

R563.1 87055-14-0114
Evaluation of Probabilistic leak-before-break
Methodologies
Questions & Answers #1

Question #1

Our understanding is that there are two major technical reports that will be supplied to the successful bidder: COG report no. COG-JP-4363-V285 - 79 pages; COG Report No. COG-JP-4363-V147 - 34 pages. Is it possible to review the Executive summary for these two reports? Assuming that a highly qualified technical expert(s) is part of our team, what is the CNSC's estimated time allocation to review these two reports? Are there additional reports that are referenced that will also require review? What if any are the additional estimates for their review(s)? What happens if there is a review required of additional supporting documents?

Answer #1

The Executive Summaries would only be provided if the bidder possesses the required Canadian government security clearance as stated in **PART 6 – RESULTING CONTRACT CLAUSES - 1.0 - Security Requirement** of the RFP. No other referenced reports are in the review scope at this point. The estimation is three months for the review of the two reports. Bidders should submit their estimate to CNSC. If a review of any additional supporting documents is required, bidders/contractors could request an amendment to the scope of work at the contract stage, if justified and agreed to by the CNSC.

Question #2

The tender specifies a maximum contract value of \$160,000 which we believe was arrived at by the CNSC's own estimated work scope (including meetings, travel and accommodation, admin, etc.). We find this contract value to be unreasonably low in order to execute the full scope as defined. Is the CNSC able to provide us with a summary of their estimate (person-hours, travel, etc.) so that we may better understand its own assessment of the project scope?

Answer #2

Bidders should provide their offer based on their own estimate of costs, as well as cost structure, within the \$160,000 budget.

Question #3

We find the time available to respond to the tender document to be short as we are approaching the summer holiday period. Is the CNSC willing to consider an extension to the tender due date of July 27th until August 22nd?

Answer #3

The solicitation closing date will be extended to August 22, 2014.

Question #4

Will the CNSC consider alternate proposals as deemed appropriate by the bidders in order to execute the full scope or enhance the product?

Answer #4

Yes. Bidders should propose a realistic proposal based on their cost structure and experience.

Question #5

On Annex "A" Statement of work, the final report due date is 14 month after contract award. However in Part 6, Section 4.1 Period of the Contract "The period of the Contract is from date of Contract to January 31, 2015 inclusive." Can you clarify which date is mandatory?

Answer #5

The final report due date is 14 months after the contract award date.

Question #6

- a) For evaluation criteria R1, the maximum allowable points are 5, but the maximum available points are 10. How the points are assigned to get to 10?
- b) For evaluation criteria R2 & R3, same clarification requested.
- c) For evaluate criteria R4, under which conditions can one get 15 points?

Answer #6

The point weighting factor was omitted from the original scoring grid. The scoring grid has been corrected and will be posted as an attachment to the RFP.