

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Réception des soumissions - TPSGC / Bid Receiving
- PWGSC
1550, Avenue d'Estimauville
1550, D'Estimauville Avenue
Québec
Québec
G1J 0C7

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
TPSGC/PWGSC
601-1550, Avenue d'Estimauville
Québec
Québec
G1J 0C7

Title - Sujet Caractérisation de systèmes EO	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W7701-145744/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 003
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W7701-14-5744	Date 2014-06-20
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$QCN-014-15900	
File No. - N° de dossier QCL-3-36198 (029)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2014-07-07	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Heure Avancée de l'Est HAE
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Lemay, Hélène	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur qcl029
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (418) 649-2974 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (418) 648-2209
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: R & D POUR LA DÉFENSE CANADA - VALCARTIER BATIMENT 53 2459 BOUL. PIE XI NORD QUÉBEC Québec G3J1X5 Canada	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée Voir doc.	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

W7701-145744/A

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

W7701-14-5744

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

003

File No. - N° du dossier

QCL-3-36198

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

qc1029

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

TITLE : Development of Characterization Methodology for Electro-Optical (EO) Systems

AMENDMENT 003

The present amendment includes:

- Postponement of the solicitation closing date
- Questions and answers 14 to 20;
- Modifications to the Request for Proposals (RFP);

POSTPONEMENT OF THE SOLICITATION CLOSING DATE

Following Q&A #15:

Since the Security Requirement will be modified and a Security Requirements Check List (SRCL) is still not available, **the Solicitation closing date is postponed from June 30, 2014, to July 7, 2014**

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS 14 to 20

Q14: Item 6.1 a) «Software Development » : Since physicists and physics engineers are considered valid educational background under the Image Processing category, we believe and would like to request that the criterion for the Software Development resources is modified to also include physicists and physics engineers. “

A14: No, we believe that the development of software, the best educations must be centered on IT.

Q15: Will you publish the "Checklist of requirements for the safety" of the resulting contract should be in Annex E, or confirm that a "security safeguarding documents approved at the SECRET level issued by the Department of Canadian Industrial security Directorate, Public Works and Government Services Canada "is indeed required as outlined in section 3 of Part 7 - CONTRACT CLAUSES?

A15: The SCRL is not available yet, see postponement of the solicitation closing date above.

Q16: Concerning the mandatory criterion 4.1 (business experience), evaluation criteria do not cover all the cases (cases where exactly two projects have been completed).

A16: Indeed – see modification to the RFP below.

Q17: In addition, your criteria did not take into account the size of projects: a company that recorded five projects will receive \$ 200,000 6 pts (criterion a), while a company that recorded 2 projects of \$ 1,000,000 will have only 2 pts (criterion c).

Would it be better to have eg:

- a. At least 4 R & D projects with a total budget (the sum of projects) than 1 million: 6 pts
 - b. At least two R & D projects with a total budget (the sum of projects) greater than 0.5 million 4 pts
 - c. Less than 2 R & D projects with a total budget (the sum of projects) greater than 0.5 million: 2 pts
- or:
- a. More than 4 projects R & D or a total budget (the sum of projects) than 1 million: 6 pts
 - b. 2 more R & D projects with a total budget (the sum of projects) greater than 0.5 million 4 pts
 - c. 2 or fewer projects R & D with a total budget (the sum of projects) greater than 0.5 million: 2 pts

R17: We understand, but we also do not want 5 projects of 25k. It is important that projects present some challenge. In addition, a contractor who touched several R & D is desired

Q18: On page 42 of 70, at point "d. Image processing – academic", have you forgotten to consider the degree of computer engineering, since it seems more relevant than "electrical engineering" here?

A18: Yes, it is appropriate to add it – see modification to the RFP below.

Q19: Page 41/70 (French version) Resource Class A (Software Development). For A.1 and A.2 academic training, is it possible to have a person with a Bachelor / Master in physics or engineering physics as it is accepted for category D (Image Processing)?

A19: No. In software development we are interested in the management of the Machine / human interface to accomplish a task. In image processing, we look first to the algorithm. We consider that the mentioned formations are the most appropriate.

Q20: The basis of payment pages 45-46 70 where it asks for hourly rates for "resource categories" according to the educational level of staff (bachelors, masters, or "technical" in C1 and E1), does not seem representative of the wage structure found in industry and even in the public sector, where it considers more staff experience. Moreover, the basis of payment uses levels of experience "intermediate and senior", right here, for the "key resources available", and there is a definition of levels "junior, intermediate and senior" on page 43 of 70. Is what you want and there that bidders only offer resources "juniors", and the corresponding rates for the various "fields of expertise" of "resource categories"? If this is not the case, would you consider uniform pricing method by using levels of experience "junior, intermediate and senior" to "resource categories" and even for "technical" fields C1 and E1?

A20: Bidder can propose experienced resources as "Key Resources" where a rate is indicated for each resource. "Resource Categories" must meet minimal requirements in terms of education and/or experience (Item 6.1 of Annex A). Resources in the "Resource Categories" will not be named in the Contract as they will be proposed only at the issuance of a task.

Modifications to the RFP:

Please make the following changes to item 6.1 of Annex A "Statement of Work";

"d. Image processing
Education

D.1 Bachelor's degree in physics, mathematics, engineering physics, electrical engineering or computer engineering.

D.2 Master's degree or higher in physics, mathematics, engineering physics, electrical engineering or computer engineering."

Please make the following changes to item 4.1 of Attachment 2 "Mandatory and Point Rated Technical Criteria";

"

4.1 Bidder's experience in managing projects in the EO system characterization field within the past 10 years

The bidder should describe its experience in managing projects in the EO system characterization field. The description should include a project title and description, value and year of completion.

- a. Over 4 R&D projects with a total budget (sum of projects) of over 1 million dollars: 6 pts
- b. ~~Over~~ **Between 2 and 4** R&D projects with a total budget (sum of projects) of over 0.5 million dollars: 4 pts

Solicitation No – N° de l’invitation
W7701-145744/A
Client Ref No. – N° de réf. du client
W7701-14-5744

Amd. No. – N° de la modif.
003
File No. – N° du dossier
QCL-3-36198

Buyer ID – id de l’acheteur
QCN014

c. Fewer than 2 R&D projects with a total budget (sum of projects) of under 0.5 million dollars: 2 pts”

***** All the other clauses and conditions remain unchanged *****