RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions - TPSGC
11 Laurier St. / 11 rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0A1/Noyau 0A1
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Consultant Services Division/Division des services d'experts-conseils
11 Laurier St./11 Rue Laurier
3C2, Place du Portage
Phase III
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet EAST BLOCK REHABILITATION	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EP747-141835/A	**Amendment No. - N° modif.** 004
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20141835	**Date** 2014-02-24
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-$$FE-175-64590	
File No. - N° de dossier fe175.EP747-141835	**CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME**
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin **at - à 02:00 PM** **on - le 2014-03-17**	**Time Zone** **Fuseau horaire** Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B. **Plant-Usine:** ☐ **Destination:** ☐ **Other-Autre:** ☐	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Lohnes, Melissa	**Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur** fe175
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-6097 ( )	**FAX No. - N° de FAX** (819) 956-3160
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: **Destination - des biens, services et construction:**	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	**Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée**
Vendor/Firm Name and Address **Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur** **Telephone No. - N° de téléphone** **Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur**	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) **Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)** **Signature** **Date**	

This Amendment is issued to respond to the following questions:

QUESTION 7:
Electrical and mechanical engineers: Although there is extensive electrical and mechanical work to be done, Appendix 'A' does not call for the identification of the electrical and mechanical sub-consultants. Moreover, no security requirement is required for these sub-consultants. Is it PWGSC's intention not to require a "Secret" security clearance for this sub-consultant?

RESPONSE 7:
All personnel, including personnel of the Proponent, personnel of the Key Sub-consultants / Specialists, and any other Sub-consultant personnel who are required to access the site, or have access to classified or protected information must meet the security requirement as indicated in Supplementary Conditions SC1. This would apply to individuals from the electrical and mechanical sub-consultants who would require such access in the performance of the work.

Furthermore, the following section is hereby amended:

SRE Section 3.1.6

Delete the following:

"b. The Proponent's Key Personnel identified in SRE 3.2.3, and any other proposed individuals requiring access to classified or protected information, assets or sensitive work site(s) must meet the security requirement as indicated in Supplementary Conditions SC1. The Proponent must provide this security information in Appendix F to align with the requirements for the key personnel as set out in the following table;"

Replace with:

"b. The Proponent's Key Personnel identified in SRE 3.1.3, and any, and all, other proposed individuals, including personnel of other sub-consultants or specialists on the Proponents team, requiring access to classified or protected information, assets or sensitive work site(s) must meet the security requirement as indicated in Supplementary Conditions SC1. The Proponent must provide this security information in Appendix F at bid closing for the key personnel as set out in the following table. For all other personnel, such security information is to be provided after contract award and before access to classified or protected information, assets or sensitive work site(s) is granted."

QUESTION 8:
Stone conservator: Requiring “Secret” security classification for this type of specialty is not current practice. Does this requirement not limit competition?

RESPONSE 8:
All personnel who will need access to the site must have “Secret” clearance. The stone conservator must have a valid “Secret” security clearance as outlined in the RFP at bid closing.

QUESTION 9:
Wood conservator/metals conservator: No security classification appears to be required for these two specialties. Is that the case?

RESPONSE 9:
These personnel must also hold a “Secret” security clearance as per SRE Paragraph 3.1.6 (a). The security clearance as described in the RFP for the wood and metals conservators while not required at bid closing must be provided after award of the contract in order to gain access to the site.

QUESTION 10:
We note in the second paragraph of SRE 3.2.2: "Present a maximum of two (2) projects where construction has reached substantial completion or has been completed within the last 15 years per key sub consultant or specialist identified in section 3.1.3. The references section 3.1.3 Includes "Heritage Conservation Architect". Therefore please confirm the following:

- That the title of the second paragraph in section 3.2.2 will be changed from "Structural Engineer / Seismic Engineer Specialist", to read "Structural Engineer / Seismic Engineer Specialist / Heritage Conservation Architect"

- That the table in section 3.4.1, SRE 3.2 Technical Rating will be revised to show the rating for the two projects of the Heritage Conservation Architect.

RESPONSE 10:

SRE 3.2.2

Add the following:

“Heritage Conservation Architect”
Present a maximum of two (2) projects where construction has reached substantial completion or has been completed within the last 15 years. Only the first 2 projects listed in sequence will receive consideration and any others will receive none as though not included.

The Proponent should clearly demonstrate experience pertinent to heritage conservation architectural design for a heritage building with load bearing unreinforced masonry."

SRE 3.4.1

Delete table SRE 3.2 Criterion in its entirety.

Replace with the following:

SRE 3.2 Criterion	Weight Factor	Rating	Weighted Rating
SRE 3.2.1: Achievements of Proponent on Projects	1.0	0 - 10	0 - 10
SRE 3.2.2: Achievements of key Sub-Consultants / Specialists			
Achievements of Heritage Conservation Architect 1.0		0 - 10	0 - 10
Achievements of Structural & Seismic Engineer	1.0	0 - 10	0 - 10
Achievements of Stone Conservator	0.5	0 - 10	0 - 5
Achievements of Metals Conservator	0.5	0 - 10	0 - 5
Achievements of Wood Conservator	0.5	0 - 10	0 - 5
SRE 3.2.3: Achievements of key Personnel on Projects			
Architect	0.5	0 - 10	0 - 5
Structural Engineer	1.0	0 - 10	0 - 10
Heritage Conservation Architect	1.0	0 - 10	0 - 10
Seismic Engineering Specialist	1.0	0 - 10	0 - 10
Stone Conservator	1.0	0 - 10	0 - 10
Metals Conservator	0.5	0 - 10	0 - 5
Wood Conservator	0.5	0 - 10	0 - 5
SRE 3.2 Technical Rating	**10.0**		**0 - 100**

QUESTION 11:
In section 3.3.4/3, we read: "Work Plan to be submitted as per the sample format provided in Appendix G. Excel file will be provided to Proponents." Please confirm when this Excel file will be provided to the proponents.

RESPONSE 11:
Please refer to item 1 of amendment no. 1.

QUESTION 12:
In the table in section SRE 3.2 Technical Rating, under SRE 3.2.3: Achievements of Key Personnel on Projects, we note that each discipline is written as singular. e.g.: Architect, Structural Engineer, etc. Is it the intention for the proponents to describe only one person per CV. or do the proponents have latitude to show more than one individual per discipline?

RESPONSE 12:
Proponents have the latitude to show more than one individual per discipline.

QUESTION 13:
How many projects should the Heritage Conservation Architect present in the context of SRE 3.2.2.

RESPONSE 13:
Two. Please refer to the answer to Question 10 above in this amendment.

QUESTION 14:
In the table in SRE 3.4.1, we read under “Specialists”:

- Achievements of Masonry, Structural, Seismic Engineer”

We wonder if this is really meant to read:

- Achievements of Structural Engineer, Seismic Engineer, Heritage Conservation Architect”

We note that Achievements of the Stone Conservator already appear on the table below, and therefore it would appear that the reference combining Masonry with Structural and Seismic Engineering may be duplication, while projects of the Heritage Conservation Architect are not presently given a weighting.

RESPONSE 14:
Please refer to the answer to Question 10 above in this amendment.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS SHALL REMAIN THE SAME.