

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC
11 Laurier St./11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0A1/Noyau 0A1
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Alternative Service Delivery/Autres modes de
prestation des services
11 Laurier/11 rue Laurier
7B3, Place du Portage Phase III
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Rideau Falls Operat.Maint. Inspect	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EP168-142671/B	Amendment No. - N° modif. 001
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20142671	Date 2014-08-25
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$PSD-002-24631	
File No. - N° de dossier 002psd.EP168-142671	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2014-09-12	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Drouin, Chris	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 002psd
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 944-5190 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (613) 943-7944
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

EP168-142671/B

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

20142671

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

001

File No. - N° du dossier

002psdEP168-142671

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

002psd

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

Amendment number 1 to this solicitation is raised to provide details for the bidders' conference and mandatory site visit and to provide the evaluation plan, Annex C.

1. Mandatory Site visit and Bidder's conference

Mandatory site visit will take place on Wednesday, September 3rd 2014 at 11:00am at 1 John Street in Ottawa. Parking is available beside the French Embassy.

Mandatory bidders' conference will take place on Wednesday September 3rd 2014 at 13:00 at Place du Portage Phase III. More details will be provided at the site visit.

2. Annex C - Evaluation Plan

See herein.

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

**ANNEX C
EVALUATION CRITERIA**

Mandatory Requirements:

NOTE: These mandatory criteria address services areas only. Other mandatory criteria may be present in the RFP and must be provided at time of bid closing.

M1	The Contractor MUST have performed Crane operations on similar projects for a period of at least 6 months within the past 2 years. Similar projects can be defined as any project where the crane equipment being operated is rated equal or greater than the equipment provided for this work and the crane operations were in an outdoors environment.	Met/ Not Met
M2	The Contractor MUST provide a Staffing Plan	Met/ Not Met
M3	The Contractor MUST provide a Draft Risk Management Plan	Met/ Not Met
M4	The Contractor MUST provide a Health and Safety Plan	Met/ Not Met
M5	The Contractor MUST provide a draft Quality Management Plan	Met/ Not Met
M6	The Contractor MUST provide a draft Preventative Maintenance Plan	Met/ Not Met

Rated Requirements:

The responses to plans will be assessed, in accordance with the criteria descriptions below, as follows:

Fail: The information provided is of such poor quality, it is impossible to assess the Bidder's ability to clearly respond to the requirements of the criterion.

Incomplete: The information is incomplete (i.e. does not address all areas described in the criterion). Or it is difficult to assess the Bidder's ability to clearly respond to the requirements of the criterion.

Fair: The information provided addresses all areas described in the criterion but lacks clarity and/or there are significant weaknesses in that would impact the feasibility of the plan.

Good: The information provided clearly addresses all areas described in the criterion and/or there are minor weaknesses that do not significantly impact the feasibility of the plan.

Excellent: The information provided is complete, clear and demonstrates a good understanding of the requirement and/or there are no apparent weaknesses in the plan.

R1	Draft Risk Management Plan	Fail - Score 0
	- Does the Contractor identify major risk areas as perceived	Incomplete – Score 1
	- Does the Contractor propose activities for minimizing risks (risk mitigation, work-around solutions, etc...)	Fair – Score 4
	- Does the Contractor discuss impact on performance of work	Good – Score 8
	- Does the Contractor address the probability of each risk	Excellent – Score 10

R2	Staffing Plan:	Fail - Score 0
	- Does the Contractor describe its recruitment strategy and selection plan to be used to attract and retain qualified employees	Incomplete – Score 1
	- Does the Contractor describe its training methodology, both initial and recurring, to ensure that its personnel understand, comply and adhere to all relevant regulations and security policies, to ensure proper initial and regenerative training of its personnel, to provide its personnel with appropriate resources and equipment to carry out respective duties, to establish personnel identification and dress code, and to provide sufficient number of trained, qualified, competent personnel to effectively and efficiently perform the services required	Fair – Score 4
		Good – Score 8
		Excellent – Score 10

	- Does the Contractor describe its replacement strategy, including transportation and relocation arrangements needed to fill needs which may not be available in the local market.	
	- Does the contractor discuss viable options to ensure the delivery of services in case of labour unrest	
	- Does the Contractor offer wages and benefits that will promote the retention of staff	
	- Does the bidder list any impediments that might prevent the bidder from achieving its proposed plan and the strategies for mitigating that risk.	

R3	Health and Safety Plan:	Fail - Score 0
	- Does the Contractor provide examples of employer policies and training plans on health and safety in accordance with the Canada Labour Code	Incomplete – Score 1
	- Does the Contractor describe its policies and plans governing the use of personal protective equipment	Fair – Score 4
	- Does the Contractor describe its policies and plans governing workplace safety? Does it hold regular Occupational Health and Safety Committee meetings	Good – Score 8
		Excellent – Score 10

R4	Draft Preventative Maintenance Plan:	Fail - Score 0
	- Does the Contractor outline the steps that it will take to ensure continuous preventative maintenance activities	Incomplete – Score 1
	- Does the Contractor describe how it will Identify and Trace equipment (Configuration Management)	Fair – Score 4
	- Does the Contractor correctly identify preventative maintenance activities related to the services being delivered	Good – Score 8
	- Does the Contractor describe a Corrective Action process	Excellent – Score 10

R5	Draft Quality Management Plan:	Fail - Score 0 Incomplete – Score 1 Fair – Score 2 Good – Score 4 Excellent – Score 5
	- Does the Contractor describe the quality assurance and control concepts and the organization of the QA/QC unit that the bidder proposes, to sustain the quality of the operations throughout the performance period	
	- Does the Contractor describe how it will develop QA/QC plans for its activities	

AWRs

R6	AWR RATES:	Bidder with lowest total cost for AWR - Score 10 2 nd lowest bidder – Score 8 3 rd lowest bidder – Score 4 4 th lowest bidder – Score 2 Others – Score 0
	- Proposed AWR rates will be evaluated against 200 hours for each category. Points will be allocated as follows:	
	The administration fees will be evaluated as follows: For materials : \$100,000.00 multiplied by rate proposed by the bidder (ex. $(100,000.00 * 2\%) + 100,000.00 = 102,000.00$) For subcontracted work: \$200,000.00 multiplied by rate proposed by the bidder.	

EXPERIENCE

R7	EXPERIENCE:	It is the bidder's responsibility to ensure that the experience is clearly described and outlined so that the evaluators can make a good assessment of the crane operations experience.
	Experience using overhead cranes (Score 5 points)	
	Experience using overhead cranes in Winter conditions (Score 10 points)	
	Experience operating stoplog machines on dams (Score 15 points)	

TOTAL AVAILABLE POINTS : 70