



**Royal Canadian Mounted Police
Gendarmerie royale du Canada**

**RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS A:
Bid Receiving/Réception des soumissions
Bid Receiving Unit**

Procurement and Contracting Services
73 Leikin Drive,
Visitor Center - Building M1
Mailstop # 15
Ottawa, ON K1A 0R2

Attn: **Megan McCoy (613) 843-3798**

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

The referenced document is hereby revised;
unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and
conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf
indication contraire, les modalités de
l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaries

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de
l'entrepreneur**

Telephone No. – No de téléphone:
()

Facsimile No. – No de télécopieur:
()

Title-Sujet Architectural & Engineering Services – New Police Facilities – Onion Lake		Amendment No. – No Modification 2
Solicitation No. - No. de l'invitation 201501189	Date September 17, 2014	
Client Reference No. - No. De Référence du Client 201501189		
GETS Reference No. - No. de Référence de SEAG		
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at 02:00pm EDT on September 23rd, 2014		
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Destination		
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Eric Glynn – Manager – Construction & Real Property		
Telephone No. - No de téléphone 613-843-5533	Fax No. - N° de FAX: (613) 825-0082	
Destination of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destinations des biens, services et construction: See Herein / Voir aux présentes		
Instructions: See Herein Instructions : Voir aux présentes		
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée: See Herein/Voir aux présentes	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur		



Amendment #2 to Solicitation 201501189 has been issued to respond to **Questions and Answers – Part 2 and make the changes to the RFP as follows:**

Questions and Answers – Part 2:

Q4. Scope of work clarification regarding BBC Connectivity

1. 2.3.8.3 and 2.3.8.4 referenced various BCC Connectivity included in the project Scope of Work.
2. 2.7.4.5 referenced design team experience of specialties such as Security and ICT
3. As stated, Information Services and Security Devices will be supplied and installed separately by the RCMP.

Can you confirm the requirement and scope of work for these BCC Connectivity items:

- Cabling,
- CATV,
- Network,
- Telephony,
- Police Radio System Antennae/Whips,
- Multimedia (TV, Smartboards),
- Digital Asset Management System,
- Information Services,
- Security

A4. Please refer to 2.3.8.4.3 "It will be the Consultant's responsibility to ensure full coordination and to accommodate all BCC implementation with the building construction project documents and provide the related infrastructure and systems requirements". No further details can be provided.

Q5. Scope of work clarification regarding ICT and Security design

1. 2.7.4 Expertise and relevant experience requirements for this project are as follows:
 - a. 2.7.4.5 Building Analysis, Planning, Design, and Development

Architecture and Specialties:

- Security
- Information technology and communications

2. 3.8.6.5 stated the deliverable required for Electrical:
 - a. 3.8.6.5.1 Complete set of coordinated construction drawings suitable for tender call, including lighting, power, communications, fire alarm, security and control specifications.

Based on our previous experiences designing similar RCMP detachments and Division HQs in SK, BC and AB, owner often required security and ICT design specialists as part of the design team due to the complex security and communications requirement.

Can you please confirm the expected scope of design work required for the security and communications; as well as if there is a requirement for a Security and ICT specialists as indicated in 2.7.4.5?

A5. Please refer to 2.7.3. It clearly states that the consultant team "shall be capable of providing the services identified in the Required Services (RS) Section of this Statement of Work". How this requirement is delivered is at the discretion of the bidder.

Q6. Will there be holding cells or detention facilities in the detachment building?



A6. The Onion Lake detachment area breakdown has allocated 171.3m2 of secure area, of which within that there will be a specific detainment component.

Q7. Scope of work clarification regarding BBC Connectivity

1. Sections 1.3.4, 2.2.3.2.6 and 3.7.2.5 refer to surpassing the baseline of the National Energy Code of Canada (for buildings) 2011 by 35%.

We believe that these sections should be referring to surpassing the Model National Energy Code of Canada for Buildings 1997 by 35%. It is our opinion that the level of complexity and technology required to surpass the National Energy Code of Canada by 35% would not be in keeping with the intent of section 1.3.3 for maintainability and serviceability and would have a significant capital cost impact.

Can you please confirm if the requirements are referring to the National Energy Code of Canada (for Buildings) 2011 or the Model National Energy Code of Canada for Buildings 2011 and/or if the percentage to be surpassing the baseline is correct?

A7. See "RFP Change 1" below.

Q8. In the RFP there is mention of a Landscape component to this project. In Part B (page 63 & 64) of the Financial Offer there is no form for Landscape. Please confirm that Bidders are required to submit fees and hourly rates for a Landscape Architect. If so are we to add another form specifically for a Landscape Architect or how do we account for their hourly rates?

A8. See "RFP Change 2" below.

Q9. In Part A - Fixed Fees for Services: of the Financial Offer (page 62 & 63). Are all of the individual line items (Pre-Design,..... Post-Construction Services) and the "Total for Part A" to include consultant fees? Are we to solicit fees from each consultant, add them together and input into the Part A form along with our architectural fees? Or if I'm required to solicit fees from consultants, is each consultant required to fill out Part A?

A9. The Hourly Rates identified in Annex "C" – Part B clearly identifies that these would be used for "Change Requests, and Ad hoc Work". They should not be "added" to Part A of Annex "C". Please also note that only Part A of Annex "C" will be used for Evaluation Purposes.

Q10. The Hourly rate for a Cost Specialist is included under the Architects section. We typically use a cost consultant for estimation work. Do we include their overall fee in with the Architect's fee in Part A of the Financial Offer?

A10. How Part A of Annex "C" is constructed is at the discretion of the Bidder. We cannot dictate how fixed fees are calculated. As previously stated, "only Part A of Annex "C" will be used for Evaluation Purposes."

The following changes are to be made to the RFP:

RFP Change 1:

At Section 2.2.3.2.6, REVISE to read:

"A detachment building that will be designed to exceed the baseline requirements of the National Energy Code for Buildings (1997), by a minimum of 40%"



RFP Change 2:

At Annex "C" –Basis of Payment and Declaration Form, ADD the following table under "5. Civil Engineering":

6. Landscape Engineering (Sub-Consultant)

Category of Personnel:	Firm Hourly Rate:
Partners or Principals	\$ _____
Senior Resource	\$ _____
Intermediate Resource	\$ _____
Junior Resource	\$ _____

AND

At Annex "E" – Team Identification Form, ADD the following table under "5. Civil Engineering":

6. Landscape Engineer

Firm Name:

Key Individuals and provincial professional licensing status:

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THIS SOLICITATION REMAIN UNCHANGED.