

X-RAY BAGGAGE SCANNERS
SEN-002-14/15
ADDENDUM NUMBER 3

QUESTIONS

Questions	Document Reference	Requirement	Question
1	Part 2C, Part II, Item 3, Delivery and Installation	Makes reference to rigging	Please advise if any of the proposed sites require rigging
2	Part 2C, Part II, Item 4, Special Site Delivery	States that the call-up contract will state if "there are special site preparation requirements, but does not describe them, the Offeror must deliver a complete written description of them to The Senate immediately following the date of the Contract or, if the Delivery Date is more than thirty (30) days after the date of the Contract, at any time at least thirty (30) days before the Delivery Date."	Please advise if the government knows how many sites might require site preparation and how many can be considered "simple installs?" Even a general percentage would be helpful for a better understanding of the need for site visits prior to delivery.
3	Part 2C, Part II, Item 7.III, Hardware Documentation	States " If the Contract states that the Supplier must provide maintenance documentation, the Supplier guarantees that the Hardware Documentation it provides is sufficiently detailed to permit the Senate, or someone authorized by the Senate, to maintain and repair the Hardware properly, and to test it for that purpose."	Is it the Senate's intent to perform self-maintenance? Please explain. If self-maintenance is to be determined by individual call-up contracts, the RFSO would need to be amended to allow for vendors to present this pricing option.

4	Part 2C, Part II, Item 8.I, Minimum Availability Level	States " Each item of Hardware must achieve the Minimum Availability Level of its entirety availability in mission-critical business environment as specified in the Proposal . . ."	The reference to the Proposal is confusing. Our interpretation is that the call-up contract will state whether there is a Minimum Availability Level and, if so, this section becomes applicable. If the call-up contract is silent on the matter, this section does not apply. Please confirm or clarify.
5	Part 2C, Part II, Item 10.V, Acceptance	Makes reference to "custom software."	Please advise if the Senate anticipates any customization in regard to software. If yes, please provide details and amend the RFSO to allow for the pricing of customized software.
6	Part 3, Item 9.IV, MEDIUM system specifications	requires overall width of 35.5"	would the Senate consider a system that is 56.3" wide?
7	Part 3, Item 22, Moving of Equipment	States that we are "responsible for all operations for the moving of equipment."	Please advise if this will be priced separately at time of call-up. If no, please advise how the Senate prefers vendors to capture and illustrate these costs without details.
8	Part 2.B, Item 13, Ownership of Intellectual and Other Property, including Copyrights	states that "Documents and information (work) produced by the Contractor in the performance of this contract"	Please confirm that this does not include material already issued under copyright to the Contractor, such as Operator and Maintenance Manuals, or training materials
9	Part 3, Item 13.II SMALL Performance Requirements	requires 160kV	will the government accept a system operating at 140kV?
10	Part 3, Item 9.III SMALL Physical Environment	requires 89.4" max with conveyer belt and with 19.7" extended shrouds	Will the government consider a system that is 82" without conveyer or shrouds?

QUESTION 11

9. IV Overall Width 35.5" - Since the requirement is for a dual view system, is this the correct width?

QUESTION 12

Weight 2200lbs maximum - Since this would be a dual view system that can be converted into a 3 or 4 generator system, is this the correct weight?

QUESTION 13

13. I - X-Ray Performance Requirements - Generator seasoning. - Our generator does not need regeneration after a non work period of 3 months, therefore do we need the automatic ramp-up?

QUESTION 14

15. Mechanical Function Design

"Ability to function as an independent unit in case of operating system failure" - Can you please clarify this statement?

QUESTION 15

Is the qualified technologies list (QTL) referring to airport certified systems or air cargo certified systems?

QUESTION 16

R3a - Unit is upgradable to a 3rd generator. - Our system goes from 2 to 4 generators, and meets QTL, is this acceptable?

QUESTION 17

Unit design is short conveyor model - Can you please clarify this statement?

QUESTION 18

Page 28 of 34 M4. TSA-Qualified Technologies List

Please advise if the small sized scanner must be TSA qualified – We do not think this small size is used by TSA therefore this mandatory requirement should not apply to this size of machine

QUESTION 19

In reviewing your specification for the medium aperture X-ray system as outlined in Section 9, IV. (page 22) I have a question pertaining to the overall width of the system. Your specification calls for an overall maximum width of 35.5" and I would like to know if you will make an exception for this to be 42"?

QUESTION 20

Do you have a list of addresses that you can provide for the different locations in which these units will be installed?

X-RAY BAGGAGE SCANNERS
SEN-002-14/15
ADDENDUM NUMBER 3

ANSWERS

ANSWER 1

No

ANSWER 2

No

ANSWER 3

Please see Part 5 – Basis of Payment - does request the costs associated with training for maintenance purposes as well as certain tasks performed by the winning bidder. Regardless of this, the Senate requires all documentation for any and all hardware associated with this potential contract.

ANSWER 4

The call-up contract will be silent on the matter. This section does not apply

ANSWER 5

The Senate is not anticipating any customization in regard to software.

ANSWER 6

No

ANSWER 7

This will be priced separately at the time of the call-up.

ANSWER 8

Remove clause.

ANSWER 9

Yes

ANSWER 10

No

ANSWER 11

Yes

ANSWER 12

Yes

ANSWER 13

This would be acceptable

ANSWER 14

It should function independent of Network connectivity; therefore if the network is unavailable the system will function normally.

ANSWER 15

It would be acceptable for both either TSA QTL or ACSTL.

ANSWER 16

As long as the Senate has the option to go to (3) three generators it is acceptable.

ANSWER 17

As stated in this RFSO, the Senate of Canada faces difficult challenges related to space limitation, weight and also portability within the precinct physical environment. Portability of systems is one of our needs and the options to move any system inside our buildings are very limited. Unit with a ``short-nosed`` design will in fact constitute an important benefit as for portability once rollers and 50 cm see-through shrouds will be removed prior to any displacement of a unit. This rated requirement remains unchanged.

ANSWER 18

As long as it is on the TSA QTL or TSA ACSTL would be acceptable.

ANSWER 19

No

ANSWER 20

National Capital Region (Parliament Precinct Buildings)