

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Ship Construction, Refit and Related
Services/Construction navale, Radoubs et services
connexes
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
6C2, Place du Portage
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet SAR Lifeboat Project	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation F7047-141000/C	Amendment No. - N° modif. 003
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client F7047-141000	Date 2014-12-12
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$MC-017-24806	
File No. - N° de dossier 017mc.F7047-141000	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2015-01-27	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Lamothe, Brenda	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 017mc
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-6297 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-7725
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Amendment #3 is raised to post questions and answers.

BIDDERS' QUESTIONS AND CANADA'S ANSWERS

Annex G

Q.1 The RFP indicates that a bidders' meeting could take place. When would this decision be made and when would the meeting be announced?

2.7 BIDDERS' CONFERENCE

A bidders' conference could be organized, in which case clause SACC A9083T (2014-06-26) Bidders' Conference would apply.

A.1 If by Friday December 5th, sufficient bidders have demonstrated their interest to the CA by email, a bidder's conference will take place on December 16th in Ottawa.

Q.2. The English RFP document is larger (818 pages) than the French (737 pages); is the French version complete?

A.2 Yes. Both the English and French documents are complete. The formatting in the French Construction Specification is different from the English hence the total number of pages.

Q.3. We just went through the RFP document and noted that Lloyds Register (LR) classification notation with no equivalencies stated in the documents. Furthermore the design documents, plans and drawing have all been approved by LR, (refer page 76 annex A section 1.4 and page 239 and 240).

We are somewhat puzzled that other companies did not receive notification for approval through Marine Safety Supply Arrangement Agreement; being one of the approved Recognized Organization (RO) members. The entire documents have references to LR rules ref. page 141, 162 & 163 etc. This is not a level playing field for other ROs to participant in shipyards bids for SAR lifeboats. We are quite disappointed to observe that CCG always make references to LR rules and no other classification societies which puts us at disadvantage. Your comments or views are greatly appreciated.

A.3. In accordance with the RFP the SAR Lifeboats shall be built under the Delegated Statutory Inspection Program (DSIP) and in accordance with the rules of a Classification Society designated by Transport Canada as a Recognized Organization (RO). Bidders are free to use any RO that they would like as long as fulfilling the above requirement. The design of the SAR Lifeboat was conducted utilizing Lloyd's Register Rules as the baseline rule set for the initial design work and LR was the RO selected to review and appraise the design IAW these rules. However, IAW the Construction Specification (CS), bidders are free to use any RO rules set for the final design of the SAR as long as the selected RO meets the DSIP requirements in the RFP. This is indicated in the CS in 1.70.2.0-8 The Vessel must meet all applicable Lloyds Register Classification Society Rules or equivalent rules of IACS members recognized by Transport Canada.

Q.4. As a qualified Canadian sub-contractor in this domain it seems very strange that we can neither get the program specification drawings nor the contact info of the potential primes to then get it

from them. There are potentially 10 shipyards in Canada who will now be inundated with potential subcontractors trying to get the information that should be available under NDA. If there was at least an industry day scheduled that would help us identify the primes.

- A.4. With reference to the memory / USB sticks they are to be distributed to the Prime bidders / Shipyards who will be building these SAR Lifeboats due to the Intellectual Property rights and to limit the number of these memory / USB sticks. Canada does promote that your company contacts any of the Canadian shipyards.
Please see question and answer #1 about the Industry Day / Bidders Conference.
With regards to your question on the identification of the potential shipyards, our new Buy and Sell website does not allow this due to Privacy Polices but does allow for open data on the Buy and Sell Website.
- Q.5. Due in part to the holiday season approaching, and most notably, the complexity of this solicitation and requested deliverables, may we also request an extension to the bid closing date until end of February?
- A.5. Your question is noted and at this time the bid closing date remains unchanged. The bid closing date is January 27th, 2015.
- Q.6. Last March responses were made to the Letter of Interest for these vessels with a number of suggestions. Suggestions were not addressed or adopted in the RFP so we will now pose the major ones as questions to this solicitation.

Time of order:
When does Canada expect an order to be placed for these vessels?
- A.6. All contract awards are subject to Canada's internal approval process which includes a requirement to approve funding in the amount of any proposed contract and is subject to Canada securing appropriate licensing terms for the design. Subject to the above, the commencement of work for this requirement will be determined once the winning bidder has been selected and awarded the contract.
- Q.7. Response to RFP:
Last March we suggested a minimum 3 months for a response to this RFP. Request an extension be granted to at least the end of March 2015 in consideration of the complexity and magnitude of the project and the loss of the month of December because of year end business requirements and the Christmas season.
- A.7. Your question is noted and an extension to the bid closing is under consideration.
- Q.8. Design Responsibility:
Canada is providing a detailed design for the vessels. We do not understand why the builder is being asked to provide a performance guarantee ref 6.2 (a).
- A.8. As this is not a proven design and Canada has yet to build these SAR Lifeboats, the winning contractor must perform the Design Check in accordance with the Contract.
- Q.9. Design Check:
If the builder is required to provide a performance guarantee, than the Design Check must be

very detailed and thorough. Request that a minimum 90 days be allotted for this.

- A.9. Your question is noted and at this time, the 45 days allotted to complete the Design Check remains unchanged.
- Q.10. Vessel deliveries:
The required delivery schedule posted in the solicitation is unrealistic, especially considering that 'time is of the essence'. Suggest that Canada require bidders to submit their best proposed delivery schedule.
- A.10. Your question is noted, however the delivery schedule remains unchanged.
- Q.11. Cost escalations and exchange rates:
Please advise how bidders are to handle cost escalations and currency exchanges over the life of the project.
- A.11. These factors should be taken into account by the bidder when preparing their submission.
- Q.12. Request that Canada advise who the project Technical Authority will be.
- A.12. The Technical Authority is not divulged until Contract Award. All questions are to be addressed to the Contracting Authority on the file.