

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0A1 / Noyau 0A1
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Revision to a Request for Supply
Arrangement - Révision à une demande
pour un arrangement en matière
d'approvisionnement

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Electronic Information Technology Professional Srv
Div/Div des srv professionnels en technologie de
l'information électronique
11 Laurier St. / 11 rue Laurier
Portage III 0A1 - 1
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet SBIPS (Solutions Based Informatics)	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EN537-05IT01/H	Date 2014-06-18
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client EN537-05IT01	Amendment No. - N° modif. 003
File No. - N° de dossier 002ei.EN537-05IT01	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$SEI-002-27642	
Date of Original Request for Supply Arrangement 2014-05-15 Date de demande pour un arrangement en matière d'app. originale	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2014-06-30	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Lafrance, Sylvie	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 002ei
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (866) 930-4667 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-7827
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: As per contract	
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the solicitation. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de l'invitation.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required	Yes - Oui	No - Non
Accusé de réception requis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT 003

B: QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q 22:

XXX Canada Limited is requesting the following additional clarifications:

- 1- In regards to the “Grandfather Certification” in the DCC tool, specifically where it is indicated:

Instructions / Grandfather Certification (Current SBIPS Holder Only)

i.e.: M.1. Financial Declaration: ____ (initial)

It is impossible within the DCC tool to include the initials or an electronic signature as the system does not allow for it.

- (a) Please advise how we must complete this form electronically when the DCC tool does not allow for input in this section.
- (b) Do we complete in manual form only since Hard Copy of this form is required?

- 2- In regards to the “Holder Responsibility” Form in DCC tool, specifically where it is indicated:

i.e.: Signature _____

It is impossible within the DCC tool to include the initials or an electronic signature as the system does not allow for it.

- (a) Please advise how we must complete this form electronically when the DCC tool does not allow for input in this section.
- (b) Do we complete in manual form only since Hard Copy of this form is required?

- 3- In regards to the “Number of Months in Business” in the DCC tool, specifically where it is indicated “Supplier Information”

“Please enter the date established and the page reference # for the supplier. Should the page reference be unknown at this time, please indicate this by typing “Unknown” in the page reference field

Two items:

Established On (YYYY-MM-DD)

Page Reference:

- (a) Does “Established On” refer to when the corporation was established or does it refer to the Joint Venture?
- (b) If the Supplier is providing a Grandfather certification for this items, must we complete the two items indicated above in the DCC tool?

R 22:

1 a) Print, sign and submit this certification with the hard copy of your bid.

1 b) Yes.

2 a) Please refer to 1 a above.

2 b) Please refer to 1 b above.

3 a) It is the date of when the corporation was establish or the date of corporation of each member of the JV. Although this information is not mandatory, bidders are asked to input their information in order to populate the DCC.

3 b) Although this information is not mandatory, bidders are asked to input their information in order to populate the DCC.

Q 23:

We would are not changing anything for our SBIPS VOR refresh submission and would like to use the Grandfather Certification. I noticed our referenced projects previously submitted have the following end dates:

Project 1 End Date: December 31, 2009

Project 2 End Date: May 31, 2010

Project 3 End Date: March 31, 2011

Are we still okay to use the Grandfather Certification?

A 23:

By signing the Grandfather Certification you confirm that your firm meets all mandatories requirements as of the submission due date for the RFSA Re-Competition EN537-05IT01/H.

Q 24:

In Solicitation # EN537-05IT01/H we have the following question:

We have a question regarding the submission requirements for suppliers that are existing SBIPS providers. Can Canada clarify that the table 3.1.4 Submission grid below, Column 2- "Guideline for Existing SBIPS Holder who is NOT changing the Technical Offer already on file from the previous SBIPS Solicitation" is all that is required for the submission. Are there any additional submission requirements that must be met regarding the final response?

A 24:

Confirmed.

Q 25:

RE: Amendment #001, A10 #5

If a bidder is currently qualified in the DCC for certain streams and would like to add new streams, do bidders need to submit substantiating details for "newly offered" streams

only or are bidders required to submit substantiating details for “currently offered” and “newly offered”?

A 25:

Bidders need to submit substantiating details for ‘newly offered’ streams only.

Q 26:

1) Can PWGSC please clarify the M.3k requirement – it state that “The supplier must certify that the supplier’s contract represents 100% of each Project.” Can PWGSC please further explain what is meant by this? Does this mean that the bidder must have primed the project? Please explain.

2) Can PWGSC please clarify what information is required to certify M.3k? For example, is it sufficient to include a statement such as “Vendor name certifies that the contract represents 100% of this project summary”?

A 26:

- 1) According to M.3h Project Deliverables “The supplier must provide a description of the project deliverables completed by the supplier to provide the competed solution to the client”; M.3k is requesting suppliers to certify that the contract represents 100% of each project meaning that the contract delivered the complete solution.
- 2) Yes this is sufficient.

Q 27:

XXX is currently qualified against three SBIPS Service Streams, as indicated in a document we received from PWGSC on 16 May 2014. We hold a Supply Arrangement for the three Service Streams.

In the DCC we will indicate that the three Service Streams are “Currently Offered”.

It is our desire to indicate, by initialing against M.3, Experience and Expertise of the Supplier, on the Grandfather Certification printed from the DCC, that we are currently qualified by PWGSC. However, the Grandfather Certification reads “Suppliers that hold a Supply Arrangement as a result of the previous SBIPS solicitation EN537-05IT01/G and wish to rely on that information in response to this RFSA, should sign and submit in hard copy the following Grandfather Certification to the Bid Receiving Unit by the submission due date indicated in SBIPS RFSA page 1 and select “I agree” for this certification in DCC.”

Our company did not provide a proposal in response to RFSA EN537-05IT01/G. We did provide a proposal in response to RFSA EN537-05IT01/F.

As PWGSC considers us to be qualified against the three Service Streams, is it permissible for us to initial against M.3, Experience and Expertise of the Supplier, meaning that we wish to rely on the information we provided in response to RFSA EN537-05IT01/F?

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

EN537-05IT01/H

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

003

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

002ei

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

EN537-05IT01

File No. - N° du dossier

002eiEN537-05IT01

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

A 27:

All SBIPS Holders agreed to new Terms and Conditions under Solicitation EN537-05IT01/G.
The Grandfather Certification apply to your firm under EN537-05IT01/H.