

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Réception des soumissions - TPSGC / Bid Receiving
- PWGSC
1550, Avenue d'Estimauville
1550, D'Estimauville Avenue
Québec
Québec
G1J 0C7

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
TPSGC/PWGSC
601-1550, Avenue d'Estimauville
Québec
Québec
G1J 0C7

Title - Sujet EO System characterization capacity	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W7701-145745/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 002
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W7701-14-5745	Date 2014-05-06
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$QCN-014-15901	
File No. - N° de dossier QCN-3-36199 (014)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2014-05-26	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Heure Avancée de l'Est HAE
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Lemay, Hélène	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur qcn014
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (418) 649-2974 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (418) 648-2209
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: R & D POUR LA DÉFENSE CANADA - VALCARTIER BATIMENT 53 2459 BOUL. PIE XI NORD QUÉBEC Québec G3J1X5 Canada	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée Voir doc.	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

W7701-145745/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

002

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

qcn014

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

W7701-14-5745

File No. - N° du dossier

QCN-3-36199

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

TITLE : Characterization of Electro-Optical (EO) Systems

AMENDMENT 002

- Questions and answers 10 to 13;
 - Modification to the Request for Proposals (RFP).
-

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS 10 to 13

"At Annex B of the RFP W7701-145745/A Characterization of electro-optical (EO) systems, the bidder must complete two arrays: one for the "Key Proposed Resources" and a second table for the "Resource Categories."

Q10: Does the "key resources" described in the first table ("Key Proposed Resources ") must be repeated in the table "Resources Categories"?"

A10: The first table named key resources. It is understood that the training of key resources must be found in one or the other categories of resources. These were built in order to meet the training needs for the performance of tasks. By cons, key resources presented in the first table for the same training can have a different rate from the one shown in the second table.

Q11: Tell otherwise, is that the table "Resources Categories" should include all resources ("keys" and "other") or only "others"?

A11: The table of categories of resources includes all training that we considered necessary for the performance of tasks. Key resources must have one of these courses. By cons, as key resources are identified, their experience levels are influencing their rates.

Q12: In the latter case, it could happen that one of the rows in the table "Resources Categories", is found empty because the resource would find the first table. Is this acceptable? "

A12: No, the second table must be completely filled . It becomes a base price that will be used when a resource will be used to support a key resource.

"On page 63 and 64 of 70 of the French version of the RFP W7701 - 145745 / Characterization of electro-optical (EO) systems, in item 3.3, Capacity characterization of UV sensors / Visible maximum score is indicated 27 and the minimum score of 18.

However, one point is awarded for each measured parameter (5 parameters in total) for 2 resources i.e. 10 points in total.

To this is added 1 point for each parameter analyzed (5 parameters in total) for one resource i.e. 5 points total.

Finally it gives up 4 pts. for the affected resource b (analyzed) for more than 12 months experience (b only) i.e. 4 points total.

The sum of points seems to indicate a maximum of 19 points and not 27 as stated in the SOW.

Q13: Could you clarify the wording of item 3.3, the maximum number of point and the minimum number of points?

A13: There is an omission to the criterion 3.3, we should read (as in 3.2) :

Points will be added in a) and b) the number of months of experience of a resource assigned...

This correction will totalise 27 pts with a minimum of 18 pts as in 3.2.

See modification to the RFP below

Modification to the RFP:

Please make the following changes to item 3.3 of Attachment 2 "mandatory and Point Rated Technical criteria";

« ... Points will be added in a) and b) based on the number of months of experience of a resource assigned to a given activity (see Notes 1 and 2) in accordance with the following list:... »

***** All the other clauses and conditions remain unchanged *****