



**Royal Canadian Mounted Police
Gendarmerie royale du Canada**

**RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS A:
Bid Receiving/Réception des soumissions
Bid Receiving Unit**

Procurement and Contracting Services
73 Leikin Drive,
Visitor Center - Building M1
Mailstop # 15
Ottawa, ON K1A 0R2

Attn: **Megan McCoy (613) 843-3798**

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

The referenced document is hereby revised;
unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and
conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf
indication contraire, les modalités de
l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaries

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de
l'entrepreneur**

Telephone No. – No de téléphone:
()

Facsimile No. – No de télécopieur:
()

Title-Sujet Architectural and Engineering Design Services – Regina Tunnel Rehabilitation		Amendment No. – No Modification 2
Solicitation No. - No. de l'invitation 201403710	Date December 18, 2013	
Client Reference No. - No. De Référence du Client 201403710		
GETS Reference No. - No. de Référence de SEAG		
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at 02:00pm EST on December 30th, 2013		
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Destination		
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Eric Glynn A/Manager – Special Projects - Procurement		
Telephone No. - No de téléphone 613-843-5533	Fax No. - N° de FAX: (613) 825-0082	
Destination of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destinations des biens, services et construction: See Herein / Voir aux présentes		
Instructions: See Herein Instructions : Voir aux présentes		
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée: See Herein/Voir aux présentes	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur		



Amendment #2 to Solicitation 201403710 has been issued to respond:

1. To **Questions and Answers – Part 2**; and,
2. To make the changes to the Solicitation as identified herein.

Questions and Answers – Part 2:

Q2. In Section 2.2 Project Objective, paragraph “3 a” of Facility Performance it states that an object is to “Modify or replace systems that will enable long-term efficient and cost effective life cycle performance.” Can you clarify which systems this paragraph is referring to when the scope of work in Section 1.1 Project Narrative mentions only “complete design for and the implementation of Occupational Health and Safety (OHS), Building Code (BCC), and Structural Upgrades to the Utility Tunnel System at the RCMP Academy at Depot Division (DADD) in Regina, SK...”?

A2. **The “Modify or replace systems that will enable long-term efficient and cost effective life cycle performance,” is in reference to the following work scopes: New ventilation systems specific for servicing the tunnels; any systems that would be attached to or attributable to new exiting and/or ventilation structures.**

The scope of work referred to in the project narrative can be further broken down as follows for consideration. The following is provided:

- o **Provision of any and all exits as required by code.**
- o **Provide any and all ventilation infrastructure including ventilation equipment, supply air and exhaust air access and overall building control system.**
- o **Provide appropriate exit signage etc.**
- o **Provide required fire protection, fire stopping and fire separations as required by code.**
- o **Provide updated Electrical Service and branch wiring to accommodate all modifications.**
- o **Address deficiencies in the utility tunnel super structure in the two oldest of the four tunnel sections.**
- o **For consideration, the work to the utility tunnel super structure equates to approximately 38% of the project construction budget.**

Q3. In Section 2.4 Project Delivery Approach the documents refers to “A consultant will be retained by the RCMP and report directly to the RCMP Departmental Representative to co-ordinate all services related to Design Development, Construction documents, tendering and Construction administration.” Is the consultant referred to the consultant whom wins the bid for the project, or a secondary consultant?

A3. **This statement is making reference to the Consultant who is successful in being awarded a contract for this project as part of this specific solicitation.**

Q4. What are the revised dates of the Proposed Project Schedule in Section 2.6 based on the fact that the “Award of Consultant Contract” will not be until sometime after December 30th, 2013?

A4. **Please see below, revised schedule based upon a closing date of December 30, 2013.**

Q5. In Annex “C” – Basis of Payment and Declaration Form there is only space to input hourly rates for mechanical, structural, and electrical sub-consultants. How are additional sub-consultants that may be required to complete the scope of work (‘ie’ OH & S, Hazardous Materials, or Civil Engineering) to be included in the proposal both in Annex “C” – Basis of Payment and Declaration Form, and in Annex “E” – Team Identification form?

- a) Are the fees for these additional personnel not to be considered part of our competitive proposal?
- b) Can these additional sub-consultants be added to the original forms as sections 5, 6 and 7?



A5. We have identified the disciplines that will most likely be required should additional scope be added to the project. Should scope be added that requires specialist consultants other than those listed, please identify those consultants and the hourly rates associated with same as an attachment to the original bidding forms.

- a) **All specialist consultants that the prime consultant is including as part of their team to respond to this solicitation and their costs shall be included in the overall fixed fees for services.**
- b) **Per the above, these can be added as suggested.**

Q6. In the Rated Requirements section, question R2 asks the bidder to “describe the accomplishments, achievements, and experience of the Sub-Consultants or Specialists, either as the Prime Consultant or in a Sub-Consultant capacity on projects.” Is the bidder to select two (2) projects that demonstrate the experience of all of the sub-consultants together?

As the three different sub-consultants may not have been on teams together in response to this specific set of circumstances before, should the bidder:

- a) Provide descriptions of two projects where at least one of the sub-consultants was involved in each of the two projects described?
- b) Provide descriptions of two projects each for each of the three sub-consultants involved (equaling six described projects) ensuring through coverage of the capabilities of each sub-consultant.

A6. The ideal response would be for all listed disciplines to have successfully completed a project that is similar to the referenced project.

The prime consultant should provide descriptions of projects that are going to best exemplify the capabilities of the sub-consultants in the successful delivery of projects that are similar in make-up to the referenced project in this solicitation.

SEE BELOW FOR SOLICITATION MODIFICATIONS.



The following are changes to Solicitation 201403710, and must be considered when submitting proposals:

1. At Annex "A" – Statement of Work; item 2.6; **DELETE** in its entirety, and **REPLACE** with the following:

<u>Stage:</u>	<u>Estimated Completion Date:</u>
Award of Consultant Contract	January 24, 2014
Pre-Design Review and Report Complete	March 3, 2014
Design Development Report Complete	March 31, 2014
50% Construction Drawings	June 12, 2014
Tender Documents	August 20, 2014
Tender Award	October 30, 2014
Construction Completion	June 30, 2016

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.