

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC
11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0A1/Noyau 0A1
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Informatics Professional Services - EL
Division/Services professionnels en informatique -
division EL
4C2, Place du Portage
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet TBIPS SA - Platform Analysts	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 47060-146244/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 001
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 1000316244	Date 2013-12-04
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$EL-632-26618	
File No. - N° de dossier 632el.47060-146244	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2013-12-16	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Lapalme, Francis	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 632el
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-5181 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-5078
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT No. 001
RFP No. 47060-146244/A

The following is provided as clarification in response to questions received and to provide revision concerning the subject Request for Proposal (RFP).

Q1 Is there or has there ever been a vendor providing some or all of these services and if so, can the client please identify the vendor and disclose the dollar value associated with the contract?

A1 *There are resources performing similar services but the resources required under this solicitation are over and above the current resources providing services. Therefore, there is no incumbent Contractor.*

Q2 In regards to the solicitation, is there currently, or has there been within the past 6 months, incumbents performing similar duties as described in the Statement of Work?

A2 *See A1.*

Q3 Previous Requests for Proposals have shown that these skill sets are rare and previous Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA) requirements like this have been changed to accept with Reliability Status resources as recently as a year ago. Given that CBSA will conduct its own clearance of resources, the contract duration is for multiple years (potentially) and CBSA is intending to award up to five contracts, would CBSA accept a proposal that includes resources with Reliability Status?

A3 *No. The resources that will work on CBSA's projects will have access to artifacts that are in the range of Protected B to Secret.*

Q4 Could you clarify the scoring for the rated criteria PA1-R.1 and PA2-R.1? In PA1-R.1 and PA2-R.1 there is 1 protocol and 4 languages listed so it is therefore impossible to have experience in more than one protocol.

A4 *See attached revised Attachment 4.1 - Bid Evaluation Criteria.*

Q5 Section 4.4 (d) states the possibility of awarding up to five contracts. To clarify, does that mean that vendors are not obligated to present all five resources in their proposal and are permitted to may present any combination of resources up to and including the maximum indicated in section 1.2 (g) for each category?

A5 *Bidders can propose any combination of resources up to and including the maximum indicated in section 1.2 (g) for each category, but the same resource cannot be proposed for more than one category.*

Q6 Being that there can be up to 5 contracts awarded and these skill sets are often quite difficult to find, could the Crown please clarify if a company's bid submission needs to include 5 resources (2 Platform Analysts – with SBI experience and 3 Platform Analysts – with EDI experience) or are we able to submit any number of resources for each category?

A6 *See A5.*

The following is to amend the RFP document:

1. At Attachment 4.1 - Bid Evaluation Criteria:

DELETE Attachment 4.1 - Bid Evaluation Criteria in its entirety.

INSERT Attachment 4.1 - Bid Evaluation Criteria attached hereto.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME.

ATTACHMENT 4.1 – BID EVALUATION CRITERIA

Technical proposals will be evaluated and scored in accordance with the following evaluation criteria (Mandatory and Rated Requirements).

For each resource proposed, up to a maximum of five resources as indicated under article 1.2 g) of the Request for Proposal, an up to date resume must be included.

Personnel Qualification Requirements

1. Mandatory Requirements:

The Bidder should provide complete details regarding the qualifications, relevant experience and expertise, and linguistic capabilities, if applicable, of the proposed personnel such as “ The experience of the proposed resources must be clearly identified by providing a summary/description of the previous projects worked on, and indicating when the work was carried out and the client”.

1.1 Two Platform Analysts, Level 2 – with SBI experience

Experience and Expertise of the Proposed Platform Analyst, Level 2				
Name of proposed Resource: _____				
The Bidder MUST demonstrate that the Proposed Platform Analyst, Level 2 has:				
Criteria	Mandatory Requirement	Bidder’s response		
		INSERT PAGE # OF RESUME	Met	Not Met
PA1-M.1	A minimum of five years of experience, within the last 10 years designing, developing and implementing Business to Business (B2B) solutions using the IBM B2B Integrator (SBI) product.			

Experience and Expertise of the Proposed Platform Analyst, Level 2

Name of proposed Resource: _____

The Bidder MUST demonstrate that the Proposed Platform Analyst, Level 2 has:

Criteria	Mandatory Requirement	Bidder's response		
		INSERT PAGE # OF RESUME	Met	Not Met
PA1-M.2	<p>A minimum of two years of experience, within the last ten years, developing and implementing B2B solutions in the Information Technology (IT) Industry using three of the following application languages and protocol:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • XML (Extensible Markup Language); • Java; • WebService standards – SOAP (Simple Object Access Protocol) and REST (Representational State Transfer); • BPML (Business Process Modeling Language); and • BPEL (Business Process Execution Language) development applications. 			
PA1-M.3	<p>A minimum of three years of experience with MQ, DB2, and Data Modeling.</p>			

1.2 Three Platform Analysts, Level 2 – with EDI experience

Experience and Expertise of the Proposed Platform Analyst, Level 2				
Name of proposed Resource: _____				
The Bidder MUST demonstrate that the Proposed Platform Analyst, Level 2 has:				
Criteria	Mandatory Requirement	Bidder's response		
		INSERT PAGE # OF RESUME	Met	Not Met
PA2-M.1	A minimum of five years of experience, within the last 10 years analyzing, developing or enhancing EDI IT applications.			
PA2-M.2	<p>A minimum of two years of experience, within the last ten years, developing and implementing B2B solutions in the Information Technology (IT) Industry using three of the following application languages and protocol:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • XML (Extensible Markup Language); • Java; • WebService standards – SOAP (Simple Object Access Protocol) and REST (Representational State Transfer); • BPML (Business Process Modeling Language); and • BPEL (Business Process Execution Language) development applications. 			
PA2-M.3	A minimum of three years of experience with MQ, DB2, and Data Modeling.			

2. Point Rated Evaluation Criteria:

The Bidder should provide complete details regarding the qualifications, relevant experience and expertise, and linguistic capabilities, if applicable, of the proposed personnel such as “ The experience of the proposed resources must be clearly identified by providing a summary/description of the previous projects worked on, and indicating when the work was carried out and the client”.

2.1 Two Platform Analysts, Level 2 (with SBI experience)

Experience and Expertise of the Proposed Platform Analyst, Level 2				
Name of proposed Resource: _____				
The Bidder SHOULD demonstrate that the Proposed Platform Analyst, Level 2 has:				
			BIDDER'S RESPONSE	
#	RELATED CRITERIA	POINTS MAX	DEMONSTRATED EXPERIENCE	INSERT PAGE OF RESUME
PA1-R.1	<p>Experience, in excess of mandatory requirement PA1-M.2, developing and implementing B2B solutions in the Information Technology (IT) Industry using the following application languages and protocol:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • XML (Extensible Markup Language); • Java; • WebService standards – SOAP (Simple Object Access Protocol) and REST (Representational State Transfer); • BPML (Business Process Modeling Language); and • BPEL (Business Process Execution Language) development applications. <p><i>The window of experience for criterion PA1-R.1 only is increased from 10 to 15 years.</i></p>	30	<p><u>Number of application languages or protocol in excess of 24 months:</u></p> <p>Per application language: 4 points (maximum 16 points)</p> <p>For the protocol: 4 points (maximum 4 points)</p> <p><u>Months of experience:</u></p> <p>Per application language: 24+ to 36 months = 1 point 36+ months = 2 points (maximum 8 points)</p> <p>For the protocol: 24+ to 36 months = 1 point 36+ months = 2 points (maximum 2 points)</p>	

Experience and Expertise of the Proposed Platform Analyst, Level 2

Name of proposed Resource: _____

The Bidder SHOULD demonstrate that the Proposed Platform Analyst, Level 2 has:

		BIDDER'S RESPONSE		
#	QUALIFIED CRITERIA	POINTS MAX	DEMONSTRATED EXPERIENCE	INSERT PAGE OF RESUME
PA1-R.2	<p>Experience within the last 10 years providing briefings to and communicating with peers and management on large scale IT project*.</p> <p><i>* Large scale IT project is defined as a project with a minimum:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • budget of \$1M; • of 10 members; and • duration of 5 years . 	20	12 to 24 months = 5 points 24+ to 36 months = 10 points 36+ to 48 months = 15 points 48+ months = 20 points	
PA1-R.3	<p>Experience within the last 10 years performing the following functions using the World Trade Organization (WCO) Data Model:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) illustrating the relationships between data; b) displaying presentation of the data flows and processes; and c) creating a blueprint for construction and/or re-engineering. 	5	12 to 24 months = 1 point 24+ to 36 months = 2 points 36+ to 48 months = 3 points 48+ to 60 months = 4 points 60+ months = 5 points	
MAXIMUM AVAILABLE POINTS		55		
MINIMUM POINTS REQUIRED		33		

Note: Proposals that do not meet the above minimum score 60% will not be evaluated further.

2.2 Three Platform Analysts, Level 2 (with EDI experience)

Experience and Expertise of the Proposed Platform Analyst, Level 2				
Name of proposed Resource: _____				
The Bidder SHOULD demonstrate that the Proposed Platform Analyst, Level 2 has:				
			BIDDER'S RESPONSE	
#	RELATED CRITERIA	POINTS MAX	DEMONSTRATED EXPERIENCE	INSERT PAGE OF RESUME
PA2-R.1	<p>Experience, in excess of mandatory requirement PA2-M.2, developing and implementing B2B solutions in the Information Technology (IT) Industry using the following application languages and protocol:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • XML (Extensible Markup Language); • Java; • WebService standards – SOAP (Simple Object Access Protocol) and REST (Representational State Transfer); • BPML (Business Process Modeling Language); and • BPEL (Business Process Execution Language) development applications. <p><i>The window of experience for criterion PA2-R.1 only is increased from 10 to 15 years.</i></p>	30	<p><u>Number of application languages or protocol in excess of 24 months:</u></p> <p>Per application language: 4 points (maximum 16 points)</p> <p>For the protocol: 4 points (maximum 4 points)</p> <p><u>Months of experience:</u></p> <p>Per application language: 24+ to 36 months = 1 point 36+ months = 2 points (maximum 8 points)</p> <p>For the protocol: 24+ to 36 months = 1 point 36+ months = 2 points (maximum 2 points)</p>	

Experience and Expertise of the Proposed Platform Analyst, Level 2

Name of proposed Resource: _____

The Bidder SHOULD demonstrate that the Proposed Platform Analyst, Level 2 has:

		BIDDER'S RESPONSE		
#	RELATED CRITERIA	POINTS MAX	DEMONSTRATED EXPERIENCE	INSERT PAGE OF RESUME
PA2-R.2	<p>Experience within the last 10 years providing briefings to and communicating with peers and management on large scale IT project*.</p> <p><i>* Large scale IT project is defined as a project with a minimum:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • budget of \$1M; • of 10 members; and • duration of 5 years . 	20	12 to 24 months = 5 points 24+ to 36 months = 10 points 36+ to 48 months = 15 points 48+ months = 20 points	
PA2-R.3	<p>Experience within the last 10 years performing the following functions using the World Trade Organization (WCO) Data Model:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) illustrating the relationships between data; b) displaying presentation of the data flows and processes; and c) creating a blueprint for construction and/or re-engineering. 	5	12 to 24 months = 1 point 24+ to 36 months = 2 points 36+ to 48 months = 3 points 48+ to 60 months = 4 points 60+ months = 5 points	
MAXIMUM AVAILABLE POINTS		55		
MINIMUM POINTS REQUIRED		33		

Note: Proposals that do not meet the above minimum score 60% will not be evaluated further.