

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving Public Works and Government
Services Canada/Réception des soumissions Travaux
publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada
800 Burrard Street, 2nd floor
800, rue Burrard, 2e étage
Vancouver
British Columbia
V6Z 0B9
Bid Fax: (604) 775-9381

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise
indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation
remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire,
les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Public Works and Government Services Canada -
Pacific Region
800 Burrard Street, 12th floor
800, rue Burrard, 12e étage
Vancouver
British C
V6Z 0B9

Title - Sujet Rock Bay Remediation Proj. Stage 3	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EZ899-142200/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 005
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client	Date 2014-02-25
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$PWY-026-7195	
File No. - N° de dossier PWY-3-36278 (026)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2014-03-10	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Pacific Standard Time PST
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Liu (PWY), Patty	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur pwy026
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (604) 775-6227 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (604) 775-6633
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: TC - Rock Bay, BC	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Amendment 005**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)**

Extension of Time for Proposals

Rock Bay Remediation Project - Stage 3
Victoria, BC

Solicitation No: EZ899-142200/A

Notice is hereby given that the time for reception of proposals previously due at 2:00 p.m. P.S.T. on 03 March 2014 is hereby extended to **2:00 p.m. P.S.T. on 10 March 2014.**

REPLACE 4.12.7 (a) with the following:

No reference to "PRICE" is to be included in the "TECHNICAL" portion of the submission.

(a) Provide **6 copies + 1 CD** of Part 1-Technical Component; and **1 copy** of Part 2 - Price Component, Price Proposal form

REPLACE 6.2.1 (a) with the following:**6.2.1 Requirement for Proposal Format**

(a) The following proposal format information should be implemented when preparing the Technical Component of the proposal:

- (1) Maximum number of pages including text and graphics: 60 pages all inclusive
- (2) Submit five (5) bound copies of the proposal + one (1) original + one (1) CD of the proposal
- (3) Minimum font size – 11 point; font types Times New Roman or equal
- (4) Minimum margins – 12 mm left, right, top, and bottom
- (5) Double-sided submissions are preferred
- (6) One (1) 'page – means one side of a 216mm x 279mm sheet of paper
- (7) The order of the proposals should follow the order established in the Request for Proposal SRE section

At 8.1 Submission Requirements - Check List Envelope One - Technical Component Submission, ADD one (1) CD of the Proposal

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question #1:

The various reports make references to historical documents. Will these documents be made available?

Answer #1:

No other documents will be made available that have not already been issued as part of this solicitation. The historical documents are either drafts that have been superseded by more recent documents, or are not available to PWGSC for distribution.

Question #2:

The RFP contains CPT sounding logs. However, some of the graphical scales are relatively large so it is difficult to get accurate and precise values of the measurements from the graphical logs. Will the digital CPT files be available to the successful bidder?

Answer #2:

The digital CPT files will be available to the successful Proponent.

Question #3:

Referring to section 1.17 Waterway Impact Requirements in specification 01 35 43 Environmental Procedures, please clarify the intent of the statement that Waterway Impact Requirements must meet the more stringent of section 1.17.2.1 BC Approved Water Quality Guidelines for Marine & Estuarine Aquatic Life water use or 1.17.2.3 CCME Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life. What criteria selects the use of one or the other of the referenced guidelines, or is the intent to develop a site specific criteria by using the more stringent criteria of both guidelines combined?

Answer #3:

All discharge, including that from the onsite Contaminated Wastewater Treatment Plant, must meet the more stringent of the two referenced criteria, with the exception of Napthalene which has a site-specific criteria (which is greater than the referenced criteria), as per 013543 1.17. No other site-specific criteria will be developed.

Question #4:

Data provided in the tender package indicates that there is significant risk associated with treating the potential for dissolved metals to the approved marine discharge criteria using commercially available water process technology. Please provide any additional data, reports and/or modeling studies to support the design of an onsite water treatment system.

Answer #4:

The groundwater at the Site is contaminated with cyanide, which will need to be treated by the Contaminated Wastewater Treatment Plant prior to discharge, as per 013513.43 1.8.1.6. Other groundwater Contaminants of Concern are identified in the Contract, including Appendix I "Compendium of Stage 3 Groundwater Quality and Quantity Investigations/Assessments, Rock Bay Remediation Project, Victoria Harbour, BC". No other information regarding groundwater quality is available.

Question #5:

The DFO Authorization incorporated into the RFP as Appendix B to the Specifications requires that all work related to the cofferdam and removal of contaminated sediments must conform to the mitigative conditions outlined by Hemmera Envirochem, Inc. in the report dated October 2003. We respectfully request that this report be provided as it is not possible for a bidder to plan for compliance with specific requirements and provisions that have not been provided.

Answer #5:

All of the mitigative requirements relevant to the Work have been incorporated into the Contract. The DFO Authorization requires additional mitigative requirements which are not part of the Work. A copy of the Hemmera Envirochem Inc report dated 2003Oct will be made available to the successful Proponent.

Question #6:

How much of the sand seam (cyanide in groundwater) area shown in blue on Drawings 24 and 25 is required to be excavated and sealed? All of it, or just that portion needed to install the seal?

Answer #6:

All of the soil identified as "sand seam (cyanide in groundwater)" shown in blue is considered part of the "Excavation Extents", as per Drawings 24 and 25, and therefore all of this soil is required to be excavated. Not all of this excavated soil needs to be replaced by the sand seam seal, which is only required to seal the southern boundary (to prevent offsite migration to recontaminate the Site), as per 312333.01 3.16.

Question #7:

The sand seam seal cross section (Section I-VII), Drawing 10, illustrates the silty sand to a depth of approximately -11m geodetic, which is a discrepancy from Drawing 25 and 26 which shows that the sand seam does not extend past -8m geodetic. Which of the drawings are correct? This 3m deep differential, is a significant impact on methodology, cost and schedule.

Answer #7:

The unit identified in the cross-section in Drawing 10 does not necessarily represent the sand seam. The sand seam excavation is accurately shown in the plan views eg Drawing 25. The excavation depths the Contractor is required to achieve are shown in the plan drawings as the "Excavation Extents".

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.