

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC
11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0A1/Noyau 0A1
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Informatics Professional Services - EL
Division/Services professionnels en informatique -
division EL
4C2, Place du Portage
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet SYSTEMS & WEB SUPPORT	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EP887-141960/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 012
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20141960	Date 2014-05-06
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$EL-609-26872	
File No. - N° de dossier 609el.EP887-141960	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2014-05-14	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Bastien, Josée	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 609el
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-6770 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This Amendment number 012 is raised to respond to the following vendors questions:

Question1:

According to the PWGSC TBIPS website (<http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/app-acq/sptb-tbps/categories-eng.html>) the specialties for the Programmer/Analyst category do not include PeopleSoft and SAP. These specialties are listed under **the ERP Programmer Analyst category**. Would the Crown please consider amending the RFP to include the ERP Programmer Analyst in the list of resource categories? If not, please confirm the ERP Programmer Analysts required for this project will be requested under the ERP Technical Analyst and/or the ERP Systems Analyst.

Answer 1:

The category experience required for this solicitation is listed within APPENDIX C TO ANNEX A- RESOURCES ASSESSMENT CRITERIA. Please refer to ADDITIONAL KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERIENCE REQUIREMENTS h) and its sub-points in their entirety.

Question 2:

When the RFP was originally released it was clear that the Crown was looking for bidders who were experienced with implementing PeopleSoft and SAP on large scale projects. However, as the requirements have been changed through a number of amendments, it appears as though the Crown is now asking for only the most experienced and expensive resources to be proposed, without a requirement for the Firm or the resources to have successful end-to-end ERP implementation experience. Will the Crown please provide insight to bidders on what has occurred in the last two months to completely change the Crown's requirement?

Answer 2:

The requirement remains the same – the Crown is seeking experienced personnel in the categories and with the knowledge and experience listed in APPENDIX C TO ANNEX A on an “as and when requested” basis. The SOW states the requirement objective.

Question 3:

Will the Crown please clarify for bidders what the overall objectives are with this solicitation going out to market? Through the recent amendments released, it appears that the Crown is no longer looking to undertake SAP implementations as was the case with the originally released RFP requirements. Is the Crown now looking for a staff augmentation vehicle or a Solution Implementation? Is the Crown interested and in need of finding firms that can provide resources with experience delivering solutions? If so, a vehicle that focuses on tasks was utilized and we recommend that the RFP be cancelled and reissued under the SBIPS vehicle.

Answer 3:

The requirement remains the same. Please refer to the SOW section 2. Requirement.

Question 4 :

Given the time delay in the closing date (original closing date was February 25), is the Crown now allowing newly qualified TBIPS suppliers on the bidders list since March 2014? If so, please provide the list of suppliers invited to respond to this requirement.

Answer 4:

Only TBIPS SA Holders currently holding a TBIPS SA for Tier 2 in the National Capital Region under the EN578-055605/E series of SAs are eligible to compete.

Question 5:

The removal of the level 2 resource categories will make it increasingly difficult for our firm to be able to submit a financially viable proposal; we request that the Crown revert back to the originally requested Level 2 and Level 3 resources. We also wish to resubmit our request to have Level 1 resources included:

- *Currently all of the roles in the RFP are for Level 2 and Level 3 resources. Based on the scope of work, we would expect that activities within specific resource categories could be delivered by resources with less experience (i.e. Level 1). To allow the work to be delivered as efficiently and economically as possible, would the Crown consider adding Level 1 roles to the following specific resource categories: ERP Functional Analyst, ERP Technical Analyst, Business Architect, Business Transformation Architect, Business Process Re-Engineering Consultant, Change Management Consultant, and Risk Management Specialist?*

Answer 5:

Only Level 3 resources will be considered.

Question 6:

If the solicitation is not cancelled, due to the significant change in the rated requirements (Amendment 11), and the financial evaluations (Amendment 10), would the Crown consider providing a date extension to bidders to revise their proposal and have the needed internal discussions to evaluate the feasibility of submitting a proposal?

Answer 6:

No.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.