

**RETURN BIDS TO:**  
**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**  
Réception des soumissions - TPSGC / Bid Receiving  
- PWGSC  
1550, Avenue d'Estimauville  
1550, D'Estimauville Avenue  
Québec  
Québec  
G1J 0C7

## SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address  
Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution  
TPSGC/PWGSC  
601-1550, Avenue d'Estimauville  
Québec  
Québec  
G1J 0C7

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Environnement de TESTS	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> W7701-145788/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 002
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> W7701-14-5788	<b>Date</b> 2014-07-24
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$QCL-028-16020	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> QCL-3-36192 (028)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2014-08-22</b>	<b>Time Zone</b> Fuseau horaire Heure Avancée de l'Est HAE
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Roy, Josée	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> qcl028
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (418) 649-2932 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (418) 648-2209
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

W7701-145788/A

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

W7701-14-5788

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

002

File No. - N° du dossier

QCL-3-36192

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

qc1028

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

---

## AMENDMENT 002

1. Add the generic evaluation table below to the Request for Proposal.

**\*\*\*All other terms and conditions remain the same.**

### Generic Evaluation Table

The PWGSC Evaluation Board will evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the Bidder's response to the evaluation criteria and will rate each criterion with even numbers(0, 2, 4, 6, 8 or 10) using the generic evaluation table below.

This rating will be then weighted according to the rating scale of the criterion to determine the total score for each criterion.

<b>NON RESPONSIVE</b>	<b>INADEQUATE</b>	<b>WEAK</b>	<b>ADEQUATE</b>	<b>FULLY SATISFACTORY</b>	<b>STRONG</b>
<b>0 point</b>	<b>2 points</b>	<b>4 points</b>	<b>6 points</b>	<b>8 points</b>	<b>10 points</b>
Did not submit information which could be evaluated	Lacks complete or almost complete understanding of the requirements.	Has some understanding of the requirements but lacks adequate understanding in some areas of the requirements.	Demonstrates a good understanding of the requirements.	Demonstrates a very good understanding of the requirements.	Demonstrates expert understanding of the requirements.
	Weaknesses cannot be corrected	Generally doubtful that weaknesses can be corrected	Weaknesses can be easily corrected	No significant weaknesses	No apparent weaknesses
	Proponent lacks qualifications and experience	Proponent does not have minimum qualifications and experience	Proponent has minimum qualifications and experience	Proponent is qualified and experienced	Proponent is highly qualified and experienced
	Team proposed is not likely able to meet requirements	Team does not cover all components or overall experience is weak	Team covers all components and will likely meet requirements	Team covers all components - some members have worked successfully together	Strong team - has worked successfully together on comparable projects
	Sample projects not related to this project's needs	Sample projects generally not related to this project's needs	Sample projects generally related to this project's needs	Sample projects directly related to this project's needs	Leads in sample projects directly related to this project's needs
	Extremely poor, insufficient to meet performance requirements	Little capability to meet performance requirements	Minimum acceptable capability, should meet minimum performance	Satisfactory capability, should ensure effective results	Superior capability, should ensure very effective results