

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC
11 Laurier St./ 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0A1 / Noyau 0A1
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

National Individual Standing Offer (NISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle nationale (OCIN)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Clothing and Textiles Division / Division des vêtements et des textiles
11 Laurier St./ 11, rue Laurier
6A2, Place du Portage
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Rucksack System	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W6399-140038/B	Date 2014-11-19
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W6399-140038	Amendment No. - N° modif. 001
File No. - N° de dossier pr705.W6399-140038	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$PR-705-66058	
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale 2014-11-03	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2014-12-01	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Williams, Laura	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur pr705
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-1349 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-5454
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required	Yes - Oui	No - Non
Accusé de réception requis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

W6399-140038/B

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

001

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

pr705

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

W6399-140038

File No. - N° du dossier

pr705W6399-140038

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

This page has been intentionally left blank

Solicitation No – W6399-140038/B
Amendment 001

This Amendment is raised to provide responses to questions from Industry and to extend the closing date to Monday, December 1, 2014 at 2:00pm EST.

All other terms and conditions remain the same.

Question	Ref. Page	Section	Question	MDN Response	Revision to RFP
1	Page 2 of 10	2.1(a)	This does not include the accessory pouches in 2.10, which also states "The High Capacity Rucksack Bag must have the following Accessory Bags". Are these included in the requirements for 2.9 High Capacity Bag, including the weight requirement of 5 kg in 2.9.1d? Document states to use printed webbing or Coyote Brown. Is woven webbing (which is superior in durability) acceptable?	The accessory bags are not included in the weight of the bag or frame.	Nil.
2	Page 3 of 10	2.5(b)	Document states to use printed webbing or Coyote Brown. Is woven webbing (which is superior in durability) acceptable?	Woven webbing with an outer surface that is MULTICAM® camouflage pattern would be acceptable.	Delete: "Have MOLLE webbing printed with the MULTICAM® camouflage pattern or be Coyote brown in colour". Insert: "Have woven MOLLE webbing with an outer surface that is MULTICAM® camouflage pattern".
3	Page 4 of 10	2.7(e)i	"push-to-release" are side-release buckles acceptable?	Side release buckle that are "push to release" would be acceptable.	Nil.
4	Page 4 of 10	2.7(e)ii	"hook and loop fastener straps... for securing the webbing extensions" are slimmer, less noisy cord management options acceptable?	The intent is to have a means of securing the web extension to prevent catching or snagging. Hook and loop fastener straps are one solution that works. DND would accept an alternate solution that is equivalent to hook and loop fastener straps.	Nil.
5	Page 5 of 10	2.8.2(a)iii	"Have all seams reinforced with folded webbing overlay..." Could additional clarification please be provided?	The intention is to have a reinforced seam. The method requested is a 2.5 cm (1 in) wide webbing folded over the seam and stitched into place to reinforce the seam. DND would accept an alternate solution that is equivalent to a webbing reinforced seam.	Nil.
6	Page 5 of 10	2.8.2(b)	"in accordance with Section 0(b)ii" Can you please indicate where Section 0 can be found?	Section 2.8.3(b)ii	Delete: "Section 0(b)ii". Insert: "Section 2.8.3(b)ii".
7	Page 7 of 10	2.8.4(c)i	"[have a hip belt that] consists of two (2) (left and right) nominal (2 in) wide web belts", are 1.5" wide web belts acceptable?	Nominal is defined as plus/minus 5-10%. A web belt between 1.8-2.2 in is permitted. A narrower belt may be acceptable; however, DND cannot approve this change without seeing the entire belt system as part of the user trial.	Nil.

8	Page 7 of 10	2.8.6(b)	<p>"PALS... that extend from the top to the bottom of the frame" does this refer to horizontal PALS webbing (spaced 1" apart) covering the entire surface, or vertical PALS webbing that extends from the top of the frame to the bottom?</p>	Horizontal PALS webbing spaced 2.5 cm (1 in) apart from the top to the bottom of the frame (as space permits).	Delete: "PALS on the rear (equipment attachment side) of the frame that extend from the top to the bottom of the frame". Insert: "Horizontal PALS spaced 2.5 cm (1 in) apart on the rear (equipment attachment side) of the frame that extend from the top to the bottom of the frame (as space permits)".
9	Page 9 of 10	2.9.3(d)	<p>"Have an integrated hydration/communications port at the top center" this is very limiting to the user. Are offset (closer to the shoulders where cables/tubes are run) communications ports allowed?</p>	Offset ports are acceptable.	Delete: "Have an integrated hydration/communications port at the top center". Insert: "Have integrated hydration/communications port(s) at the top (center or offset to the sides)".
10	Page 9 of 10	2.9.4(b)	<p>"Minimum two (2) open top Water Bottle pockets..." is there a nominal volume desired?</p>	Sized to fit a standard one (1) liter nalgene water bottle.	Nil.
11	Page 10 of 10	2.9.6(a)	<p>"One (1) Carrying Strap at the upper center point of the Stand-Alone Load Carrying Frame..." this sentence is identical to the one at 2.8.6a. Is this the same requirement for a carrying strap on the frame, or is 2.9.6 meant to say "One (1) Carrying Strap at the upper center point of the High Capacity Rucksack Bag...?"</p>	The requirement is for a carrying strap on the frame and a strap on the bag (2 straps).	
12	Page 10 of 10	2.9.6(b)&(c)	<p>PALS and Gear Loops (vertical PALS) are both stated to be placed "on the left and right outer sides of the High Capacity Rucksack Bag", are these meant to be concurrent or in different locations? How many rows of PALS are required?</p>	A combination of PALS and gear loops to permit attachment of equipment on the outer side of the bag. Quantity as space permits.	Nil.
13	Page 1	1.2	<p>Please specify the time frame an Offeror will have to provide the Phase II Proof of Concept Field Trial Samples (4 Rucksack Systems) should they be awarded a trial contract?</p>	In accordance with the Annex D bid evaluation, the Rucksack System being offered must be based upon a Commercial-Off-The-Shelf (COTS) or Military-Off-The-Shelf (MOTS) product that is in current production. As such, there should be minimal delay in providing the Rucksack Systems following Contract Award; no more than 30 days would be acceptable.	Nil.
14	Page 1 of 1	Item #1	<p>Phase I – Bid Evaluation, in addition to an Offeror's own expertise, proven design history, past experience and capabilities etc. will the experience of our sub-contractor also qualify as part of our Proof of Compliance Requirement?</p>	Where the Bidder is a supplier, the experience of the manufacturer would be acceptable to confirm compliance.	Nil.

15	Part 4	1.1.1 (Phase II)	Once an Offeror has been awarded a trial contract and has been selected to move onto Phase II, will DND be open to reviewing possible Product Improvements prior to the Offeror submitting the required 4 Rucksack Systems?	The Rucksack System provided for the Phase II trials must be as offered by the Bidder during the RFSO process. Product Improvements at this time cannot be entertained.	Nil.
16	Page 1 of 1	Item #2	Due to the tight timeline, will DND accept work in progress Owners Manuals which are currently being edited to fully comply with the Performance and Technical Specification provided in the Request for Standing Offer?	A draft Owners Manual would be acceptable as long as the manual, in conjunction with the additional information provided, permits DND to evaluate the offered Rucksack System against the Performance and Technical Specifications (Annex C).	Nil.