



**RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS
À:**

**Parks Canada Agency
1300 - 635 8 Ave SW
Calgary, AB T2P3M3
Bid Fax: (403) 292-4475**

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL
DEMANDE DE PROPOSITION**

Proposal To: Parks Canada Agency
We hereby offer to sell to Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada, in accordance with the terms and conditions set out herein, referred to herein or attached hereto, the goods, services, and construction listed herein and on any attached sheets at the price(s) set out therefor.

Soumission aux: l'Agence Parcs Canada
Nous offrons par la présente de vendre à Sa Majesté la Reine du Chef du Canada, aux conditions énoncées ou incluses par référence dans la présente at aux annexes ci-jointes, les biens, services et construction énumérés ici et sur toute feuille ci-annexée, au(x) prix indiqué(s).

Comments - Commentaries

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

**Parks Canada Agency
Suite 1300
635 - 8 Ave SW
Calgary, AB T2P3M3**

Title-Sujet Cabin Lake Dam Rehabilitation - Jasper National Park Architectural & Engineering Design		
Solicitation No. - No. de l'invitation 5P420-14-5106/A	Date: October 16, 2014	
GETS Reference No. - No de reference de SEAG PW-14-00652141	Amendment No. - N° de la modif. 002	
Solicitation Closes:		
at - à 02:00 PM	on - le October 24, 2014	Time Zone - Fuseau horaire MDT - HAR
F.O.B. - F.A.B.		
Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/>	Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>
Address Inquiries to: - Adresser toute demande de renseignements à :		
Nathaniel Pahl		
Telephone No. - No de téléphone	Fax No. - No de FAX:	
(403) 292-4572	(403) 292-4475	
Destination of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destinations des biens, services et construction:		
See Herein - Voir ici		

**TO BE COMPLETED BY THE BIDDER (type or print) - À
REMPILIR PAR LE SOUMISSIONNAIRE (taper à la machine ou
écrire en lettres moulées)**

Vendor/Firm Name	
Address - Adresse	
Name of person authorized to sign on behalf of the Vendor/Firm Nom de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur	
Titale - Titre	
Telephone No. - N° de telephone:	_____
Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur:	_____
Signature	Date

Amendment 002

This amendment is issued to answer questions from potential bidders, provide information from the optional site visit and make revisions to RFP as follows.

1. Questions

Q2. Section 4 of the Project Brief presents key milestones dates for deliverables based on an assumed contract award date of September 2, 2014. Will there be an adjustment in the project schedule due to a later award date?

A2. Due to the nature of the project there may be some leeway with the preliminary report date but the production of the tender documents is fixed as we must go to tender in April to allow enough time to complete the construction before freeze up in 2015.

Q3. Within Amendment #1, the following was stated:

Licensing, Certification or Authorization

The proponent shall be a Dam Engineer licensed, or eligible to be licensed, certified or otherwise authorized to provide the necessary professional services to the full extent that may be required by provincial law in the province of Alberta.

APEGA does not register professions with specialties like "Dam Engineer." An APEGA-licensed professional engineer is required to practice within his or her competence. If that competence includes the design and construction of dams, then he or she is permitted to practice in that field. Therefore we would be required to respond to this item as follows:

"Our company is licensed to practice engineering, and has staff experienced in dam design and construction"

Would this be acceptable?

A3. This amendment #2 will make changes that the proponent shall be a Civil Engineer licensed in the Province of Alberta with experience in dam design and construction.

Q4. Under Section 3.2.2 Achievements of Sub-Consultants under the SRE, it states:

Provide a maximum of three (3) projects undertaken within the last ten (10) years per key sub consultants or specialist.

Since we will provide all services required for this project, are we still required to provide three (3) project references for each specialist? If we have previous projects that included all specialist required for this project, would that count for the proponent and the specialist achievements required under Section 3.2.1 and Section 3.2.2 of the SRE.

A4. Yes it would. It should be noted that as part of the project references that it covers all specialist required to do the work and that it is in-house and who did what on the project, with their qualifications.

Q5. It is mentioned in the RFP that there may be an Environmental Assessment and archeology data for the site. Do these exist and are they available? You mentioned on the phone that there is a package with some further information on one or both.

A5. There is no Environmental Assessment completed for this site. See the attached DSP package for this amendment for additional information on archeology data. One site exists on the around the spill side of the dam but is considered destroyed. Work around the dam proper does not require additional review but anything done outside the actual dam site will require an archaeological review. We understand that the proposed dam reconstruction will not extend beyond the existing dam and the borrow area at the north-east end of the dam structure. If construction plans change, we would ask that we be notified so that we can review the proposed changes and how they might

affect potential archaeological resources around the lake as we believe the lake to have archaeological potential.

- Q6.** There are several documents referenced in the DSR (old reports, old drawings, inspections reports) – are these available?
A6. These will be made available to the successful bidder.
- Q7.** Within Section 2.1 Supplementary Conditions, it states: “The Recommended Proponent shall provide to the Contracting Authority prior to Contract awards: a) a Workers Compensation Board Experience Rating – British Columbia;” Since this project is within Alberta, should this have read Alberta?
A7. Yes. This has been addressed in this amendment.

2. Optional Site Visit

The following is a record of the site visit which occurred on October 8, 2014.

Attendees:

David Kjorven	Parks Canada Agency
Chris Grapel	Klohn Crippen Berger
Ammar TAHA	Dessau inc.
Pierre Vermette	Dessau inc.
Michael Vilimek	Tetra Tech EBA
Eddie Noton	Tetra Tech EBA
Heather Trantham	Stantec

Discussion items:

- Informed group that a concrete core was located in the dam and was between 12” and 13” wide. Depth of the concrete core is unknown.
 - Spent time at the outflow spillway
 - Walked over to the rental boat beach to see the dam from a different angle
 - Explained where the outflow pipe leading to the Townsite ran
 - Noted by group was that the material for the dam was most likely taken from the excavation site at the north end. Not known for a fact but would make sense in 1927.
- Q8.** Has there been a recent over topping of the dam
A8. There is some possible visible clues of over topping but nothing on record. The DSR refers to potential over topping.
- Q9.** Can the water depth plan be made available electronically? When trying to enlarge the plan from the DSR it comes out to blurry to be read properly.
A9. Yes, the water depth plan from March 1914 could be scanned and sent electronically.

3. Revisions

In:	2.	Supplementary Conditions (SC)
	SC1	Health and Safety
Delete:	2.	Workers Compensation
Replace with:		

2. Workers Compensation

1. The recommended Proponent shall provide to the Contracting Authority prior to contract award:
 - a) a Premium Rate Statement – Alberta; and
 - b) a Workers Compensation Board letter of good standing, listing covered Directors, Principals, Proprietor(s) or Partners who will be or who are anticipated to be present on the work site(s).

2. The recommended Proponent shall deliver all of the above documents to the Contracting Authority on or before the date stated (usually 3-5 days after notification) by the Contracting Authority. Failure to comply will result in a breach of promise, at which time the Contracting Authority will be free to approach the next responsive Proponent.

In:	Annex A – Team Identification Format
Delete:	I. Prime Consultant (Proponent - Dam Engineer):
Replace with:	

I. Prime Consultant (Proponent - Civil Engineer – Dam Engineering Experience):

Firm or Joint Venture Name:

Key Individuals and provincial professional licensing status and/or professional accreditation:

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

In: **Annex D – Submission Requirements and Evaluation (SRE)**

Delete: **3.1.1 Licensing, Certification or Authorization**

3.1.2 Consultant Team Identification

Replace with:

3.1.1 Licensing, Certification or Authorization

The proponent shall be a Dam Engineer licensed, or eligible to be licensed, certified or otherwise authorized to provide the necessary professional services to the full extent that may be required by provincial law in the province of Alberta.

3.1.2 Consultant Team Identification

The consultant team to be identified must include the following:

- a) Proponent (Prime Consultant)
 - Civil Engineer (Dam Engineering Experience)
- b) Key Sub-Consultants and/or Specialists
 - Civil Engineer (Hydrology and hydraulics specialist)
 - Geotechnical Engineer
 - Environmental assessment and monitoring specialist

Information required - name of firm, key personnel to be assigned to the project. For the prime consultant, indicate current license and/or how you intend to meet the provincial or territorial licensing requirements.

In the case of a joint venture identify the existing or proposed legal form of the joint venture (refer to R1410T General Instructions to Proponents, G19 Limitation of Submissions).

An example of an acceptable format (typical) for submission of the team identification information is provided in Annex A.