



Royal Canadian Mounted Police

Gendarmerie royale du Canada

RETURN BIDS TO :

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS :

Bid Receiving Unit / Groupe de la réception des
sousmissions

Procurement and Contracting Services

73 Leikin Drive,

Visitor Center - Building M1

Mailstop # 15

Ottawa, ON K1A 0R2

Attn: Sonya Dupont (613) 843-3798

**AMENDMENT TO A REQUEST FOR STANDING
OFFER**

Royal Canadian Mounted Police

We hereby offer to sell to Her Majesty the Queen in right
of Canada, in accordance with the terms and conditions set
out herein, referred to herein or attached hereto, the goods,
services and construction listed herein and on any attached
sheets at the price(s) set out therefore.

**MODIFICATION À UNE DEMANDE D'OFFRE A
COMMANDES**

Gendarmerie royale du Canada

Nous offrons par la présente de vendre à Sa Majesté l
Reine du chef du Canada, aux conditions énoncées ou
incluses par référence dans la présente et aux annexes ci-
jointes, les biens, services et construction énumérés ici sur
toute feuille ci-annexée, au(x) prix indiqué(s).

Comments – Commentaries

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Title-Sujet RCMP National Architectural and Engineering Services		
Solicitation No. – No. de l'invitation	Amend. / Modification	Date
201303206	5	December 6, 2013
Client Reference No. - No. de Référence du Client 201303206		
GETS Reference No. – No de Référence du SEAG 201303206		
Solicitation Closes – L'invitation prend fin at – à 2:00 P.M. EDT on December 16th, 2013		
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Destination		
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toute questions à : Eric Glynn – A/Manager Procurement		
Telephone No. - No de téléphone	Fax:	
613-843-5533	613-825-0082	
Destination of Goods - Destinations des biens: See Herein / Voir aux présentes		
Instructions : See Herein / Voir aux présentes		
Delivery Required – Livraison exigée: See Herein / Voir aux présentes		
Name and Title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm. Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur		



Royal Canadian Mounted Police

Gendarmerie royale du Canada

Amendment #5 to Solicitation 201303206 has been issued:

1. To respond to **Questions and Answers – Part 5** as follows:

Questions and Answers – Part 5:

Q28: Under Annex “D” – Evaluation Criteria, M3 asks for two (2) projects where we have been the project lead and item R2 has a similar worded requirement. Can this be modified to delete the term “project lead”?

A28: No, for the purposes of this solicitation, it is necessary for the Offeror to provide demonstrated project experience which they were identified as “project leads”. For M3, it should be noted that the experience does not need to be comprised of all disciplines identified in this Solicitation.

Q29(a): In regards to the Offeror’s demonstrated project experience (NOT the Resource demonstrated project experience) may the timeframe of the overlapping projects be counted wholly for both projects?

A29(a): Yes.

Q29(b): For example; Project 1 timeframe is July 2001 to December 2001 (5 months); Project 2 timeframe is October 2001 to January 2002 (3 months), is the total months to be counted for the Offeror’s demonstrated project experience to be seven (7) months or the full eight (8) months?

A29(b): Eight (8) months.

Q30: In Annex “E” – Team Identification Form, the format suggest that only on Key Individual be listed for each level of Resource (Senior, Intermediate, Junior, etc.) – presumably with the Resource’s name on the left blank, and their professional licensing status on the right blank. Would it be possible to: (a); restructure the form provided by identifying multiple key individuals on a single level (ie: Senior Resource) when deemed appropriate for the correct representation of our team; or (b); provide us with a more complete definition of key individual by which to select an individual team member whom would best suit that position.

A30: The form will not be restructured, however, Offerors may add additional line items to identify more than one (1) resource under a “single level” (ie: Senior Resource).

Q31: In the Sub-Consultant section of Annex “E” – Team Identification Form; we require further clarification as to how to list our sub-consultants as we have separate sub-consultants for Mechanical Engineering, Electrical Engineering, Civil Engineering, and Structural Engineering. May we re-structure



Royal Canadian Mounted Police

Gendarmerie royale du Canada

the form to reflect the nature of our sub-consultant structure as follows when we have two companies in each of the sub-consultant categories; 2) Mechanical and Electrical Engineering & 3) Civil and Structural Engineering?

2. Sub-Consultant #1:

Sub-Consultant #1 Firm or Joint Venture Name:: [REDACTED] (Mechanical Engineering)
[REDACTED] (Electrical Engineering)

Sub-Consultant #1 Discipline: (CHECKMARK the correct one)

- (1) Architecture
- (2) Mechanical and Electrical Engineering
- (3) Civil and Structural Engineering

Key Individuals and applicable provincial professional licensing status:

[REDACTED]
(Mechanical Engineering)
Senior Resources:
Name, PEng;
Name, Senior Engineering
Technologist

[REDACTED]
(Electrical Engineering)
Senior Resources:
Name, PEng;
Name (licensing status)

A31: Yes, you may re-structure the form to reflect multiple “sub-consultants” with the same Discipline identified in the Solicitation.