extensive experience with tire chains over many years. The square link has been proven to be more effective in the CAF's specific operational application and terrain/weather conditions. Additionally, at least 3 different vendors have supplied Canada with a square cross-section chain in accordance with BA-612, therefore Canada does not agree that the specification is specific to a single source of supply. Current tire chains specifications remain unchanged.</p>
79	2		1	<p>We would like to request an extension of the project delivery date, to be extended by one (1) month.</p>	<p>Canada will not extend the RFP bid solicitation period by one (1) month as requested. As indicated at the Bidders' Conference on Sept 17th, 2013, Canada has already engaged the services of a third party to evaluate the Test Articles in Nevada. The test and evaluation dates have been established and will commence shortly after the closing of the RFP.</p>