

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Regional Manager/Real Property
Contracting/PWGSC
Ontario Region, Tendering Office
12th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street
Toronto, Ontario
M2N 6A6
Ontario

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires
THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A SECURITY
REQUIREMENT

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Regional Manager/Real Property Contracting/PWGSC
Ontario Region, Tendering Office
12th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street
Toronto, Ontario
M2N 6A6
Ontario

Title - Sujet Port Granby - Long Term Waste Mgt.	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EQ986-133623/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 011
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client R.023276.217	Date 2014-11-26
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$PWL-035-1957	
File No. - N° de dossier PWL-4-37020 (035)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2015-01-15	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Woodhall, Lauren	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur pwl035
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (416) 512-5873 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (416) 512-5862
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: PWGSC Port Hope Area Initiative - PHAI 115 Toronto Road Port Hope, ON L1A 3S4	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

EQ986-133623/A

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

R.023276.217

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

011

File No. - N° du dossier

PWL-4-37020

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

pwl035

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

Solicitation Amendment No. 011 is raised to:

- 1) respond to questions related to this Request for Proposal.
- 2) amend the Request for Proposal.

SECTION A - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q76. Can you confirm that in Section 5.3, education and accreditation that is from jurisdictions other than Canada will be considered equivalent and receive the same points in the evaluation?

A76. In the event that a bidder intends to identify an educational requirement or accreditation in Section 5.3 from a jurisdiction outside Canada, it is the bidder's sole responsibility to provide sufficient information in order to fully assess equivalency. The Contracting Authority will consider all information provided by Bidders, including information from legitimate third parties such as credential evaluation organizations, as part of the assessment process. As set out in GI21, Conduct of Evaluation, the Contracting Authority may, but will have no obligation to, verify any information provided by Bidders through independent research, use of any government resources or by contacting third parties. Ultimately the decision as to whether an accreditation or an educational qualification meets the burden of equivalency lies solely with the Contracting Authority.

Q77. On page 58, 5.3 Achievements of Proposed Key Personnel, one of the listed positions is Hazmat Specialist. Then, again on page 60, 5.4 Achievements of Proposed Backup Key Personnel a Hazardous Waste Specialist is listed. Are these the same position? And, can you please describe the responsibilities of that position?

A77. These are the same position - and moving forward, the RFP documentation will be amended to reflect that the position will now be referred to as Hazardous Waste Specialist. This position would be responsible for the monitoring, managing and handling of the hazardous waste materials.

Q78. Page 64 of the RFP indicates each of the factors will be scored on a 0-5 rating guide, yet factors 5.1-5.4 have individual scoring criteria. Is the 0-5 rating guide intended only to apply to 5.5 and 5.6?

A78. As per the information in the Appendix 5, Evaluation factors 5.3 and 5.4 are not subject to the 0-5 rating guide.

The 0-5 rating guide and the grid at the end of Appendix 5 shall be used for evaluation factors 5.1, 5.2, 5.5 and 5.6. The 0-5 rating guide and the grid will help the evaluation team assess each criteria within section 5.1, 5.2, 5.5 and 5.6. For example, the first of the three criteria under 5.1 is worth 20 marks. Using the 0-5 rating guide if a bid is assessed as a 4, it receives 80% of the marks for that criteria, in this case 16 marks out of 20.

Q79. Can the Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) and the Bar Chart schedule be completed in a font size less than 11 point?

A79. The reference to font size in Appendix 5, Evaluation, article in 3.1.1 (3) is a request only. Bidders are requested, wherever possible to use an 11 point font size in order to respect the considerable task of evaluating bids. There may be instances where an 11 point font may not be appropriate or reasonable (such as in sections of a Bar Chart or a Work Breakdown Structure) and in those specific instances bidders may indeed deviate from an 11 point font.

In the event that a bidder submits their entire proposal, or large portions thereof, in a font that is smaller than 11 point, the Contracting Authority has the right to request that the Bidder reproduce the proposal in the font size requested. In the event that this action results in the bidder's proposal being greater than 60 pages, then the only the first sixty pages of that proposal shall be evaluated.

Q80. Page 63 of the RFP indicates that a high level WBS and Bar chart schedule be included in the "Methodology" section of the proposal which is part of the Point Rated Technical Criteria limited to 60 pages. Based on our page count for the required items in the Point Rated Technical Criteria 60 pages (Prime and Sub Project Sheets, Achievements of Key and Backup Personnel), there is not enough space to allow for the text required and the WBS and the Bar Chart Schedule which are expected to be printed 11x17 for legibility purposes (and count as 2 pages each per page). Can the WBS and the Bar Chart Schedule be removed from the 60 page count limit and attached as an appendix?

A80. No -- the WBS and Bar Chart cannot be removed from the 60 page count limit. However Bidders may utilize a smaller font size than 11 point if required on the WBS or Bar Chart in order to complete these documents on an 11 x 17 sheet.

In the event that a bidder submits their entire proposal, or large portions thereof, in a font that is smaller than 11 point, the Contracting Authority has the right to request that the Bidder reproduce the proposal in the font size requested. In the event that this action results in the bidder's proposal being greater than 60 pages, then the only the first sixty pages of that proposal shall be evaluated.

Q81. The RFP specifies on Page 59 that the 7th Proposed Key Personnel is a "Hazmat Specialist" yet on page 60 the 7th Proposed Backup Key Personnel is a "Hazardous Waste Specialist". Can the PWGSC, please clarify the title of the 7th Proposed Key and Backup Personnel? In addition, can the PWGSC describe what the role of the Hazmat or Hazardous Waste Specialist would have on the project?

A81. Refer to answer 77.

Q82. Could you please provide a list of companies and attendees who were at the November 19, 2014 Port Granby Mandatory Site Meeting?

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
EQ986-133623/A
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
R.023276.217

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
011
File No. - N° du dossier
PWL-4-37020

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
pwl035
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

A82. Companies that attended the Port Granby Long Term Waste Management Facility Site Visit on November 19th, 2014:

Aecon
Amico Infastructures
Bot Construction
Coco Paving Inc.
ECC/Quantum Murray LP
Gerald Finlay Construction Ltd.
I.S.I. Controls Inc.
Marcrest Construction Ltd.
Metric Contracting Services Corp
R.W. Tomlinson Limited
SPL Consultants Limited
Terrafix Geosynthetics Inc.
Tetra Tech
Industrial Electrical Contractors Ltd.
Dekeyser Exc
Dalray Contracting
Delson AIM Environmental
Dagmar
Titanium Contracting
Sierra Construction

Amec Foster Wheeler
Black & McDonald
CH2M Hill
DST Consulting Engineers
Ferguson Electric
Insitu Contractors Inc.
Malfar Mechanical
Maxxam Analytics
Parsons
SENES Consultants
Terrafix Environmental Technology Inc.
Tervita
UniTech Services Group
Dragados Canada
E.S. Fox Ltd
Dallas Contracting
Aquatech Dewatering
Cambium Inc.
Dekeyser's Napanee

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
EQ986-133623/A
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
R.023276.217

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
011
File No. - N° du dossier
PWL-4-37020

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
pw1035
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

SECTION B - MODIFICATIONS TO THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

In Appendix 5 – Evaluation Procedures and Basis of Selection, 5.3 Achievements of Proposed Key Personnel

DELETE:

7. Hazmat Specialist. (15 points)

INSERT:

7. Hazardous Waste Specialist (15 points)

In Appendix 1 – Combined Price Form, Hourly Rate Table for Potential Changes to aspects of the Work (if and when required by Owner).

DELETE:

7	Hazmat Specialist	Hour	200	\$	\$
---	-------------------	------	-----	----	----

INSERT:

7	Hazardous Waste Specialist	Hour	200	\$	\$
---	----------------------------	------	-----	----	----