

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0A1 / Noyau 0A1
Gatineau
Quebec
K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Information Management/Information Technology -
IM/IT/Gestion de l'Information -Technologie de
l'Information-GI/TI
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
12C1, Place du Portage III
Gatineau
Quebec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet DRMIS PROF SVCS FOLLOW-ON SUPPORT	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W8474-126279/D	Amendment No. - N° modif. 012
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W8474-126279	Date 2014-08-07
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$XQ-008-27724	
File No. - N° de dossier 008xq.W8474-126279	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2014-08-26	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Hradecky, Michael	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 008xq
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-1348 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

W8474-126279/D

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

012

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

008xq

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

W8474-126279

File No. - N° du dossier

008xqW8474-126279

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

**Public Works and Government Services Canada
Request for Proposal (RFP) For
Defence Resource Management Information System (DRMIS)
and SIGMA System Support Services
Solicitation No. W8487-126279/D**

PLEASE SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS.

Question 82:

Reference: Attachment A, Section C, Resource Point-rated Technical Evaluation, SAP Functional Analyst: DFPS - Level 3, DFPS R2

Will the Crown please add the following SAP certifications to the list of equivalent certifications:

MM equivalent certification: "Supply Chain Management - Procurement with mySAP ERP 2004 (SAP ECC 5.0)"

HR equivalent certification: "Human Capital Management with SAP ERP 6.0 EHP6"

PM equivalent certification: "PLM - Maintenance & Repair mySAP ERP 2005"

Answer 82:

The Crown has addressed this by RFP amendment no 9 (RFP change no 4 on page 11)

Amendment no 9 (English):

https://buyandsell.gc.ca/cds/public/2014/07/25/aab6c29b63c7066b56e5bde2ad255727/ABES.PROD.PW_XQ.B008.E27724.EBSU009.PDF

Amendment no 9 (French):

https://buyandsell.gc.ca/cds/public/2014/07/25/f71cbb13f2156dac0c8d176017cb8d53/ABES.PROD.PW_XQ.B008.F27724.EBSU009.PDF

Question 83:

There seems to be a discrepancy between (a) and (b) (pasted below) of the financial evaluation.

Step 4: Evaluation of Financial Bids

(a) Financial Evaluation - Method A: (i) Financial Calculation: The financial evaluation will be conducted using the pricing tables completed by the bidders and the Firm Per Diem Median Rate Evaluation Method explained below. A financial calculation will occur for each Bidder by multiplying its firm per diem rates for the Initial Contract Period and the option periods (or the Lower Median Band Limit, whichever is higher) with the estimated number of days of work for each period (as stated in both Statements of Work (Annex A-1 and A-2)), for all the Resource Categories stated in Annex "B-1" Basis of Payment – DRMIS for DND. The sum of such rate calculations will constitute the Total Bid Evaluation Price for that Bidder.

(b) Financial Evaluation - Method B:

(i) Financial Calculation: The financial evaluation will be conducted using the pricing tables completed by the Bidders. A financial calculation will occur for each Bidder by multiplying its firm per diem rates for the Initial Contract Period and the option periods with the estimated number of days of work for each period, for all the Resource Categories stated in both Statements of Work (Annex A-1 and A-2). The sum of such rate calculations will constitute the Total Bid Evaluation Price for that Bidder.

(a) seems to indicate that only the rates put forward for 2 tables in B-1 (DRMIS SOW) will be used for evaluation (excluding the rates being put forward for SIGMA in B-2) while (b) seems to indicate that all 4 tables will be combined for total bid evaluation.

Given the above, please confirm that only the per diem rates for DRMIS will be evaluated.

Further, can the Crown please clarify if, for example, the Application Solution Architect Level 3 will be evaluated using 440 days (DRMIS 220 + SIGMA 220) multiplied by the firm per diem rate for that category only from the DRMIS rate table? Is the case for the full 8 years?

If the above is correct why are bidders being asked to provide rates for SIGMA given all categories for SIGMA are within DRMIS?

Answer 83:

This is clarified in Answer 84 regarding Total Estimated number of days used for the Total Bid Evaluation Price (see attached Amendment # 12 Answer 84 - Total Estimated Number of Days Table).

Question 84:

We would like to submit the following clarification questions regarding the DRMIS RFP.

Para 5. Step 4: Evaluation of Financial Bids (b)(i) page 19/202 states that a financial evaluation will occur with the number of work days in Annex A-1 and A-2 for each period will be multiplied by firm per diem rates for all 8 contract years. To clarify the number of work days to be used, we have interpreted the RFP and past answers to be inclusive of all tables in the Annexes and additive. Using the Application Solution Architect Level 3 as an example, this would mean that the result of the calculation for the Initial Contract Period would be = year 1 per diem rate x ((3x220)+(1x110)+(2x220)). Can the government please confirm this is a correct interpretation of the method for financial calculation?

Resource Category	Annex A-1 Table 1 page 84 (DRMIS Steady State In-Service Support)		Annex A-1 Table 2 page 87 (Optional expansion of DRMIS Footprint)		Annex A-2 Table 1 Page 106 (SIGMA Steady State In-Service Support)	
	Estimated # of Resources Required	Estimated # of Days (Year 1 to Year 8)	Estimated # of Resources Required	Estimated # of Days (Year 1 to Year 8)	Estimated # of Resources Required	Estimated # of Days (Year 1 to Year 8)
Application Solution Architect Level 3	3	220	1	110	2	220

Answer 84:

The interpretation in the provided example is incorrect. The answer provided should read 220 days (not 110 days) as the estimated number of days for the Optional Expansion of DRMIS Footprint as demonstrated per below:

Resource Category	Annex A-1 Table 1 page 84 (DRMIS Steady State In-Service Support)		Annex A-1 Table 2 page 87 (Optional expansion of DRMIS Footprint)		Annex A-2 Table 1 Page 106 (SIGMA Steady State In-Service Support)	
	Estimated # of Resources Required	Estimated # of Days (Year 1 to Year 8)	Estimated # of Resources Required	Estimated # of Days (Year 1 to Year 8)	Estimated # of Resources Required	Estimated # of Days (Year 1 to Year 8)
Application Solution Architect Level 3	3	220	1	220	2	220

To further clarify the Crown's response to question 42 and further clarify our intent to question 84, we have prepared the following table provided with this amendment # 011 that further explains the Total Estimated number of days used for the Total Bid Evaluation Price (see **attached Amendment # 12 Answer 84 - Total Estimated Number of Days Table**).

Question 85:

Para 5. Step 4: Evaluation of Financial Bids (b)(i) page 19/202 states that a financial evaluation will occur with the number of work days in Annex A-1 and A-2 for each period will be multiplied by firm per diem rates for all 8 contract years. To clarify the number of work days to be used, we have interpreted the RFP and past answers to be inclusive of all tables in the Annexes. Using the Application Solution Architect Level 2 as an example, this would mean that the result of the calculation for the Initial Contract Period would be = year 1 per diem rate x ((0x110)+(2x110)+(0x110)). Can the government please confirm this is a correct interpretation of the method for financial calculation?

Resource Category	Annex A-1 Table 1 page 84 (DRMIS Steady State In-Service Support)		Annex A-1 Table 2 page 87 (Optional expansion of DRMIS Footprint)		Annex A-2 Table 1 Page 106 (SIGMA Steady State In-Service Support)	
	Estimated # of Resources Required	Estimated # of Days (Year 1 to Year 8)	Estimated # of Resources Required	Estimated # of Days (Year 1 to Year 8)	Estimated # of Resources Required	Estimated # of Days (Year 1 to Year 8)
Application Solution Architect Level 2	Not in table		2	110	Not in table	

Answer 85:

In this example, your interpretation is correct and is reflected in the **Amendment # 12 Answer 84 - Total Estimated Number of Days Table** provided in the Answer 84.

Total Estimated Number of Days Table

Resource Category	Estimated # resources				Estimated # of Days for Each Identified Resource										Total Estimated # days									
	DRMIS Steady-State ISS	DRMIS Expansion	SIGMA	Total	Y1	Y2	Y3	Option Y1	Option Y2	Option Y3	Option Y4	Option Y5	Y1	Y2	Y3	Option Y1	Option Y2	Option Y3	Option Y4	Option Y5				
Project Manager - 3	1	1		2	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	440	440	440	440	440	440	440	440			
	3	1	2	6	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	1,320	1,320	1,320	1,320	1,320	1,320	1,320				
Application Solution Architect - 2		2		2	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	220	220	220	220	220	220	220				
Technical Architect - 3	1			1	110	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220				
Technical Architect - 2		2		2	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	220	220	220	220	220	220	220				
BASIS Administrator - 3	2	2		4	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	660	880	880	880	880	880	880				
Interface Specialist - 3	1			1	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	165	220	220	220	220	220	220				
Interface Specialist - 2		1		1	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110				
Roles & Authorizations (R&A) Architect - 3		1		1	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110				
Roles & Authorizations (R&A) Specialist - 3		1		1	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110				
Roles & Authorizations (R&A) Specialist - 2	2			2	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	330	440	440	440	440	440	440				
Roles & Authorizations (R&A) Specialist - 1		1		1	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110				
Programmer/Software Developer - 3	3	3		6	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	990	1,320	1,320	1,320	1,320	1,320	1,320				
Programmer/Software Developer - 2	5	4		9	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	1,485	1,980	1,980	1,980	1,980	1,980	1,980				
Programmer/Software Developer - 1	2	2		4	110	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	440	880	880	880	880	880	880				
SAP Archiving Specialist - 2		1		1	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110				
SAP Functional Analyst: FI/AP/ACQ - 3	1	2		3	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	495	660	660	660	660	660	660				
SAP Functional Analyst: FI/AA - 3	1	2		3	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	495	660	660	660	660	660	660				
SAP Functional Analyst: FI/AA - 2	1	2		3	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	495	660	660	660	660	660	660				
SAP Functional Analyst: FI/CO - 3	1	2		3	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	495	660	660	660	660	660	660				
SAP Functional Analyst: FI-SPLUGL - 3	1	2		3	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	495	660	660	660	660	660	660				
SAP Functional Analyst: FI-SPLUGL - 2	1	2		3	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	495	660	660	660	660	660	660				
SAP Functional Analyst: FI-AR - 3	1	2	1	4	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	660	880	880	880	880	880	880				
SAP Functional Analyst: FI-FM - 2	1	2		3	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	495	660	660	660	660	660	660				
SAP Functional Analyst: MM - 3	2	2	1	5	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	825	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100				
SAP Functional Analyst: MM - 2	4	4		8	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	1,320	1,760	1,760	1,760	1,760	1,760	1,760				
SAP Functional Analyst: MM - 1	1	1		2	110	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	440	440	440	440	440	440				
SAP Functional Analyst: PS - 3	1	1	1	3	110	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	330	660	660	660	660	660	660				
SAP Functional Analyst: PS - 2	1	1		2	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	330	440	440	440	440	440	440				
SAP Functional Analyst: PM - 3	2	2		4	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	660	880	880	880	880	880	880				
SAP Functional Analyst: PM - 2	4	4		8	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	1,320	1,760	1,760	1,760	1,760	1,760	1,760				
SAP Functional Analyst: PM - 1	1	1		2	110	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	440	440	440	440	440	440				
SAP Functional Analyst: WFMHCM - 3	1	1		2	110	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	440	440	440	440	440	440				
SAP Functional Analyst: WFMHCM - 2	1	1		2	110	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	440	440	440	440	440	440				
SAP Functional Analyst: WFMHCM - 1		1		1	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	330	440	440	440	440	440	440				
SAP Functional Analyst: RE - 3	2	2	1	5	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	825	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100				
SAP Functional Analyst: RE - 2	1		2	3	110	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	440	880	880	880	880	880				
SAP Functional Analyst: RE - 1		1		1	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110				
SAP Functional Analyst: DFPS - 3	2	2		4	165	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	220	660	880	880	880	880	880	880				

