

**RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

**Bid Receiving
PWGSC
33 City Centre Drive
Suite 480
Mississauga
Ontario
L5B 2N5
Bid Fax: (905) 615-2095**

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Regional Individual Standing Offer (RISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle régionale (OCIR)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Public Works and Government Services Canada
Ontario Region
33 City Centre Drive
Suite 480
Mississauga
Ontario
L5B 2N5

Title - Sujet Environmental Services		
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EQ447-141528/A		Date 2014-05-21
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client EQ447-141528		Amendment No. - N° modif. 005
File No. - N° de dossier TOR-3-36210 (304)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME	
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$TOR-304-6588		
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale		2014-04-24
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2014-06-04		Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Shaw, Marian		Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur tor304
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (905) 615-2065 ()		FAX No. - N° de FAX (905) 615-2060
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée		
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: See Herein		
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.		

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required Accusé de réception requis	Yes - Oui <input type="checkbox"/>	No - Non <input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

EQ447-141528/A

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

EQ447-141528

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

005

File No. - N° du dossier

TOR-3-36210

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

tor304

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

See attached document

Amendment No. 005 is being issued to make the following revisions to the RFSO and provide answers to bidders' questions received to date.

At TABLE OF CONTENTS, List of Annexes:

ADD: ANNEX G – EVALUATION CRITERIA.

At Annexes:

ADD: ANNEX G – EVALUATION CRITERIA, attached.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

- Q1. Re Form4, AnnexD:
If the maximum number of pages per form is 2 per person, is the total number of pages per stream 8 (and not 4 as stated in the Request)?
- A1. Yes. See RFSO Amendment 003, A10.
- Q2. For evaluation of Form 3B, Annex D - Qualifications of Personnel, what weighting will be assigned to accreditation vs. education and experience? For example, if we propose an individual for the Intermediate Professional category who has a B.Sc. degree and more than 5 years relevant experience, but no professional accreditation, how would this affect our scoring?
- A2. Education 33.3%; Accreditation 16.7%; Experience 50%.
- Q3. On Annex D, Form 1C "Team Approach and Management of Services", reference is made to "RSI. 4 of the Required Services". This RS 1.4 is not in the RFP package. Please provide same, or otherwise clarify.
- A3. See RFSO Amendment 004, A7.
- Q4. Re Form 4, Annex D:
"Experience - 5 Relevant Projects" - Could you please clarify what information is to be provided for employer's name, dates employed and responsibilities. Is employer meant to be the key person's employer at the time of the project? Are responsibilities the key person's responsibilities with the company or responsibilities on the project?
- A4. For the experience related to the **5 relevant projects** the employer's name refers to the key person's employer at the time of the project. The responsibilities refers to the key person's responsibilities specifically on that project.
- Q5. On page 8 of the PDF version of the RFP, item 1. Offer Preparation Instructions, sub-item 2) requests printing in duplex format however in some locations in the RFP it is specified that forms are to be completed in a single-sided format. Please confirm that single-sided printing when specified in the RFP will not result in a deduction or disqualification, and that inadvertently printing in duplex when single-sided printing has been requested will not result in a deduction or disqualification.
- A5. References to "single-sided" on the RFSO Annex D forms means the information requested must be limited to one side of an 8.5"x11" piece of paper. For printing of the RFSO Annex "D" forms all forms must be single-sided. As per the RFSO Part 4 - 1.1.1, 1. "Consequences of non compliance: any pages which extend beyond the page limitation as specified and any other

attachments will be extracted from the offer and will not be forwarded to the PWGSC Evaluation Team members for evaluation."

Q6. Please advise on the following page limits and formats:

- a) Form 1B – specifies "PROVIDE (on one sheet of paper, single-sided 8.5" x 11)". This appears to be a requirement for the proponent to detail: the roles and responsibilities of all four key personnel; the firm's capability of providing required services including a description of the management plan to address roles and responsibilities, and quality, schedule, and budget control if subcontractors are used; and the assignment of the resources and availability of back-up personnel on one side of one (1) 8.5" x 11" sheet of paper. We respectfully point out that the amount of detailed information requested in Form 1B cannot be properly presented in the confines of one-side of one sheet of 8.5" x 11" paper. Please confirm that we are allowed as much space as reasonably required to present all of the detailed information requested in Form 1B.
- b) Form 1C – specifies "PROVIDE (on one sheet of paper, single-sided 8.5" x 11)". This appears to be a requirement for the proponent to detail: the firm's approach to responding to the individual call-ups; the firm's depth of resources; quality assurance and quality control techniques; budget control techniques; schedule control techniques; and how the team intends to meet the 'Project Response Time Requirements' defined in RS 1.4 of the Required Services on one side of one 8.5" x 11" sheet of paper. We respectfully point out that the detailed information requested in Form 1C cannot be properly presented in the confines of one-side of one sheet of 8.5" x 11" paper. Please confirm that we are allowed as much space as reasonably required to present all of the detailed information requested in Form 1C.
- c) Form 2 – specifies one (1) page per project for a total of 10 sheets of paper, single-sided. We respectfully request permission to present each project on 2 pages which will be one sheet of 8.5" x 11" paper per project, printed on both sides of that sheet of paper (duplex).

A6. a) Information requested on Form 1B must be limited to one side of an 8.5"x11" piece of paper. Please refer to A5 above.

b) Information requested on Form 1C must be limited to one side of an 8.5"x11" piece of paper. Please refer to A5 above.

c) Information requested on Form 2 must be limited to one side of an 8.5"x11" piece of paper for each project. Please refer to A5 above.

Q7. In Form 3B which is completed for each person named in the Corporate Capability Matrix, we understand that we are to provide a complete resume and relevant work history in a specified format for all of the named personnel, excluding the 4 key personnel that will be evaluated under Form 4. Please confirm our understanding that there is no limit to the length of each Form 3B.

A7. Information requested on Form 3B must be limited to one side of an 8.5"x11" piece of paper for each person named. Please refer to A5 above and RFSO Amendment No. 003, A9.

Q8. On Form 4, it states "One form per person/specialization. Maximum 2 pages (8.5" x 11") one side of page. 4 pages total per stream." We understand that one Form 4 is required for each of the key personnel identified for the stream being bid on. Please clarify the page limit. Is it:

- (a) 1 page per key person for a maximum of 4 sheets of 8.5" x 11" paper printed single-sided
OR
- (b) 2 pages per key person for a maximum of 8 sheets of 8.5" x 11" paper printed single-sided

OR

(c) ½ page per person for a maximum of 2 sheets of 8.5" x 11" paper printed single-sided?

Keeping in mind that we are to provide a full resume with all relevant work history plus details on roles and responsibilities for five (5) relevant projects for each of the four (4) key personnel, we respectfully request an allowance of two (2) sheets of 8.5" x 11" paper per person printed on both sides of each sheet for a maximum of 8 pieces of paper printed duplex.

- A8. Information requested on Form 4 is limited to a total of two pages for each person named (i.e. 8 sheets of 8.5"x11" paper printed single-sided.) Please refer to A5 above and RFSO Amendment No. 003, A10.
- Q9. Can PWGSC confirm the page limits for Form 4. The RFP states "One form per person/specialization. Maximum 2 pages (8.5" x 11") one side of page. 4 pages total per stream." Does this mean 2 pages per resume, maximum 4 two-sided pages?
- A9. No. Please see RFSO Amendment No 003, A10 as well as A5 and A8 above. Page limits for Form 4 are two pages for each person named, maximum 8 single-sided pages.
- Q10. Given that RSW or CSP Certification is uncommon (24 and 5 individuals in Ontario respectively) and not a regulatory or licensure requirement, can PWGSC please provide guidance on the equivalent experience that will be accepted in place of this certification for the Specification Writer?
- A10. It is not mandatory that the Specification Writer be RSW or CSP certified. See RFSO Annex "A" 8. Federal Reporting requirements and RFSO Part 4 – 3.(d). Experience will not be accepted in place of certification. Individuals with certification may receive additional points.
- Q11. Form 3B – Can PWGSC advise if there is a page limit for this form?
- A11. See RFSO Amendment 003, A9 as well as A5 above and A7 above.
- Q12. Form 3B – Form 4 includes a requirement for listing of 5 relevant projects. However, this requirement is not evident in Form 3B. Can PWGSC confirm whether a listing of relevant projects is or is not required in Form 3B?
- A12. A listing of relevant projects is not required in Form 3B.
- Q13. Form 4 – In the last paragraph under "Experience", there is a requirement to provide the "employer's name" for each relevant project. Can PWGSC confirm that this requirement is for the "employer's name" versus the "client's name"?
- A13. Employer's name. Please also refer to A4 above.
- Q14. In the submission package for RFSO #EQ447-141528/A there are six (6) different streams for required works, one of which is titled "Environmental Site Assessments, Risk Assessments and Site Remediation/Risk Management Measures" (Stream 3).

The second RFSO (RFSO# EQ447-141642/A) however appears to be very similar in terms of both sharing and only focusing on Stream 3 (Environmental Site Assessments, Risk Assessments and Site Remediation/Risk Management Measures). A review of the Stream 3 criteria, expected expenditure and # of RFSOs for each RFSO shows the information for Stream 3 is identical in both packages. The closing dates for each are different however, hence the confusion.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
EQ447-141528/A
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
EQ447-141528

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
005
File No. - N° du dossier
TOR-3-36210

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
tor304
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

- RFSO #EQ447-141528/A closing date – June 4, 2014
- RFSO #EQ447-141642/A closing date – May 23, 2014

I just want to confirm that these two RFSOs are separate entities, rather than RFSO #EQ447-141642/A only focusing on Stream 3 and therefore being a more concise version of RFSO #EQ447-141528/A.

A14. The 2 RFSO's are separate with separate closing dates.

RFSO #EQ447-141528/A. This procurement covers Streams 1 through 6.

RFSO #EQ447-141642/A. This procurement has been set aside under the federal government's Set-Aside Program for Aboriginal Business (SPAB) as stated in the Notice and RFSO documents. This procurement covers Stream 3 only.

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

RISO EVALUATION CRITERIA - 2014
STREAM 1: ECOLOGICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS

Firm's Name:

1. TEAM APPROACH AND MANAGEMENT SERVICES	Point
Organization Chart (Form 1A)	
Identifies Key and backup personnel	5
Identifies roles assigned to each Team member	5
Identifies office location of Team member	5
Identifies relationships and integration	5
	/20
Capacity and Resource Management (Form 1B)	
Assigned roles and responsibilities (including Principle Contact)	10
Roles and responsibilities described for each Team member	10
Provisional Service Inhouse and backup Plan	10
Capacity to complete numerous projects simultaneously	10
	/40
Approach to Call-up (Form 1C)	
i) Call-ups	
Demonstrate understanding of the Call-up contracting process	10
Response to Call-up	
Able to provide services where required	
Project execution- <i>able to provide types of services required</i>	
ii) QA/QC	
Protocol is identified (ISO 9000)	10
Deliverables appropriate to the requirements of the project	
Manage workload for this project within proponent's overall forecasted workload	
iii) Budget & Time	
Management and coordination of Project Team to ensure tasks completed on time	20
Management and coordination of Project Team to ensure tasks completed on budget	
	/40
Maximum Points	/100

2. PAST RELEVANT EXPERIENCE OF THE FIRM (Form 2)	Point
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC/ federal type projects	2 2 1 2 1 1 3 3 /15
- environmental assessments of projects under the former CEAA and/or CEAA 2012	
- biological surveys	
- ecological land classifications	
- development of mitigation measures for the protection of land, water, air and wildlife	
- development of monitoring plans for the protection of land, water, air and wildlife	
- site supervision for monitoring adherence to environmental protection measures as well as implementation of mitigation measures	
Projects with stakeholder involvement (federal and provincial agencies, public and First Nations)	
Projects with multi-jurisdictional aspects	
Range of services	
- Preparing and/or reviewing reports under CEAA 2012	1
- Preparing and/or reviewing environmental effects mitigation measures, monitoring plans and follow-up programs	1
- Preparing and/or reviewing environmental protection plans; sediment and erosion control plans; storm water management plans; spill response plans; wildlife habitat compensation plans and other.	1
- Providing advice and recommendations regarding CEAA 2012, SARA, CEPA, FA and other federal legislation	1
- Conducting archeological assessments as well as other heritage/cultural assessments	1
- Conducting socio-economic assessments related to project activities	1
- Undertaking Strategic Environmental Assessments	1
- Preparing training and presentation materials that pertain to environmental assessment and related legislation (including CEAA, SARA, CEPA, FA) for delivery to federal employees and/or for information purposes	2
- Field biological surveys to compile baseline and comparative data, ie. biological inventory	2
- Ecological land classification	2
- Habitat assessments including aquatic, terrestrial, avian habitat and species-at-risk	2
- Water and air quality (including noise) surveys	2
- Other studies related to the natural environment	2
- Developing management plans including forest/wildlife management plans or other plans related to the natural environment	2
- Developing and/or reviewing species-at-risk management and recovery plans	2
- Undertaking consultation and coordination with federal, provincial, regional and local jurisdictions, aboriginal groups and other stakeholders (includes preparation of communication materials, preparing and holding public information sessions)	2
	/25
Location of experience	
Experience working on projects in Ontario	9
Experience working on projects in rural, northern and urban areas	6
	/15
Provision of Service	
Experience working on federal government projects	4
Experience working on projects with Ontario regulations	4
Variety of federal clients	3
\$ values	4
Recent work: last 5 years (2009-2013)	5
Relevant to PWGSC work	3
	2
Familiarity with federal guidance, criteria and methods (e.g. CCME, NCSCS, Health Canada Guidance, Site Closure Tool etc.)	2
	/25

References <i>based on reference check (random selection of 2 from projects submitted)</i>	20
	/20
Maximum Points	/100

3. CORPORATE CAPABILITY (Form 3A) Evaluation for Senior Contact representing the Firm, Senior Professional, Intermediate Professional, Junior Professional	Point
Education Graduate degree Undergraduate degree No degree	(not additive) 2 1 0
	/2
Accreditation recognized accreditation or certification <i>Full Accreditation is expected for Senior and Intermediate Professionals.</i> <i>"In Training" will be accepted for Junior Professional and Technologist categories only.</i>	(not additive) 1
	/1
Experience related to the stream minimum + 3 years or more = minimum < minimum	(not additive) 3 2 0
	/3
Max Each Personnel	/6
Evaluation for Senior Technologist, Technologist	Point
Education Degree Diploma No Diploma	(not additive) 2 1 0
	/2
Accreditation recognized accreditation or certification <i>Full Accreditation is expected for Senior and Intermediate Professionals.</i> <i>"In Training" will be accepted for Junior Professional and Technologist categories only.</i>	(not additive) 1
	/1
Experience related to the stream minimum + 3 years or more = minimum < minimum	(not additive) 3 2 0
	/3
Max Each Personnel	/6
Total Maximum Points (6 x 21)	/126

4. DETAILED QUALIFICATIONS OF KEY PERSONNEL* (Form 4) Personnel #1 (evaluation for Senior Project Manager)	Point
Education Graduate degree Undergraduate degree No degree	(not additive) 2 1 0
Accreditation recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream 13+ 10 <10	(not additive) 3 2 0
	/3
Relevant projects Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement Other federal projects & degree of involvement Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	4 3 3
	/10
Program Management Responsibilities or Technical Expertise (role & involvement) Integration Scope of Work Time Cost Human Resources Communications Project risk Environmental Protection	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	/15
Max Each Personnel	/31

Personnel #2 (evaluation for Senior Aquatic Biologist)	Point
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
13+	3
10	2
<10	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	3
	/10
Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Preparation of field study plan	3
Diverse species knowledge	3
Potential Impact identification/mitigation measures	3
Development of Monitoring plans	3
Knowledge of multi-jurisdictional regulations	3
	/15
Max Each Personnel	/31
Personnel #3 (evaluation for Senior Environmental Assessment Specialist)	Point
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
13+	3
10	2
<10	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	3
	/10
Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Identification of valued ecosystem components	3
Evaluation of potential environmental effects	3
Development of mitigation measures	3
Stakeholder coordination	3
Development of environmental protection plans	3
	/15
Max each Personnel	/31
Personnel #4 (evaluation for Intermediate Project Manager)	Point
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
8+	3
5	2
<5	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	3
	/10
Program Management Responsibilities or Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Integration	1
Scope of Work	2
Time	2
Cost	2
Human Resources	2
Communications	2
Project risk	2
Environmental Protection	2
	/15
Max Each Personnel	/31

Total Maximum Points (31 x 4)	/124
*Key personnel must be four unique individuals and cannot be repeated in this section	
Total Maximum Points (Parts 1,2,3 & 4)	/450

RISO EVALUATION CRITERIA - 2014
STREAM 2: ENVIRONMENTAL AUDITS

Firm's Name:

1. TEAM APPROACH AND MANAGEMENT SERVICES	Point
Organization Chart (Form 1A)	
Identifies Key and backup personnel	5
Identifies roles assigned to each Team member	5
Identifies office location of Team member	5
Identifies relationships and integration	5
	/20
Capacity and Resource Management (Form 1B)	
Assigned roles and responsibilities (including Principle Contact)	10
Roles and responsibilities described for each Team member	10
Provisional Service In-house and backup Plan	10
Capacity to complete numerous projects simultaneously	10
	/40
Approach to Call-up (Form 1C)	
i) Call-ups	
Demonstrate understanding of the Call-up contracting process	10
Response to Call-up	
Able to provide services where required	
Project execution- <i>able to provide types of services required</i>	
ii) QA/QC	
Protocol is identified (ISO 9000)	10
Deliverables appropriate to the requirements of the project	
Manage workload for this project within proponent's overall forecasted workload	
iii) Budget & Time	
Management and coordination of Project Team to ensure tasks completed on time	20
Management and coordination of Project Team to ensure tasks completed on budget	
	/40
Maximum Points	/100

2. PAST RELEVANT EXPERIENCE OF THE FIRM (Form 2)	Point
Relevant projects	
Typical federal type projects:	
-General facilities audits (covering range of issues)	2
-Storage tanks and storage tank systems audits	1
-Waste water management audits	1
-Hazardous materials and waste management audits	1
-Non-hazardous materials and waste management audits	1
-Indoor air quality audits	1
-Air emission audits	1
-Halocarbons and ozone depleting substances audits	1
Projects with federal coordination (involvement of other federal departments)	3
Projects with federal/provincial overlap	3
	/15
Range of services	
Air emissions	1
Halocarbons and ozone depleting substances	2
Asbestos containing materials and energy management	2
Storage tanks and storage tank systems	2
Environmental emergency response plans	2
Environmental management systems	2
Non-hazardous waste management	2
Hazardous materials and waste management	2
Indoor working environment/ air quality	2
Wastewater management (sanitary and storm sewers)	2
Water management (quality and consumption)	2
Integrated pest management	2
Chlorinated solvents	2
	/25
Location of experience	
Experience working on projects in Ontario	9
Experience working on projects in rural, northern and urban areas	3
Experience working on projects combining federal and Ontario regulations	3
	/15
Provision of Service	
Experience working on federal government projects	4
Experience working on projects with Ontario regulations	4
Variety of federal clients	3
\$ values	4
Recent work: last 5 years (2009-2013)	5
Relevant to PWGSC work	3
	2
Familiarity with federal guidance, criteria and methods (e.g. CCME, NCSCS, Health Canada Guidance, Site Closure Tool etc.)	
	/25

References	
<i>based on reference check (random selection of 2 from projects submitted)</i>	20
	/20
Maximum Points	/100

3. CORPORATE CAPABILITY (Form 3A)	Point
Evaluation for Senior Contact representing the Firm, Senior Professional, Intermediate Professional, Junior Professional	
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
	/2
Accreditation	(not additive)
recognized accreditation or certification	1
<i>Full Accreditation is expected for Senior and Intermediate Professionals.</i>	
<i>"In Training" will be accepted for Junior Professional and Technologist categories only.</i>	
	/1
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
minimum + 3 years or more	3
= minimum	2
< minimum	0
	/3
Max Each Personnel	/6
Evaluation for Senior Technologist, Technologist	Point
Education	(not additive)
Degree	2
Diploma	1
No Diploma	0
	/2
Accreditation	(not additive)
recognized accreditation or certification	1
<i>Full Accreditation is expected for Senior and Intermediate Professionals.</i>	
<i>"In Training" will be accepted for Junior Professional and Technologist categories only.</i>	
	/1
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
minimum + 3 years or more	3
= minimum	2
< minimum	0
	/3
Max Each Personnel	/6
Total Maximum Points (6 x 21)	/126

4. DETAILED QUALIFICATIONS OF KEY PERSONNEL* (Form 4)	Point
Personnel #1 (evaluation for Senior Project Manager)	
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
13+	3
10	2
<10	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	3
	/10
Program Management Responsibilities or Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Integration	1
Scope of Work	2
Time	2
Cost	2
Human Resources	2
Communications	2
Project risk	2
Environmental Protection	2
	/15
Max Each Personnel	/31

Personnel #2 (evaluation for Senior Env. Audit Scientist/ Analyst/ Engineer)	
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
13+	3
10	2
<10	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	3
	/10
Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Planning	3
Design	3
Implementation	3
Reporting	3
Assessment and Remediation techniques	3
	/15
Max each Personnel	/31
Personnel #3 (evaluation for Certified Env. Auditor (ISO or CSA certified))	
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
13+	3
10	2
<10	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	3
	/10
Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Planning	3
Design	3
Implementation	3
Reporting	3
Assessment and Remediation techniques	3
	/15
Max each Personnel	/31
Personnel #4 (evaluation for Storage tank and storage tank systems expert)	
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
13+	3
10	2
<10	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	3
	/10
Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Planning	3
Design	3
Implementation	3
Reporting	3
Assessment and Remediation techniques	3
	/15
Max each Personnel	/31
Total Maximum Points (31 x 4)	
/124	

*Key personnel must be four unique individuals and cannot be repeated in this section

Total Maximum Points (Parts 1,2,3 & 4)
--

/450

RISO EVALUATION CRITERIA - 2014

STREAM 3: ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENTS, RISK ASSESSMENTS & SITE REMEDIATION/RISK MANAGEMENT MEASURES

Firm's Name:

1. TEAM APPROACH AND MANAGEMENT SERVICES	Point
Organization Chart (Form 1A)	
Identifies Key and backup personnel	5
Identifies roles assigned to each Team member	5
Identifies office location of Team member	5
Identifies relationships and integration	5
	/20
Capacity and Resource Management (Form 1B)	
Assigned roles and responsibilities (including Principle Contact)	10
Roles and responsibilities described for each Team member	10
Provisional Service In-house and backup Plan	10
Capacity to complete numerous projects simultaneously	10
	/40
Approach to Call-up (Form 1C)	
i) Call-ups	
Demonstrate understanding of the Call-up contracting process	10
Response to Call-up	
Able to provide services where required	
Project execution- <i>able to provide types of services required</i>	
ii) QA/QC	
Protocol is identified (ISO 9000)	10
Deliverables appropriate to the requirements of the project	
Manage workload for this project within proponent's overall forecasted workload	
iii) Budget & Time	
Management and coordination of Project Team to ensure tasks completed on time	20
Management and coordination of Project Team to ensure tasks completed on budget	
	/40
Maximum Points	/100

2. PAST RELEVANT EXPERIENCE OF THE FIRM (Form 2)	Point
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC / federal type projects	9
- Brownfields / contaminates sites	
- Real property transactions	
- Records of Site Conditions	
- Other	
Projects with stakeholder involvement (federal and provincial agencies, public and First Nations)	3
Projects with multi-jurisdictional aspects	3
	/15
Range of services	
Phase I ESA	2
Phase II ESA	3
Phase III ESA with remedial options analysis	4
Risk Assessments (Preliminary and Site Specific Human Health and	4
Developing Risk Management or Remediation Projects including preparing tender documentation with NMS Specifications	4
Supervision of Remediation projects	4
Long Term Monitoring	4
	/25
Location of experience	
Experience working on projects in Ontario	9
Experience working on projects in rural, northern and urban areas	6
	/15
Provision of Service	
Experience working on federal government projects	4
Experience working on projects with Ontario regulations	4
Variety of federal clients	3
\$ values	4
Recent work: last 5 years (2009-2013)	5
Relevant to PWGSC work	3
Familiarity with federal guidance, criteria and methods (e.g. CCME, NCSCS, Health Canada Guidance, Site Closure Tool etc.)	2
	/25
References	
<i>based on reference check (random selection of 2 from projects submitted)</i>	20
	/20
Maximum Points	/100

3. CORPORATE CAPABILITY (Form 3A) Evaluation for Senior Contact representing the Firm, Senior Professional, Intermediate Professional, Junior Professional	
	Point
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
	/2
Accreditation	(not additive)
recognized accreditation or certification	1
<i>Full Accreditation is expected for Senior and Intermediate Professionals.</i>	
<i>"In Training" will be accepted for Junior Professional and Technologist categories only.</i>	
	/1
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
minimum + 3 years or more	3
= minimum	2
< minimum	0
	/3
Max Each Personnel	/6
Evaluation for Senior Technologist, Technologist	
	Point
Education	(not additive)
Degree	2
Diploma	1
No Diploma	0
	/2
Accreditation	(not additive)
recognized accreditation or certification	1
<i>Full Accreditation is expected for Senior and Intermediate Professionals.</i>	
<i>"In Training" will be accepted for Junior Professional and Technologist categories only.</i>	
	/1
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
minimum + 3 years or more	3
= minimum	2
< minimum	0
	/3
Max Each Personnel	/6
Total Maximum Points (6 x 21)	/126

4. DETAILED QUALIFICATIONS OF KEY PERSONNEL* (Form 4) Personnel #1 (evaluation for Senior Project Manager)	
	Point
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
13+	3
10	2
<10	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	3
	/10
Program Management Responsibilities or Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Integration	1
Scope of Work	2
Time	2
Cost	2
Human Resources	2
Communications	2
Project risk	2
Environmental Protection	2
	/15
Max Each Personnel	/31

Personnel #2 (evaluation for a Senior Risk Assessor or Toxicologist)	Point
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
13+	3
10	2
<10	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	3
	/10
Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Problem Formulation	2
Risk Identification	2
Exposure Assessment	3
Hazard assessment including toxicity and dose response assessment	3
Uncertainty Analysis	3
Risk Management planning	2
	/15
Max Each Personnel	/31
Personnel #3 (evaluation for Senior Environmental Engineer/ Scientist)	Point
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
13+	3
10	2
<10	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	3
	/10
Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Planning	3
Design	3
Implementation	3
Reporting	3
Assessment and Remediation techniques	3
	/15
Max each Personnel	/31
Personnel #4 (evaluation for Intermediate Project Manager)	Point
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
8+	3
5	2
<5	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	3
	/10
Program Management Responsibilities or Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Integration	1
Scope of Work	2
Time	2
Cost	2
Human Resources	2
Communications	2
Project risk	2
Environmental Protection	2
	/15

Annex G

Max Each Personnel	/31
Total Maximum Points (31 x 4)	/124
*Key personnel must be four unique individuals and cannot be repeated in this section	
Total Maximum Points (Parts 1,2,3 & 4)	/450

RISO EVALUATION CRITERIA - 2014
STREAM 4: ASSESSMENT, ABATEMENT AND DISPOSAL OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Firm's Name:

1. TEAM APPROACH AND MANAGEMENT SERVICES	Point
Organization Chart (Form 1A)	
Identifies Key and backup personnel	5
Identifies roles assigned to each Team member	5
Identifies office location of Team member	5
Identifies relationships and integration	5
	/20
Capacity and Resource Management (Form 1B)	
Assigned roles and responsibilities (including Principle Contact)	10
Roles and responsibilities described for each Team member	10
Provisional Service In-house and backup Plan	10
Capacity to complete numerous projects simultaneously	10
	/40
Approach to Call-up (Form 1C)	
i) Call-ups	
Demonstrate understanding of the Call-up contracting process	10
Response to Call-up	
Able to provide services where required	
Project execution- <i>able to provide types of services required</i>	
ii) QA/QC	
Protocol is identified (ISO 9000)	10
Deliverables appropriate to the requirements of the project	
Manage workload for this project within proponent's overall forecasted workload	
iii) Budget & Time	
Management and coordination of Project Team to ensure tasks completed on time	20
Management and coordination of Project Team to ensure tasks completed on budget	
	/40
Maximum Points	/100

2. PAST EXPERIENCE OF THE FIRM	Point
Relevant projects	
Typical federal type projects	
-Designated Substances and Hazardous Materials Surveys	2
-Asbestos Assessment and Abatement	2
-Lead Assessment and Abatement	2
-Indoor Air Quality Assessment and Abatement (Mould)	1
-PCBs and Hazmat Assessment and Disposal	1
-Non-hazardous materials disposal	1
Projects that meet federal requirements	3
Projects that meet Ontario requirements	3
	/15
Range of services	
Asbestos assessment and abatement	4
Lead assessment and abatement	4
Indoor air quality assessment and abatement (including mould)	3
PCBs and Hazmat assessment and disposal	3
Non-hazardous waste management and disposal	3
NMS specifications preparation	3
Site supervision and monitoring	3
Contract management	2
	/25
Location of experience	
Experience working on projects in Ontario	9
Experience working on projects in rural, northern and urban areas	3
Experience working on projects combining federal and Ontario regulations	3
	/15
Provision of Service	
Experience working on federal government projects	4
Experience working on projects with Ontario regulations	4
Variety of federal clients	3
\$ values	4
Recent work: last 5 years (2009-2013)	5
Relevant to PWGSC work	3
Familiarity with federal guidance, criteria and methods (e.g. CCME, NCSCS, Health Canada Guidance, Site Closure Tool etc.)	2
	/25
References	
<i>based on reference check (random selection of 2 from projects submitted)</i>	20
	/20
Maximum Points	/100

3. CORPORATE CAPABILITY (Form 3A)	
Evaluation for Senior Contact representing the Firm, Senior Professional, Intermediate Professional, Junior Professional	Point
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
	/2
Accreditation	(not additive)
recognized accreditation or certification	1
<i>Full Accreditation is expected for Senior and Intermediate Professionals.</i>	
<i>"In Training" will be accepted for Junior Professional and Technologist categories only.</i>	
	/1
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
minimum + 3 years or more	3
= minimum	2
< minimum	0
	/3
Max Each Personnel	/6
Evaluation for Senior Technologist, Technologist	
Education	(not additive)
Degree	2
Diploma	1
No Diploma	0
	/2
Accreditation	(not additive)
recognized accreditation or certification	1
<i>Full Accreditation is expected for Senior and Intermediate Professionals.</i>	
<i>"In Training" will be accepted for Junior Professional and Technologist categories only.</i>	
	/1
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
minimum + 3 years or more	3
= minimum	2
< minimum	0
	/3
Max Each Personnel	/6
Total Maximum Points (6 x 21)	
/126	

4. DETAILED QUALIFICATIONS OF PERSONNEL* (Form 4)	
Personnel #1 (evaluation for Senior Contact)	Point
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
18+	3
15	2
<15	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects (relative to this stream) & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Projects in Ontario <i>meeting various legislative requirements</i>	3
	/10
Program Management Responsibilities or Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Integration	1
Scope of Work	2
Time	2
Cost	2
Human Resources	2
Communications	2
Project risk	2
Environmental Protection	2
	/15
Max Each Personnel	/31

Personnel #2 (evaluation for Senior Environmental Consultant - Certified Industrial Hygienist)		Point
Education		(not additive)
Graduate degree		2
Undergraduate degree		1
No degree		0
Accreditation		
recognized accreditation or certification		1
		/3
Experience related to the stream		(not additive)
13+		3
10		2
<10		0
		/3
Relevant projects		
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement		4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement		3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience		3
		/10
Program Management Responsibilities or Technical Expertise (role & involvement)		
Asbestos assessment and abatement		2
Lead assessment and abatement		2
PCBs and Hazmat assessment and disposal		2
Non-hazardous waste management and disposal		2
Site supervision and monitoring		2
Report Preparation/Review		2
Communication with PWGSC		1
Schedule and Budget Management		2
		/15
Max Each Personnel		/31
Personnel #3 (evaluation for Intermediate Environmental Consultant)		Point
Education		(not additive)
Graduate degree		2
Undergraduate degree		1
No degree		0
Accreditation		
recognized accreditation or certification		1
		/3
Experience related to the stream		(not additive)
8+		3
5		2
<5		0
		/3
Relevant projects		
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement		4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement		3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience		3
		/10
Technical Expertise (role & involvement)		
Asbestos assessment and abatement		3
Lead assessment and abatement		3
PCBs and Hazmat assessment and disposal		3
Report Preparation		3
Site supervision and monitoring		3
		/15
Max Each Personnel		/31
Personnel #4 (evaluation for Senior Environmental Technologist)		Point
Education		(not additive)
Degree (Graduate or Undergraduate)		2
College level Diploma or above		1
No degree		0
Accreditation		
recognized accreditation or certification		1
		/3
Experience related to the stream		(not additive)
13+		3
10		2
<10		0
		/3
Relevant projects		
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement		4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement		3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience		3
		/10
Technical Expertise (role & involvement)		
Asbestos assessment and abatement		3
Lead assessment and abatement		3
PCBs and Hazmat assessment and disposal		3
Report Preparation		3
Site supervision and monitoring		3
		/15
Max Each Personnel		/31
Total Maximum Points (31 x 4)		/124

*Key personnel must be four unique individuals and cannot be repeated in this section

Total Maximum Points (Parts 1,2,3 & 4)
--

/450

RISO EVALUATION CRITERIA - 2014
STREAM 5: WATER ASSESSMENT

Firm's Name:

1. TEAM APPROACH AND MANAGEMENT SERVICES	Point
Organization Chart (Form 1A)	
Identifies Key and backup personnel	5
Identifies roles assigned to each Team member	5
Identifies office location of Team member	5
Identifies relationships and integration	5
	/20
Capacity and Resource Management (Form 1B)	
Assigned roles and responsibilities (including Principle Contact)	10
Roles and responsibilities described for each Team member	10
Provisional Service In-house and backup Plan	10
Capacity to complete numerous projects simultaneously	10
	/40
Approach to Call-up (Form 1C)	
i) Call-ups	
Demonstrate understanding of the Call-up contracting process	10
Response to Call-up	
Able to provide services where required	
Project execution- <i>able to provide types of services required</i>	
ii) QA/QC	
Protocol is identified (ISO 9000)	10
Deliverables appropriate to the requirements of the project	
Manage workload for this project within proponent's overall forecasted workload	
iii) Budget & Time	
Management and coordination of Project Team to ensure tasks completed on time	20
Management and coordination of Project Team to ensure tasks completed on budget	
	/40
Maximum Points	/100

2. PAST RELEVANT EXPERIENCE OF THE FIRM (Form 2)	Point
Relevant projects	
Typical federal type projects	
- rural water quality assessments	2
- remote water quality assessments	2
- urban/municipal water quality assessments	2
- pump tests and aquifer characterization studies	2
- waste water audits	1
Projects with federal coordination (involvement of other federal departments)	3
Projects with federal/provincial overlap	3
	/15
Range of services	
Potable Water Sampling Programs	5
Well Inspections	3
Treatment System Inspections	3
Pump Tests	4
Aquifer Characterization Studies	4
Waste Water Sampling Programs	3
Water Audits (Buildings)	3
	/25
Location of experience	
Experience working on projects in Ontario	9
Experience working on projects in rural, northern and urban areas	3
Experience working on projects combining federal and Ontario regulations	3
	/15
Provision of Service	
Experience working on federal government projects	4
Experience working on projects with Ontario regulations	4
Variety of federal clients	3
\$ values	4
Recent work: last 5 years (2009-2013)	5
Relevant to PWGSC work	3
Familiarity with federal guidance, criteria and methods (e.g. CCME, NCSCS, Health Canada Guidance, Site Closure Tool etc.)	2
	/25
References	
<i>based on reference check (random selection of 2 from projects submitted)</i>	20
	/20
Maximum Points	/100

3. CORPORATE CAPABILITY (Form 3A)	
Evaluation for Senior Contact representing the Firm, Senior Professional, Intermediate Professional, Junior Professional	Point
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
	/2
Accreditation	(not additive)
recognized accreditation or certification	1
<i>Full Accreditation is expected for Senior and Intermediate Professionals.</i>	
<i>"In Training" will be accepted for Junior Professional and Technologist categories only.</i>	
	/1
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
minimum + 3 years or more	3
= minimum	2
< minimum	0
	/3
Max Each Personnel	/6
Evaluation for Senior Technologist, Technologist	
Education	Point
Degree	(not additive)
Diploma	2
No Diploma	1
	0
	/2
Accreditation	(not additive)
recognized accreditation or certification	1
<i>Full Accreditation is expected for Senior and Intermediate Professionals.</i>	
<i>"In Training" will be accepted for Junior Professional and Technologist categories only.</i>	
	/1
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
minimum + 3 years or more	3
= minimum	2
< minimum	0
	/3
Max Each Personnel	/6
Total Maximum Points (6 x 21)	/126

4. DETAILED QUALIFICATIONS OF KEY PERSONNEL* (Form 4)	
Personnel #1 (evaluation for Senior Project Manager)	Point
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
13+	3
10	2
<10	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	3
	/10
Program Management Responsibilities or Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Integration	1
Scope of Work	2
Time	2
Cost	2
Human Resources	2
Communications	2
Project risk	2
Environmental Protection	2
	/15
Max Each Personnel	/31

Personnel #2 (evaluation for Senior Env. Scientist/ Engineer)	Point
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
13+	3
10	2
<10	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	3
	/10
Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Planning	3
Design	3
Implementation	3
Reporting	3
Assessment and Remediation techniques	3
	/15
Max Each Personnel	/31
Personnel #3 (evaluation for senior Hydrogeologist)	Point
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
13+	3
10	2
<10	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	3
	/10
Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Planning	3
Design	3
Implementation	3
Reporting	3
Assessment and Remediation techniques	3
	/15
Max Each Personnel	/31
Personnel #4 (evaluation for senior Field Technician)	Point
Education	(not additive)
Degree (undergraduate or graduate)	2
College level Diploma or above	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
13+	3
10	2
<10	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	3
	/10
Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Planning	3
Design	3
Implementation	3
Reporting	3

Assessment and Remediation techniques	3
	/15
Max Each Personnel	/31
Total Maximum Points (31 x 4)	/124
*Key personnel must be four unique individuals and cannot be repeated in this section	
Total Maximum Points (Parts 1,2,3 & 4)	/450

RISO EVALUATION CRITERIA - 2014
STREAM 6: SUSTAINABILITY AND GREENING GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS

Firm's Name:

1. TEAM APPROACH AND MANAGEMENT SERVICES	Point
Organization Chart (Form 1A)	
Identifies Key and backup personnel	5
Identifies roles assigned to each Team member	5
Identifies office location of Team member	5
Identifies relationships and integration	5
	/20
Capacity and Resource Management (Form 1B)	
Assigned roles and responsibilities (including Principle Contact)	10
Roles and responsibilities described for each Team member	10
Provisional Service In-house and backup Plan	10
Capacity to complete numerous projects simultaneously	10
	/40
Approach to Call-up (Form 1C)	
i) Call-ups	
Demonstrate understanding of the Call-up contracting process	10
Response to Call-up	
Able to provide services where required	
Project execution- <i>able to provide types of services required</i>	
ii) QA/QC	
Protocol is identified (ISO 9000)	10
Deliverables appropriate to the requirements of the project	
Manage workload for this project within proponent's overall forecasted workload	
iii) Budget & Time	
Management and coordination of Project Team to ensure tasks completed on time	20
Management and coordination of Project Team to ensure tasks completed on budget	
	/40
Maximum Points	/100

2. PAST RELEVANT EXPERIENCE OF THE FIRM (Form 2)	Point
Relevant projects	
Typical federal type projects	2
-Energy efficiency and renewable Energy studies	
-BOMA BES/ LEED assessments	
-Indoor air quality assessments	
-Water management audits	
-Creating Environmental Management Systems	
-Sustainability studies	
Projects with federal coordination (involvement with other federal departments)	3
Projects with federal/provincial overlap	3
	/15
Range of services	
Indoor air quality	2
Greenhouse Gases/ carbon inventory	2
Energy Efficiency	2
Water Efficiency	2
BOMA BEST	3
LEED	3
Environmental Management Systems	3
Climate change adaptation	2
Construction, renovation and demolition waste management	2
Sustainable materials	2
Stakeholder engagement	2
	/25
Location of experience	
Experience working on projects in Ontario	9
Experience working on projects in rural, northern and urban areas	3
Experience working on projects combining federal and Ontario regulations	3
	/15
Provision of Service	
Experience working on federal government projects	4
Experience working on projects with Ontario regulations	4
Variety of federal clients	3
\$ values	4
Recent work: last 5 years (2009-2013)	5
Relevant to PWGSC work	3
Familiarity with federal guidance, criteria and methods (e.g. CCME, NCSCS, Health Canada Guidance, Site Closure Tool etc.)	2
	/25
References	
<i>based on reference check (random selection of 2 from projects submitted)</i>	20
	/20
Maximum Points	/100

3. CORPORATE CAPABILITY (Form 3A)	
Evaluation for Senior Contact representing the Firm, Senior Professional, Intermediate Professional, Junior Professional	Point
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
	/2
Accreditation	(not additive)
recognized accreditation or certification	1
<i>Full Accreditation is expected for Senior and Intermediate Professionals.</i>	
<i>"In Training" will be accepted for Junior Professional and Technologist categories only.</i>	
	/1
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
minimum + 3 years or more	3
= minimum	2
< minimum	0
	/3
Max Each Personnel	/6
Evaluation for Senior Technologist, Technologist	Point
Education	(not additive)
Degree	2
Diploma	1
No Diploma	0
	/2
Accreditation	(not additive)
recognized accreditation or certification	1
<i>Full Accreditation is expected for Senior and Intermediate Professionals.</i>	
<i>"In Training" will be accepted for Junior Professional and Technologist categories only.</i>	
	/1
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
minimum + 3 years or more	3
= minimum	2
< minimum	0
	/3
Max Each Personnel	/6
Total Maximum Points (6 x 21)	/126

4. DETAILED QUALIFICATIONS OF KEY PERSONNEL* (Form 4)	
Personnel #1 (evaluation for Senior Project Manager)	Point
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
13+	3
10	2
<10	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	3
	/10
Program Management Responsibilities or Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Integration	1
Scope of Work	2
Time	2
Cost	2
Human Resources	2
Communications	2
Project risk	2
Environmental Protection	2
	/15
Max Each Personnel	/31
Personnel #2 (evaluation for Senior Sustainable Building Specialist)	Point
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
13+	3
10	2

<10	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	3
	/10
Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Planning	3
Design	3
Implementation	3
Reporting	3
Third Party audits	3
	/15
Max Each Personnel	/31
Personnel #3 (evaluation for Intermediate Project Manager)	Point
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
8+	3
5	2
<5	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	3
	/10
Program Management Responsibilities or Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Integration	1
Scope of Work	2
Time	2
Cost	2
Human Resources	2
Communications	2
Project risk	2
Environmental Protection	2
	/15
Max Each Personnel	/31
Personnel #4 (evaluation for Intermediate LEED Certified professional)	Point
Education	(not additive)
Graduate degree	2
Undergraduate degree	1
No degree	0
Accreditation	
recognized accreditation or certification	1
	/3
Experience related to the stream	(not additive)
8+	3
5	2
<5	0
	/3
Relevant projects	
Typical PWGSC type projects & degree of involvement	4
Other federal projects & degree of involvement	3
Other level of jurisdiction in Ontario/ private sector experience	3
	/10
Technical Expertise (role & involvement)	
Planning	3
Design	3
Implementation	3
Reporting	3
Third Party Audits	3
	/15
Max Each Personnel	/31
Total Maximum Points (31 x 4)	/124
*Key personnel must be four unique individuals and cannot be repeated in this section	
Total Maximum Points (Parts 1,2,3 & 4)	/450